# White Paper On the Case of

# Leopoldo López Mendoza

Citizen of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela



v.

# Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

Authored By:

Jared Genser<sup>1</sup> Perseus Strategies

José Antonio Maes

July 16, 2020 (updated) Original Published July 21, 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jared Genser serves as international counsel to Leopoldo López. Jose Antonio Maes previously served as López's domestic counsel. For further information in English, contact +1 202 466 3069 or jgenser@perseus-strategies.com. For information in Spanish, contact Juan Carlos Gutierrez, López's domestic counsel, +58 412 33 217 44 or escritoriogutierrez@gmail.com. Perseus Strategies would like to thank Elise Baranouski, Sara Birkenthal, Michael Cullen, Chris Fletcher, Reid Kurtz, Juan Pablo Miramontes, Asma Noray, Maddie Orcutt, Charles Orta, Samuel Ritholtz, Nicole Santiago, and Juancarlos Vargas for their support.

# **Table of Contents**

Exec	utive Summary	4
I.	Biographical Information on Leopoldo López Mendoza	7
II.	Background Context and Current Situation in Venezuela	12
A		12
В.		
C.	$oldsymbol{arepsilon}$	20
D.	$\mathcal{L}$	
	Institutions	
E.	1 6	
F.		
G.	$oldsymbol{c}$	
H		
I.	Increasing Repression and Targeting of the Opposition	
J.	Mounting International Criticism	
III.	The Targeting and Trial of Leopoldo López	48
A.	J control of the cont	
В.	6	
C.		
D.	8	
E.	$\mathcal{C}$	
F.	Evolving Conditions of Imprisonment	63
IV.	Further Persecution of Leopoldo López and His Wife Lilian Tintori	71
A.	False Accusations and Disqualification to Exercise Political Rights Without Due	
	Process of Law	
В.	Persecution of Lilian Tintori	71
V.	Legal Analysis	73
Α.		, 5
	Guaranteed by the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and Universal	
	Declaration of Human Rights	73
	1. The Venezuelan Government Arrested and Imprisoned Leopoldo López	
	Because He Exercised His Right to Freedom of Expression	74
	2. The Venezuelan Government Arrested and Imprisoned Leopoldo López	
	Because He Exercised His Right to Freedoms of Peaceful Assembly and	
	Association	79
	3. The Venezuelan Government Arrested and Imprisoned Leopoldo López	
	Because He Exercised His Right to Take Part in Public Affairs and Be	
	Elected Without Unreasonable Restrictions	79
В.	1 1 1	
	Relating to the Right to a Fair Trial Guaranteed by the Universal Declaration of	
	Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights	
	1. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Provide Leopoldo López an Independent	
	and Impartial Judiciary	81

	2. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Afford Leopoldo López the Presumption	02
	of Innocence  The Venezuelan Government Failed to Provide Leopoldo López with the Right to Present His Defense.	83
	4. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Provide the Right to Attorney-Client Confidentiality	
C.	The Extended Solitary Confinement of Leopoldo López Violates the Prohibition Against Torture	
VI.	Opinion of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention	88
VII.	International Support	90
Concl	lusion1	04
Gover	ndix I – Overview of Past Political Persecution Against Leopoldo López by Venezuelan rnment: False Accusations and Disqualification to Exercise Political Rights Without Due ess of Law	.05
11	ndix II – Leopoldo López's Speeches (January 23, 2014, February 12, 2014, February 014, and February 18, 2014; Opening Statement to Court, June 2, 2014)	13

# **Executive Summary**

In the past decades, democracy and respect for human rights have expanded throughout Latin America. After the 1958 coup that displaced Marcos Pérez Jiménez, Venezuela became an example of a Latin American democracy. However, in the past eighteen years this has not held true, as successive rulers have eroded respect for human rights and the rule of law in Venezuela. The presidencies of Hugo Chávez (1999–2013) and Nicolás Maduro (2013–present) have been marked by violence, inflation, scarcity of goods, a lack of judicial independence and impartiality, and increasing persecution of journalists and political opponents. During his time in office, Chávez enacted a series of social and economic measures, including nationalization, social welfare programs, and opposition to neoliberal economics, aimed at improving quality of life for Venezuelans. Despite Chávez's bold vision for the country, his presidency saw a dramatic concentration of power and disregard for human-rights protections, and his economic model proved unsustainable. Starting particularly in 2009, the Chávez government targeted a number of opposition leaders for criminal prosecution.

In 2013, Maduro was elected President of Venezuela as Chávez's successor. The Maduro government has increased its intimidation, censorship, and prosecution of its critics. Notably, in September 2013, Maduro withdrew from the American Convention on Human Rights, leaving Venezuelans without access to the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Many of the challenges faced by Chávez, including violence, inflation, and shortages of goods, have intensified under Maduro. It was in this context that mass protests began in January 2014. These initially non-violent demonstrations led to violence when armed pro-Government gangs and *colectivos* that are loyal to Maduro got involved. Since the protests of 2014, there have been intermittent protests throughout the country. However, protests increased to nearly daily occurrences in mid-2017 in light of: a botched attempt by the Supreme Court to nullify the Opposition-led National Assembly in April 2017; Government-led attacks on the democratic institutions of the country, including the unconstitutional replacement of the National Assembly with a constituent assembly loyal to the regime; and a worsening humanitarian crisis in the country. In 2017, there was a high of over 700 political prisoners detained in the country.

Among those still detained is Leopoldo López Mendoza, a 47-year-old Venezuelan opposition leader who is currently under house arrest after being held in *Centro Nacional de Procesados Militares* (National Center for Accused Soldiers), also known as *Ramo Verde* Prison, for three years and five months. López is the founder and National Coordinator of the political party *Voluntad Popular* (Popular Will). He is married to Lilian Tintori, a recognized human rights activist, with whom he has three children.

López received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Kenyon College, where he graduated *cum laude* in 1993. He continued his education at Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government, where he received a Master in Public Policy degree in 1996. In 1992, López cofounded the non-profit civil association *Primero Justicia*. From 1996 to 1999, he worked in Venezuela as an economic analyst for the *Coordinación de Planificación de Petróleos de Venezuela S.A.* (Department of Planning of Petroleum of Venezuela) ("PDVSA").

López first entered politics in 2000 when he and other prominent young leaders co-founded the new political party *Primero Justicia*. Later that year, López was elected mayor of the Chacao

Municipality of Caracas. He quickly became popular for reforming the public health system and building new public spaces. He was also known for his transparent policies, reduction of crime, and eradication of poverty. When he left office in 2008, he held a 92% approval rating and was ranked as the world's third best mayor by the World Mayor Project.

In 2002, López participated with other civil society leaders in protests. López was not a supporter of the failed coup against Chávez and he did not sign the Act Constituting the Government of Democratic Transition and National Unity ("Carmona Decree"): the document that attempted to oust Chávez and dissolve the National Assembly and Supreme Court.

López served as Mayor of Chacao until 2008, when an arbitrary disqualification from his running for office imposed by the Government went into effect. His ban was supposed to end on December 12, 2014, but was arbitrarily extended for two more years in March 2015. If not for the ban, López had planned to challenge the current Government's United Socialist Party to become Mayor of Caracas in November 2008. Polls at that time showed that he stood to receive 65–70% of the vote had he been allowed to run.

After leaving office in 2008, López was named a "Most Innovative People" honoree by Future Capitals. In that same year, he founded *Voluntad Popular*, a democratic movement dedicated to social, economic, political, and human-rights progress for all Venezuelans. López was *Voluntad Popular's* presidential candidate in 2012 before he backed Henrique Capriles after the Venezuelan Supreme Court refused to overturn López's political ban. Currently, López acts as the National Coordinator of *Voluntad Popular*, and is widely seen as a major leader of the opposition movement. At a series of peaceful protests in 2014, López gave speeches calling for non-violent, democratic change in Venezuela, in accordance with the Venezuelan Constitution. Despite his repeated emphasis on urging a transition through non-violence and adherence to the Constitution, on February 12, 2014 the Government issued a warrant for his arrest, claiming that his goal was to overthrow the Government through violent means.

The initial charges against López were for conspiracy, incitement to commit crimes, public intimidation, setting fire to a public building, damage to public property, causing serious injury, premeditated aggravated homicide, attempted premeditated aggravated homicide, and terrorism. Given the serious charges against him and current political climate, López went into temporary hiding. Later, on February 18, 2014, López peacefully submitted himself for arrest to Venezuelan military authorities and the charges for murder and terrorism were eventually dropped. After more than a year and a half in jail, on September 10, 2015, López was convicted of all charges and sentenced to 13 years and 9 months in prison. On February 17, 2017, the Supreme Court of Venezuela rejected López's final appeal and ratified his sentence.

López's ongoing detention is punishment for exercising his fundamental rights to freedoms of opinion and expression, peaceful assembly, and being elected and taking part in political affairs. In addition, his detention has also failed to meet international standards for due process of law, including the right to be tried before an independent and impartial judiciary, the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty, the right to have confidential attorney-client communications, and the right to present evidence in one's own defense. During the three years that he spent in jail, López spent nine months in complete isolation with no access to his family. Extended solitary confinement constitutes torture under the Convention Against Torture, to which

Venezuela is a party.

Amnesty International has recognized López as a prisoner of conscience. The UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, UN Committee Against Torture, Secretary General of the Organization of American States, Argentine President Mauricio Macri, Brazilian President Michel Temer, Mexican President Enrique Peña Nieto, Colombian President Juan Manuel Santos, Peruvian President Pedro Pablo Kuczynski, Paraguayan President Horacio Cartes, Costa Rican President Luís Guillermo Solís, U.S. President Donald Trump, Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy, Human Rights Watch, and the *New York Times* and Washington Post editorial boards, among others, have all called for López's release. The magazine Foreign Policy counted López among the Top 100 Global Thinkers of 2014 for "upending the tactics of Venezuela's loyal opposition." In May 2015, the National Endowment for Democracy honored López, along with all of Venezuela's political prisoners, with its 2015 Democracy Award. Also in 2015, López was honored as the Americas Group of Daily Newspapers' Latin American Person of the Year. In 2016, the Congressional Hispanic Leadership Institute recognized López with its International Leadership Award, and an international coalition of human rights groups awarded López the 2016 Geneva Summit Courage Award for inspiring the world with his extraordinary courage in defense of liberty and universal human rights. And in 2017, the European Parliament awarded López, along with all political prisoners in the country and the Venezuelan democratic opposition, the Sakharov Prize for Freedom of Thought for their defense of human rights and fundamental freedoms.

Since López's unjust detention in February 2014, he has become the most prominent victim of the Venezuelan regime's oppression. His continued detention has raised his profile domestically and internationally. In a Datanálisis poll from July 2015 on a hypothetical presidential match-up between López and Maduro, López would receive 52.5% of the vote to Maduro's 20.5%, putting him up by 32 percentage points.

### I. Biographical Information on Leopoldo López Mendoza

Leopoldo López Mendoza is a 47-year-old Venezuelan opposition leader being held under house arrest on charges of inciting violence, arson, damage to property, and conspiracy.<sup>2</sup> López is the founder and National Coordinator of the political party *Voluntad Popular* (Popular Will).<sup>3</sup> He is married to Lilian Tintori, who received a B.A. in Early Childhood and Elementary Education from Universidad Católica Andrés Bello. She taught pre-school for seven years and is a recognized athlete, former TV host, and prominent human rights activist. They have three children.<sup>4</sup>

López was born on April 29, 1971, in Caracas, Venezuela to a family with a long history in Venezuelan politics.<sup>5</sup> He received his Bachelor of Arts from Kenyon College, where he graduated *cum laude* in 1993.<sup>6</sup> He continued his education at Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government, where he received a Master in Public Policy degree in 1996.<sup>7</sup> He also received an honorary Doctor of Laws Degree from Kenyon College in 2007.<sup>8</sup> In 1992, while a student at Kenyon, López and a group of students in his home country "who were motivated by their desire to reform the legal system in Venezuela" founded the civil association *Primero Justicia* (Justice First).<sup>9</sup> López returned to Venezuela to work as an analyst for the Office of the Chief Economist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Emilia Diaz-Struck & Nick Miroff, For Venezuela Opposition, Meeting with President Maduro Could Further Expose Rifts, Washington Post, Apr. 9, 2014, available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/the\_americas/forvenezuela-opposition-meeting-with-president-maduro-could-further-expose-rifts/2014/04/09/82dca116-bb34-4cce-8a84-d7f885a82425\_story.html; Rodrigo Ugarte, Venezuela News 2014: Leopoldo López Finally Charged, Thousands Dress in Colors of National Flag to Protest, LATIN POST, Apr. 6, 2014, available at http://www.latinpost.com/articles/10115/20140406/venezuela-news-2014-leopoldo-lópez-finally-charged-thousands-dress-colors.htm; and Venezuela Charges Opposition Leader, Protests Erupt, YAHOO!, Apr. 5, 2014, available at http://news.yahoo.com/jailed-venezuelan-opposition-leader-formally-charged-185439105.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Jim Wyss, Venezuela Government and Opposition to Begin Peace Talks Thursday on Live TV, SACRAMENTO BEE, Apr. 15, 2014, available at http://www.sacbee.com/2014/04/09/6311031/venezuela-government-and-opposition.html; Jeffrey Tayler & José Orozco, Leopoldo López, the Charismatic Face of Venezuela's Opposition, BLOOMBERG BUSINESSWEEK, Feb. 20, 2014, available at https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2014-02-20/leopoldo-lopez-the-venezuela-oppositions-new-hero; Venezuela: Trial of Opposition Leader an Affront to Justice and Free Assembly, AMNESTY INT'L, Feb. 19, 2014, available at http://www.amnestyusa.org/news/news-item/venezuela-trial-of-opposition-leader-an-affront-to-justice-and-free-assembly; and Leopoldo López is a Venezuelan Politician and Democratic Activist, FREE LEOPOLDO, available at http://www.freeleopoldo.com/about leopoldo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Eric Martin & Corina Pons, 'Volcano of Energy' Lopez Who Rode Shot-Up Car Roils in Venezuela, Bloomberg, Feb. 22, 2014, available at http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2014-02-21/-volcano-of-energy-lopez-who-rode-shot-up-car-roils-venezuela.html and Jonathan Watts, Venezuelan Opposition Leader, Leopoldo López, Tells His Allies to Keep Fighting, The Guardian, Feb. 21, 2014, available at http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/feb/21/leopoldo-lopez-venezuela-opposition-leader-popular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Leopoldo López Hands Himself To Venezuelan Authorities: 6 Things to Know About Opposition Leader Making Nicolás Maduro Sweat, LATIN TIMES, Feb. 18, 2014, available at http://www.latintimes.com/leopoldo-lopez-hands-himself-venezuelan-authorities-6-things-know-about-opposition-leader-making.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 5 Things To Know About Venezuela's Protest Leader, NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO, Feb. 20, 2014, available at https://www.npr.org/sections/thetwo-way/2014/02/20/280207441/5-things-to-know-about-venezuelas-protest-leader.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id. See also Leopoldo López, the Charismatic Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 3; Leopoldo López, Tells His Allies to Keep Fighting, supra note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Leopoldo López Hands Himself To Venezuelan Authorities, supra note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Venezuela: The First Justice Party, IMMIGRATION & REFUGEE BOARD OF CANADA, Sept. 22, 2003, available at http://www.refworld.org/docid/403dd2248.html.

of *Petróleos de Venezuela S.A.* (PDVSA) from 1996 to 1999.<sup>10</sup> He also taught as an economics professor at the Universidad Católica Andres Bello in 2000 and 2001.<sup>11</sup>

López first entered politics in 2000 when he and other young prominent leaders transitioned their civil association *Primero Justicia* into a new political party, *Voluntad Popular*.<sup>12</sup> Later that year, he was elected mayor of the Chacao Municipality of Caracas at age 28.<sup>13</sup> He quickly became popular for reforming the public health system and building new public spaces.<sup>14</sup> He was also known for his transparent policies, reduction of crime, and eradication of poverty.<sup>15</sup> In 2000, he won 51% of the vote; in 2004, 81% of the electorate voted for him.<sup>16</sup> When he left office in 2008, he held a 92% approval rating and was ranked as the world's third best mayor by the World Mayor Project.<sup>17</sup> Furthermore, in 2007 and 2008, Transparency International recognized López for having the most transparent municipality in Venezuela.<sup>18</sup> During his tenure as mayor, López oversaw a budget ranging from Bs. 47.9 billion (U.S. \$73.2 million) in 2000 to Bs. 376.2 billion in 2007 (U.S. \$94 million)<sup>19</sup> and an employee base ranging from 2,800 to 3,000.

In 2002, López participated in public protests, which came days before the failed coup against former President Hugo Chávez.<sup>20</sup> At no point was López ever a proponent of the coup, nor was he allied with the business leaders who led it. During the coup, López received – along with the then Mayor of Baruta Henrique Capriles – a detention order and search warrant for the Minister of the Interior and Justice, Ramón Rodríguez Chacín. Judge Monica Fernández of the 39th Control Court issued this detention order. The charges against Rodríguez were for possession of illegal war weapons and illegally possessing a vehicle that belonged to the Ministry of the Interior and Justice. While López supported democratic and constitutional change rather than the coup, he had no authority as a municipal mayor to challenge a detention order from a judge. It was also

1

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  Rafael Romo, *The Face of Venezuela's Opposition*, CNN, Feb. 22, 2014, *available at* http://www.cnn.com/2014/02/21/world/americas/profile-leopoldo-lopez/ and *El Alcalde*, CHACAO, *available at* http://web.archive.org/web/20071231194747/http://www.chacao.gov.ve/alcalde/oficinadetail.asp?Id=18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See generally, Peter Wilson, *The Poster Boy*, FOREIGN POLICY, Feb. 24, 2014, available at http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2014/02/25/the\_poster\_boy; 'Volcano of Energy' Lopez Who Rode Shot-Up Car Roils Venezuela, supra note 4; and Leopoldo López, the Charismatic Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 3.

<sup>13</sup> The Poster Boy, supra note 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Leopoldo López, Tells His Allies to Keep Fighting, supra note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Adam Williams, *Inter-American Court Hears Leopoldo López Case*, INFOSURHOY, Aug. 3, 2011, *available at* http://infosurhoy.com/en GB/articles/saii/features/main/2011/03/08/feature-02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> El Chavismo Formaliza los Cargos contra López: Incitación a la Violencia y Asociación para Delinquir, INFOBAE, Apr. 4, 2014, available at http://www.infobae.com/2014/04/04/1554977-el-chavismo-formaliza-los-cargos-contra-lopez-incitacion-la-violencia-y-asociacion-delinquir; Charles Upton Sahm, Broken and Divided, CITY JOURNAL, Mar. 27, 2014, available at http://www.city-journal.org/2014/eon0327cs.html; Jackson Diehl, The Rival Chávez Won't Permit, WASHINGTON POST, June 30, 2008, available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/06/29/AR2008062901480.html; and El Alcade, supra note 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Tann vom Hove, *Helen Zille, Mayor of Cape Town, Wins the 2008 World Mayor Prize*, WORLD MAYOR, Oct. 14, 2008, *available at* http://www.worldmayor.com/contest\_2008/world-mayor-2008-results.html and *Inter-American Court Hears Leopoldo López Case*, *supra* note 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Leopoldo López*, OSLO FREEDOM FORUM, *available at* https://oslofreedomforum.com/speakers/leopoldo-lopez [hereinafter *Oslo Freedom Forum*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> In 2008, Venezuela switched over to a new currency, the bolivar fuerte (Bs.F). In 2008 the budget of Chacao was Bs.F 430,556,391.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Chris Kraul, *A Lightning Rod for Venezuela's Political Strife*, Los Angeles Times, July 19, 2006, *available at* http://articles.latimes.com/2006/jul/19/world/fg-venezuela19.

immediately apparent that Rodríguez's life was in danger and that detaining him briefly would provide him with protection. López did not sign the Carmona Decree, which was the document that attempted to oust President Hugo Chávez and dissolve the National Assembly and Supreme Court.<sup>21</sup>

Six months after the attempted coup, protesters used Chacao's *Plaza Francia* in the post-coup general strike. <sup>22</sup> However, López had no role in organizing the strike. In Venezuela, under Article 68 of the Constitution, protesters may use public space without a permit. <sup>23</sup> In the aftermath of the coup, all those critical of the Government were targeted, but López was "the object of a full-out campaign." <sup>24</sup> In February 2006, López was held hostage for six hours at a university auditorium where he was speaking. <sup>25</sup> Later that year, in March, his bodyguard was shot and killed in what appeared to be an attempt on López's life. <sup>26</sup>

López served as the Mayor of Chacao until 2008, when his disqualification from running for office – along with at least 272 other Venezuelan public officials, a vast majority of whom were from the Opposition – came into effect.<sup>27</sup> López's ban was supposed to end on December 12, 2014, but was arbitrarily extended for two more years in March 2015.<sup>28</sup> As these were administrative decisions, López was neither tried nor convicted of any crime.<sup>29</sup>

If not for the ban, López had planned to challenge members of the Venezuela United Socialist Party (PSUV) and run for Mayor of Caracas in November 2008.<sup>30</sup> Polls at the time showed that López stood to receive between 65% and 70% of the vote, had he been allowed to run.<sup>31</sup> The mayoral seat of Caracas is the second largest political office after the presidency, and was widely considered the second most powerful political position in Venezuela until the position was largely stripped of power following the 2008 election of another opposition leader, Antonio Ledezma.<sup>32</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> CONSTITUCIÓN DE LA REPÚBLICA BOLIVARIANA DE VENEZUELA, Dec. 30, 1999, art. 68 [hereinafter VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> A Lightning Rod for Venezuela's Political Strife, supra note 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The Rival Chávez Won't Permit, supra note 16 and A Lightning Rod for Venezuela's Political Strife, supra note 20. <sup>26</sup> A Lightning Rod for Venezuela's Political Strife, supra note 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Venezuelan Politics Contaminate Mercosur Parliament Session, MERCOPRESS, Aug. 19, 2008, available at http://en.mercopress.com/2008/08/19/venezuelan-politics-contaminate-mercosur-parliament-session. Other news outlets reported up to 400 were barred. See, e.g., James Ingham, Testing Times Ahead for Chávez, BBC NEWS, June 27, 2008, available at http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/7471817.stm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Ortega Díaz: "Leopoldo López Está Inhabilitado para las Parlamentarias," EL NACIONAL, Mar. 4, 2015, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/politica/Ortega-Diaz-Leopoldo-Lopez-parlamentarias\_3\_585571484.html.

<sup>29</sup> López Mendoza v. Venezuela, Inter-Am. Court of Human Rights, Sept. 1, 2011, at ¶ 40, available at http://www.gostoidh.or.gr/dogs/gosto/pationlog/gostog. 233, ing. pdf [horning for 2011, ludomont of Inter-American]

http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec\_233\_ing.pdf [hereinafter 2011 Judgment of Inter-American Court]. See also, Leopoldo Lopez: Venezuela's Maverick Opposition Leader, BBC NEWS, Feb. 19, 2014, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-26238612 [hereinafter Leopoldo Lopez: Venezuela's Maverick Opposition Leader].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Inter-American Court Hears Leopoldo López Case, supra note 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See generally John Otis, Stripped of His Powers, Caracas' Mayor Still Tries to Run a City, GLOBAL POST, Aug. 12, 2011, available at http://www.globalpost.com/dispatch/news/regions/americas/venezuela/110811/Politics-Venezuela-Oppression-Chávez.

At the time of the ban, López was also viewed as a serious contender for the 2012 presidential election. A 2008 poll conducted by Keller & Associates showed that López led President Chávez 43% to 41% nationally.<sup>33</sup> This marked the first time that President Chávez trailed in a poll since his election 12 years prior.<sup>34</sup> However, due to the political ban, López announced his support of another presidential candidate from the Opposition, Henrique Capriles, in January 2012.<sup>35</sup>

López challenged his political ban before the Inter-American Commission of Human Rights in 2008.<sup>36</sup> As discussed further below in Appendix I, the Inter-American Court ruled in 2011 that by stripping him of his ability to run for public office, Venezuela violated López's rights and ordered that his ability to run for office be restored.<sup>37</sup> However, the Supreme Tribunal of Justice of Venezuela (*Tribunal Supremo de Justicia* or Supreme Court) refused to adhere to this ruling.<sup>38</sup>

After leaving political office, López was named a Most Innovative People honoree by Future Capitals, alongside other world leaders such as Stephen Hawking and Bill Gates.<sup>39</sup> In that same year he founded *Voluntad Popular*.<sup>40</sup> *Voluntad Popular* defines itself as: "a plural and democratic movement organized in Popular Networks for the purposes of social and political action...[whose]...commitment is to progress, which...[is defined].... as conquering the social, economic, political, and human rights of each Venezuelan."<sup>41</sup> Currently, López acts as the National Coordinator of *Voluntad Popular*. He has 5.3 million Twitter followers<sup>42</sup> and is now widely seen as a major leader of the political opposition.<sup>43</sup> In November 2014, the magazine *Foreign Policy* counted López among the Top 100 Global Thinkers of 2014 for "upending the tactics of Venezuela's loyal opposition."<sup>44</sup> In May 2015, the National Endowment for Democracy honored López with, along with all of Venezuela's political prisoners, its 2015 Democracy Award.<sup>45</sup> Also in 2015, Leopoldo was honored as the Americas Group of Daily Newspapers' Latin American Person of the Year.<sup>46</sup> In 2016, the Congressional Hispanic Leadership Institute recognized López with its International Leadership Award, and an international coalition of human

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Inter-American Court Hears Leopoldo López Case, supra note 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See generally 'Volcano of Energy' Lopez Who Rode Shot-Up Car Roils Venezuela, supra note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Inter-American Court Hears Leopoldo López Case, supra note 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> López Mendoza v. Venezuela at IACtHR, supra note 29, at 80. See also Top Court in Venezuela Upholds Ban on Chávez Foe, CNN, Oct. 17, 2008, available at http://www.cnn.com/2011/10/17/world/americas/venezuela-lopez/. <sup>38</sup> Top Court in Venezuela Upholds Ban on Chávez Foe, supra note 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Global Innovators Honored at 50-Nation Future Capitols Summit in Abu Dhabi, FUTURE CAPITALS, Jan. 14, 2009, available at http://www.futurecapitals.org/08/media.asp?cid=1&prid=5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Oslo Freedom Forum, supra note 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Quiénes Somos*, Voluntad Popular, *available at* http://www.voluntadpopular.com/index.php/quienessomos?view=featured (translated from Spanish).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> See Twitter Account of Leopoldo López, https://twitter.com/leopoldolopez.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Leopoldo López, The Charismatic Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> *Top 100 Global Thinkers of 2014*, FOREIGN POLICY, Nov. 2014, *available at* http://globalthinkers.foreignpolicy.com/#challengers/detail/lopez.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> 2015 Democracy Award, NAT'L ENDOWMENT FOR DEMOCRACY, May 14, 2015, available at http://www.ned.org/events/2015-democracy-award/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Luana Cabrera, *Leopoldo López Fue Elegido Como Personaje Latinoamericano 2015*, EL UNIVERSAL, Dec. 20, 2015, *available at* http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/151220/leopoldo-lopez-fue-elegido-comopersonaje-latinoamericano-2015.

rights groups awarded López the 2016 Geneva Summit Courage Award for inspiring the world with his extraordinary courage in defense of liberty and universal human rights. And in 2017, the European Parliament awarded López, along with all political prisoners in the country and the Venezuelan democratic opposition, the Sakharov Prize for Freedom of Thought for their defense of human rights and fundamental freedoms.<sup>47</sup>

Since López's unjust detention in February 2014, he has become the most prominent victim of the Venezuelan regime. His continued detention has raised his profile domestically and internationally. In a Datanálisis poll from July 2015 on a hypothetical presidential match-up between López and President Maduro, López would receive 52.5 percent of the vote to Maduro's 20.5 percent, a difference of 32 percentage points.<sup>48</sup>

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Sakharov Prize Ceremony 2017: Venezuela's Democratic Opposition to Receive Award, European Parliament, Dec. 8, 2017, available at http://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/headlines/eu-affairs/20171201STO89310/sakharov-prize-ceremony-2017-venezuela-s-democratic-opposition-to-receive-award.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Presentation of Survey of Political and Economic Situation in Venezuela, DATANALYSIS, July 23, 2015, at slide 117, *available at* http://www.slideshare.net/energia/encuesta-julio-2015-datanalisis.

### II. Background Context and Current Situation in Venezuela

# A. Rise of Chavismo

From 1999 until 2013, Hugo Chávez served as President of Venezuela.<sup>49</sup> Following *Chavismo*, a left-wing political ideology,<sup>50</sup> Chávez enacted a series of social and economic measures – termed the Bolivarian Revolution – aimed at improving quality of life for Venezuelans.<sup>51</sup> Chávez's policies included nationalization, social welfare programs (known as Bolivarian Missions), and opposition to neoliberal economics (particularly the International Monetary Fund and World Bank).<sup>52</sup> Chávez sought to build a communal state grounded in self-government institutions such as community councils and communes.<sup>53</sup>

Despite these lofty ambitions, Chávez's presidency was characterized by corruption,<sup>54</sup> propaganda,<sup>55</sup> intimidation of the media,<sup>56</sup> dramatic concentration of power,<sup>57</sup> and disregard for human rights protections.<sup>58</sup> According to Human Rights Watch, during his presidency Chávez effectively neutralized the judiciary as an independent branch of government.<sup>59</sup> Chávez's government carried out a political takeover of Venezuela's Supreme Court, dramatically expanded the Government's ability to control the content of the country's broadcast and news media, and

Ami Sedahi How Did

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Ami Sedghi, *How Did Venezuela Change Under Hugo Chávez?*, THE GUARDIAN, Mar. 6, 2013, *available at* http://www.theguardian.com/news/datablog/2012/oct/04/venezuela-hugo-Chavez-election-data (noting that Hugo Chávez assumed office in 1999 and providing key indicators that show how Venezuela changed since Chávez assumed office).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Larisa Epatko, *In Venezuela, Will 'Chavismo' Last Without Hugo Chávez*, PBS, Apr. 12, 2013, *available at* http://www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/venezuelas-chavismo/ (explaining that Chávez was "the force behind 'Chavismo,' a left-wing political ideology that favors nationalization and social welfare programs over free market development").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Socialism After Chávez: Political Divisions Deepen Amid Unrest in Venezuela, PBS NEWSHOUR, Apr. 18, 2014, available at http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/socialism-Chávez-political-divisions-deepen-amid-unrest-venezuela/ [hereinafter Socialism After Chávez] (explaining that Chávez called his plan Bolivarian socialism. Its goals were social justice, empowering the poor with expanded government services, and redistributing Venezuela's vast oil riches to finance it).

Arvind Sivaramakrishnan, *Hugo Chávez: Death of a Socialist*, THE HINDU, Mar. 19, 2013, *available at* http://www.thehindu.com/news/international/world/hugo-chvez-death-of-a-socialist/article4481169.ece (explaining Chávez's social programs and his wish that the International Monetary Fund and World Bank would "disappear").
 Dario Azzellini, *The Communal State: Communal Councils, Communes, and Workplace Democracy*, 46 NACLA REPORT ON THE AMERICAS 25, 25-30 (Summer 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Gustavo Coronel, The Corruption of Democracy in Venezuela, CATO Institute, Mar. 2008, *available at* http://www.cato.org/publications/commentary/corruption-democracy-venezuela.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Joel D. Hirst, *The Bolivarian Alliance and the Hugo Chávez Propaganda Machine*, LATIN AMERICAN HERALD TRIBUNE, Nov. 3, 2010, *available at* http://laht.com/article.asp?CategoryId=13303&ArticleId=375338.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> WORLD REPORT 2012, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, Jan. 22, 2012, available at http://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/reports/wr2012.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Venezuela: Chávez's Authoritarian Legacy, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, Mar. 5, 2013, available at http://www.hrw.org/news/2013/03/05/venezuela-Chávez-s-authoritarian-legacy [hereinafter Chávez's Authoritarian Legacy].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> *Id.* See also Venezuela Violates Human Rights, OAS Commission Reports, CNN, Feb. 24, 2010, available at http://www.cnn.com/2010/WORLD/americas/02/24/venezuela.human.rights/ (explaining that the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights said in a report that Venezuela routinely violates human rights, often intimidating or punishing citizens based on their political beliefs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> WORLD REPORT 2009, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, Jan. 14, 2009, available at http://www.hrw.org/en/world-report-2009.

sought to block international organizations from monitoring the country's human rights practices. 60 Further, government price controls put in place by Chávez in 2002, which initially aimed to reduce the prices of basic goods, caused inflation and shortages of basic goods.<sup>61</sup> Additionally, the murder rate under Chávez's administration quadrupled, making Venezuela one of the most violent countries in the world.<sup>62</sup> Taken together, these factors contributed to widespread discontent among the Venezuelan population.

Under Chávez, Venezuela initially enjoyed vibrant public debate on political issues;<sup>63</sup> however, starting in 2009, several prominent opposition leaders were targeted for criminal prosecution. Without independent courts, they had little chance of a fair trial.<sup>64</sup> Chávez and his followers increasingly intimidated, censored, and prosecuted Venezuelans who were critical of the President or hindered his political agenda. 65 According to Human Rights Watch:

During the Chávez years, many Venezuelans continued to criticize the government, but the prospect of retribution – in the form of arbitrary or abusive state action – forced journalists and human rights defenders to weigh the consequences of disseminating information and opinions critical of the government, and undercut the ability of judges to adjudicate politically sensitive cases. 66

During the Chávez years, thousands of opposition leaders and supporters were subjected to political persecution, ranging from loss of government jobs to legal action in the country's courts.<sup>67</sup>

Another individual targeted by the Chávez regime was María Lourdes Afiuni, a judge who was imprisoned in December 2009 after one of her rulings angered Chávez.<sup>68</sup> Afiuni's offense was ordering the release of banker Eligio Cedeño, who was a political prisoner that had been detained for almost three years without trial.<sup>69</sup> In ruling in Cedeño's favor, Afiuni applied Venezuelan law and also cited to an opinion on Cedeño's case from the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention (the Working Group), which found that he was being held in violation of Venezuela's international legal obligations. 70 Nevertheless, three days after Afiuni's arrest, Chávez demanded that she be

<sup>67</sup> Criminals or Dissidents?, THE ECONOMIST, Feb. 17, 2011, available at http://www.economist.com/node/18184396 (detailing the repercussions faced by dissidents for defying Chávez). <sup>68</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Chávez's Authoritarian Legacy, supra note 57. See also Rigging the Rule of Law: Judicial Independence Under Siege in Venezuela, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, June 17, 2004, available at http://www.hrw.org/node/12011/section/1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Matthew Walter, Chávez Price Controls Mean Record Oil Fails to Prevent Shortage, BLOOMBERG, May 23, 2008, available at http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=a6qMqmU1dCeM (describing the price controls and shortages that were experienced during Chávez's presidency and the public discontent that they caused).

<sup>62</sup> Manuel Rueda, How Did Venezuela Become So Violent?, FUSION, Jan. 8, 2014, available at http://fusion.net/leadership/story/venezuela-violent-iraq-365361 (noting that under Chávez's government, Venezuela became one of the world's most violent countries; the country's murder rate has increased dramatically since 1999, the year Chávez took office, and has continued to climb under Nicolás Maduro).

<sup>63</sup> WORLD REPORT 2008, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, Mar. 31, 2008, available at http://www.hrw.org/legacy/wr2k8/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> WORLD REPORT 2010, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, Jan. 31, 2010, available at http://www.hrw.org/world-report-2010. 65 Chávez's Authoritarian Legacy, supra note 57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Id. See also Cedeño v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Opinion No. 10/2009, U.N. WORKING GROUP ON ARBITRARY DETENTION, adopted May 28, 2009, at ¶ 53.

imprisoned for thirty years.<sup>71</sup> Widely considered one of Venezuela's highest-profile political prisoners, 72 Afiuni was subsequently imprisoned in a jail that also housed violent offenders that she herself had sentenced.<sup>73</sup> The Working Group also determined that she was arbitrarily detained, and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) called for her to be tried or released.<sup>74</sup> Afiuni was granted house arrest in February 2011 because of a serious medical condition.<sup>75</sup> She was ultimately released from house arrest in June 2013, but to comply with the conditions of her release, she must report to the court in Caracas every 14 days and is banned from both leaving the country without permission and speaking to the media.<sup>76</sup>

Afiuni's case is an illustrative example of the many individuals targeted by Chávez during his presidency. On March 5, 2013, Chávez died of cancer<sup>77</sup> and Nicolás Maduro, who was vicepresident at the time, took Chávez's place.<sup>78</sup> Subsequently, Maduro, Chávez's successor,<sup>79</sup> narrowly defeated Henrique Capriles in Venezuela's 2013 presidential election, garnering 50.6% of the vote compared to the 55.1% Chávez had received in the previous election. 80 According to Human Rights Watch, Chávez's tradition of "accumulati[ng] ... power in the executive branch and erod[ing] ... human rights guarantees"81 has continued under Maduro, which has "enabled [his] government to [similarly] intimidate, censor, and prosecute its critics."82 Notably, in September 2013, Maduro's decision to withdraw from the American Convention on Human Rights took effect, leaving Venezuelans without access to the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, an international tribunal that had protected their rights for decades in a wide array of cases. 83 Like Chávez, the Maduro government continued to undercut judicial independence and impartiality, with members of the country's Supreme Court continuing to openly reject the principle of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> *Criminals or Dissidents?*, *supra* note 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Venezuela Ends House Arrest of Judge Maria Afiuni, BBC, June 14, 2013, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-22916391 (noting that opposition leaders consider Maria Afiuni to be among Venezuela's highest-profile political prisoners and explaining that she was released from house arrest in June

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Criminals or Dissidents?, supra note 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Venezuela Ends House Arrest, supra note 72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Socialism After Chávez, supra note 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Catherine E. Shoichet & Dana Ford, Venezuelan President Hugo Chávez Dies, CNN, Mar. 5, 2013, available at http://www.cnn.com/2013/03/05/world/americas/venezuela-Chávez-main/ (noting that Chávez died on Mar. 5, 2013, and elections were held 30 days later, with Nicolás Maduro assuming the presidency in the interim).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> WORLD REPORT 2014, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, Jan. 21, 2014, available at http://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/wr2014 web 0.pdf.

<sup>80</sup> Venezuela Gives Chávez Protégé Narrow Victory, NEW YORK TIMES, Apr. 14, 2013, available at http://www.nytimes.com/2013/04/15/world/americas/venezuelans-vote-for-successor-to-Chávez.html?pagewanted=all (noting that in an unexpectedly close race, Maduro, Chávez's handpicked political heir, was chosen by Venezuelans to serve the remainder of Chávez's six-year term as president); Maduro Wins Venezuela Presidency, USA TODAY, Apr. 15, 2013, available at http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2013/04/14/venezuela-election-madurocapriles/2081677/ (noting that Venezuelan officials say Maduro defeated Henrique Capriles by only about 300,000 votes; the margin was 50.8% to 49.1%); and Chris Kraul, In Venezuela, Hugo Chávez Reelection Raises Unity Ouestions, Los Angeles Times, Oct. 8, 2012, available at http://articles.latimes.com/2012/oct/08/world/la-fgvenezuela-Chávez-20121009 (noting that in Venezuela's October 2012 presidential election, Chávez had 8.04 million votes, or 55.1%, to Capriles 6.46 million votes, or 44.3%).

<sup>81</sup> WORLD REPORT 2014, supra note 79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> *Id*.

separation of powers, publicly pledging their commitment to advance the Government's political agenda, and repeatedly ruling in favor of the Government.<sup>84</sup> Moreover, the number of opposition leaders and human rights activists targeted by the Government has increased under the Maduro regime: in 2017, there was a high of over 700 detained political prisoners in the country.<sup>85</sup>

#### B. Protests in 2014

It was in this political climate that a series of protests and political demonstrations broke out in Venezuela in January 2014.86 The protests erupted largely as a result of high levels of violence, <sup>87</sup> corruption, inflation (the annualized inflation figure would top 57% in February 2014),88 and scarcity of basic goods in the country89 – and, more proximately, as a response to the violent murder of Venezuelan actress and former Miss Venezuela, Mónica Spear, and her husband. 90 As under Chávez, the deteriorating economy and security situation bred profound dissatisfaction with the Government among Venezuelans across the social spectrum.<sup>91</sup> When the protests began, Maduro's approval rating was 46.8%, with those Venezuelans polled citing shortages of consumer goods as a major concern.<sup>92</sup>

On January 23, Leopoldo López, María Corina Machado, Antonio Ledezma, and other prominent opposition leaders held a press conference during which they presented a platform

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> *Id. See also* infra section B(1)(a).

<sup>85</sup> Ángelo Flórez de Andrade, Todo lo Que Debe Saber Sobre la Dramática Crisis de Presos Políticos en Venezuela, PANAM POST, Oct. 16, 2017, available at https://es.panampost.com/angelo-florez/2017/10/16/presos-politicos-en-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Venezuela: Political Spiral of Violence a Threat to the Rule of Law, AMNESTY INT'L, Mar. 31, 2014, available at http://www.amnestyusa.org/news/news-item/venezuela-political-spiral-of-violence-a-threat-to-the-rule-of-law. <sup>87</sup> Socialism After Chávez, supra note 51 (noting that the UN says Venezuela under Maduro has the second-highest murder rate in the world).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Venezuela Hikes Minimum Wage 30 Percent Amid High Inflation, CHICAGO TRIBUNE, Apr. 29, 2014, available at http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/sns-rt-us-venezuela-salaries-20140429,0,6231814.story (noting that Venezuela's annualized inflation figure topped 57 percent in February 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Anatoly Kurmanaev & Corina Pons, *Venezuela Inflation Hits 16-Year High as Shortages Rise*, BLOOMBERG, Nov. 7, 2013, available at http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-11-07/venezuela-inflation-hits-16-year-high-as-shortagesrise.html (noting that Venezuela's annual inflation rate rose more than expected to 54.3 percent in October 2013, the fastest pace in as many as 16 years, with the scarcity rate persistently remaining around 20 percent) and Corina Pons & Jose Orozco, Venezuela Planning Third Dollar Supply System as Scarcity Rises, BLOOMBERG, Feb. 11, 2014 (noting that the Venezuela Central Bank's scarcity index reached 28 percent in January, meaning that one in four basic goods was out of stock at any given time).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Isben Martinez, Chaos, Chavismo and Telenovelas, NEW YORK TIMES, Apr. 8, 2014, available at http://www.nytimes.com/2014/04/09/opinion/chaos-chavismo-and-telenovelas.html? r=0 and Jeffrey Taylor, What the Heck is Going on in Venezuela?, BLOOMBERG BUSINESSWEEK, Feb. 18, 2014, available at http://www.businessweek.com/articles/2014-02-18/what-the-heck-is-going-on-in-venezuela-could-the-maduro-regimefall.

<sup>91</sup> Socialism After Chávez, supra note 51 and Inside the Barrios, THE ECONOMIST, Mar. 22, 2014, available at http://www.economist.com/news/americas/21599382-support-among-poor-government-nicol-s-maduro-conditionalinside-barrios (explaining that colectivos are armed civilian gangs loyal to the government that act as community enforcers).

<sup>92</sup> Support for Venezuela's Maduro Drops to 37 Percent: Report, REUTERS, May 5, 2014, available at http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/05/05/us-venezuela-politics-idUSBREA440HH20140505 (noting that Maduro's approval rate was 37 percent in April 2014, a drop from 46.8 percent in February of that year).

labeled *La Salida* (the Exit).<sup>93</sup> This political platform highlighted record inflation (reportedly 56.2% in December 2013), Venezuela's high murder rate, and shortage of basic food items.<sup>94</sup> The strategy presented was as follows, with each event coming only if the previous failed: a call for the resignation of President Maduro, a recall referendum under Article 72 of the Venezuelan Constitution, a constitutional assembly, and as a last resort, a constitutional amendment.<sup>95</sup> In short, *La Salida* was a political strategy to use democratic and constitutional means to find solutions to the political and economic crisis.

Thus, López presented *La Salida* as the means for achieving his overall aim, which is to "rise up against oppression," and reject the authoritarianism, corruption, and inefficiency of the government.<sup>96</sup> López clearly defined his vision and strategy, differentiating it from a strategy of violent confrontation:

And what does rising up mean? So that they won't misinterpret us and say words that we are not saying, an 'uprising/revolt' ['alzamiento'] means first and foremost the rising to consciousness, the rising up of our fighting spirit, the rising up to our vocation for change. The uprising that today, January 23rd, we must demand, is that a people can take to the streets, ever since people have been people, ever since history has been history, this right has existed for people to say 'we want to change... [And] today more than ever we encourage the vocation for a peaceful struggle, popular, constitutional, and democratic.<sup>97</sup>

López went on to describe his critiques of the current Government:

Today in Venezuela there is a corrupt upper echelon of leadership. Today in Venezuela there is an elite that has hijacked the Venezuelan State, an elite that has become multimillionaires, an elite that behind the backs of the people has robbed it of all of the wealth belonging to the Venezuelans, and that elite that today is governing and that refers to themselves as revolutionaries, that refers to themselves as the agents of change, are the ones responsible for the ills endured by our people, and it is for that reason that we are not going to renounce our right, our sacrosanct right to say 'enough, already!', our sacrosanct right to say, as Betancourt said back in the 1950s, that we will be in the streets, that we will converge with the people, that we will converge with the strength of a people that wants to change. And we know that this announcement will be presented by the Government as a call to something different than the consolidation of democracy. But we tell Venezuelans, let's not get sucked into deceptions, that it does not matter to us how the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> See generally What Lies Behind the Protests in Venezuela?, BBC NEWS, Mar. 27, 2014, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-26335287 and *Leopoldo Lopez: Venezuela's Maverick Opposition Leader, supra* note 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> See generally Venezuela Forces 'Clear' Protest City of San Cristobal, BBC NEWS, Mar. 31, 2014, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-26815745 and What Lies Behind the Protests in Venezuela?, supra note 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> See generally Anti-Maduro Protests Persist in Venezuela, Dozens Jailed, REUTERS, Feb. 14, 2014, available at http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/02/14/us-venezuela-protests-idUSBREA1D19J20140214.

<sup>96</sup> See Appendix II, Speech by López at Political Assembly in Plaza Brión – January 23, 2014 [hereinafter López Jan. 23 Speech].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> *Id*.

Government wants to interpret what we are saying, because for us what is important is the Venezuelan people, the people that want change, the people that want a better Venezuela, the people that today are frustrated, that have been driven to despair, that appear to not see a way out of the disaster to which we are subjected today. We tell Venezuelans that it is possible, it is possible to have a better Venezuela, it is possible but we need you, we need you, sister, we need you, brother, we need you, but first and foremost with the conviction, with the conviction of your soul, with the conviction of your actions.

[...]

[And] what is the exit to this disaster? We believe that calling for a political exit is not only done with political organizations; it is not done only in a restricted space. The discussion regarding where Venezuela needs to go needs to convene a people, we have to listen to the Venezuelan people, we have to debate, we have to incorporate the Venezuelan people's sentiments of frustration and vocation for change. It is for that reason that we want to hold these street assemblies throughout the nation, in the cities, in the towns, in the neighborhoods, in the developments, we are going to debate this, the exit. And what is the exit that we are proposing? We are aware that the exit must be, first and foremost, popular, popular with the people ... Secondly, a democratic exit, and thirdly, an exit within the Constitution. The Constitution offers us various tools and we will debate with the people which of these tools is the most appropriate, which of those tools can channel us toward a change as soon as possible, toward the most profound kind of change, the most democratic, and that enable us to make progress toward a better Venezuela. 98

On February 2, public assemblies took place throughout the country to discuss *La Salida*. López, Machado, and Ledezma participated in the assembly in Caracas along with student leaders. During this assembly, the decision was made to support the call for a rally on February 12.

An independent set of protests began on February 4 at the University of the Andes in the western city of San Cristóbal, Táchira, where students demanded increased security after the alleged rape of a female student on February 2.99 The protests in San Cristóbal resulted in a crackdown by the Government and dozens of student leaders were imprisoned. This led to demonstrations throughout Venezuela calling for the students' release. 101 Gabriela Arellano, a student leader from Los Andes University, and Juan Requenses, a student leader from the Central University of Venezuela, called for a protest in Caracas to be held on February 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Venezuela Charges Opposition Leader, Protests Erupt, supra note 2; Venezuela Forces 'Clear' Protest City of San Cristobal, supra note 94; Venezuela Court Rejects Release of Opposition Leader, YAHOO!, Mar. 28, 2014, available at http://news.yahoo.com/venezuela-court-rejects-release-opposition-leader-184521764.html; What Lies Behind the Protests in Venezuela?, supra note 93; and Vivia Sequera, Did Attempted Rape Ignite Venezuela's National Protests?, CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR, Feb. 22, 2014, available at http://www.csmonitor.com/World/Latest-News-Wires/2014/0222/Did-attempted-rape-ignite-Venezuela-s-national-protests.

<sup>100</sup> Venezuela Forces 'Clear' Protest City of San Cristobal, supra note 94 and What Lies Behind the Protests in Venezuela?, supra note 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> What Lies Behind the Protests in Venezuela?, supra note 93.

The February 12 protest in Caracas started at 10 am. Hundreds of thousands of protesters converged on *Plaza Venezuela*, one of the main public squares in Caracas. López, Machado, and Ledezma were invited to attend and speak. February 12 was specifically chosen because it was the 200<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Battle of La Victoria, a battle where students played a prominent role in the Venezuelan city of La Victoria's resistance against attacks by the Spanish army during Venezuela's campaign for independence. 102 February 12 is also designated as Venezuela's Youth Day. 103 As he had done in his speech on January 23, López reaffirmed for the attendees the need to work toward a democratic Venezuela through peaceful means:

This is a struggle by the people against the State... let us go out to walk with conviction, with strength, assuming [the path of] non-violence. Our territory is the street, our struggle is non-violent. 104

After speeches by students and politicians, the crowd marched to the headquarters of the Public Prosecutor in *Parque Carabobo*, where the office of the then Attorney General Luisa Ortega Díaz was located, to submit a letter demanding the release of the arrested students. By about 1 pm, after waiting for three hours, it became clear that the Public Prosecutor's office would not accept the letter, so López urged all the protesters to return home. At the same time, a rival march by Maduro supporters was taking place. Again, López emphasized the need for non-violence:

[T]oday I want to highlight, I want to highlight that we have come peacefully, as you can see. Here there is no vocation for violence. There is irreverence, yes, there is also determination, but you in the media can emphasize that there has been no violence. Now then, when is there violence? When they bring out the law enforcement officers, when they bring out the police, the guards, and the army, and when they bring out the *colectivos* that take their orders from the Government, as occurred yesterday in Mérida when the *Tupamaros* fell heavily on the students. Today we want to send a message to the soldiers, to the soldiers of the Bolivarian Armed Forces, we want to send a message to the National Guard, to the police officers, to the public prosecutors, and to the members of the *colectivos*: Do not follow orders or instructions to destroy the people. You do not have to repress the people. You must wear that uniform with valor and in adherence to the Constitution and the law. 105

<sup>102</sup> Nicolás Lucca, Una Ola de Protestas, Represión y Detenciones Sacuden a Venezuela, EDITORIAL PERFIL SA. available at http://www.perfil.com/internacional/Una-ola-de-protestas-represion-y-detenciones-sacuden-a-Venezuela-20140211-0032.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> What the Heck is Going on in Venezuela, supra note 90.

<sup>104</sup> See Appendix II, Speech of López at the Rally at Plaza Venezuela – February 12, 2014 [hereinafter López Plaza Venezuela Speech] (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> See Appendix II, Speech of López at Rally Outside Office of the Attorney General – February 12, 2014 [hereinafter López Attorney General Speech] (emphasis added).

News reports confirmed that the rally was peaceful. 106 However, a small group of protesters stayed after López, Machado, Ledezma, and the vast majority of protesters dispersed. 107 Of this small group, an even smaller number "threw rocks and chunks of concrete at riot police, who responded with tear gas." 108 This group also "threw projectiles at the headquarters of the National Prosecutor's office, breaking windows on the second floor." 109 According to Human Rights Watch, "[a]ll available accounts from witnesses indicate that the majority of protesters were peaceful, and those that engaged in violence or vandalism did not carry firearms or use lethal force against security forces or third parties." 110 Police did nothing to control the situation until their vehicles were set on fire. 111 At that point, police rushed a small park, "grabbing people indiscriminately, pummeling them, pushing them to the ground and kicking them. Most of the protesters were gone by then, so many of those beaten by the police were news photographers and cameramen." 112 In a different area the situation quickly turned deadly when three protesters – two anti-Government protesters and one government supporter – were shot following the largely peaceful march. 113 This happened at approximately 1:20 pm. Again, the three opposition leaders had long departed the scene. 114

Contrary to the Venezuelan government's claims that López incited violence, photographs and videos released by the daily newspaper *Últimas Noticias* depict uniformed and non-uniformed police agents opening fire on demonstrators who were marching towards them. <sup>115</sup> Furthermore, reports suggest that pro-Government gangs and militias known as *colectivos* also carried out violence during the protests. <sup>116</sup>

At 8 pm that evening, López, Machado, and Ledezma responded with a press conference denouncing the violence that occurred and calling once again for nonviolent action:

Those Venezuelans who came out throughout the nation did so courageously, knowing that there were threats on the part of the Government, knowing that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> See generally William Neuman, *Prominent Opposition Leader in Venezuela is Blamed for Unrest*, NEW YORK TIMES, Feb. 13, 2014, *available at* http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/14/world/americas/prominent-opposition-leader-in-venezuela-is-blamed-for-unrest.html [hereinafter *Prominent Opposition Leader in Venezuela is Blamed for Unrest*]. <sup>107</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> Venezuela: Violence Against Protesters, Journalists, Human Rights Watch, Feb. 21, 2014, available at http://www.hrw.org/news/2014/02/21/venezuela-violence-against-protesters-journalists.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Prominent Opposition Leader in Venezuela is Blamed for Unrest, supra note 106.

<sup>112</sup> Id

<sup>113</sup> Venezuela Opposition Leader Barred from Capitol, USA TODAY, Apr. 1, 2014, available at http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2014/04/01/venezuela-opposition-lawmaker/7185043/; Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Denied Bail, BBC NEWS, Mar. 28, 2014, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-26793545; and What Lies Behind the Protests in Venezuela?, supra note 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> See generally Prominent Opposition Leader in Venezuela is Blamed for Unrest, supra note 106 ("[A]fterward a few hundred young people...stayed").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Uniformados y Civiles Dispararon en Cadelaria el 12F, ÚLTIMAS NOTICIAS, Feb. 19, 2014, available at http://www.ultimasnoticias.com.ve/noticias/actualidad/investigacion/video---uniformados-y-civiles-dispararon-encandel.aspx and Oscar Medina, *Their Claims Were Not True*, EL UNIVERSAL, Apr. 19, 2014, available at http://english.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/140419/their-claims-were-not-true.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Punished for Protesting: Rights Violations in Venezuela's Streets, Detention Centers, and Justice System, Human Rights Watch, May 2014, available at http://www.hrw.org/node/125192.

call we put out was a call to convene peacefully, non-violently. And that is the way it was, the demonstration that today brought together thousands of individuals in Plaza Venezuela. In that way we walked to the office of the Attorney General: in peace, non-violently. We were there in that way at the office of the Attorney General for several hours, protesting, demonstrating, but in peace and nonviolently; and yes, with irreverence in our thoughts and in our hearts, but never with violence. ... Know this, Mr. Maduro: regardless of what you do, this that has begun will not stop until change is conquered in peace and democracy for all Venezuelans.<sup>117</sup>

#### *C*. Worsening Economic and Humanitarian Crisis

Since the protests of February 2014, the situation in Venezuela has only worsened. Venezuela's economy shrank 18.6% in 2016, more than triple prior estimates, making Venezuela one of the worst performers in the world. 118 2017 saw the economy shrink another 12%, with inflation reaching 700%. 119 The country's rate of inflation is expected to rise to 13,000% in 2018.<sup>120</sup> And its economy is predicted to shrink by 15% in 2018.<sup>121</sup> This desperate economic situation has resulted in countrywide shortages of basic supplies, including medicine, rice, sugar, and cooking oil.<sup>122</sup> The necessary rationing of staples has created a system of hours-long lines for groceries that are managed by government security forces, transforming supermarkets into military zones.<sup>123</sup> Taking advantage of their access to scarce resources, military officials have been profiting off of the food shortages through a system of kickbacks and bribes. 124

The worsening economic crisis has had grave implications for Venezuela's healthcare system. In August 2016, a survey revealed that 76% of hospitals had a severe shortage of basic medicines, a 9% increase from 2015 and a 21% increase from 2014. 125 Along with decreased

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> See Appendix II, Words from Press Conference Where López Denounces Violence – February 12, 2014 (emphasis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> David Biller, World Bank Sees Three-Year Brazil Decline, Plunge in Venezuela, BLOOMBERG, June 7, 2016, available at http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-06-07/world-bank-sees-three-year-brazil-decline-plungein-venezuela and Venezuela 2016 Inflation Hits 800 Percent, GDP Shrinks 19 Percent: Document, CNBC, Jan. 20, 2017, available at http://www.cnbc.com/2017/01/20/venezuela-2016-inflation-hits-800-percent-gdp-shrinks-19percent-document.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Andrés Oppenheimer, It's Hard to Believe, But Venezuela's Economic Crisis Will Get Worse in 2018, MIAMI HERALD, Dec. 15, 2017, available at http://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/news-columns-blogs/andresoppenheimer/article190104214.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> David Biller, IMF Projects Venezuela Inflation Will Soar to 13,000 Percent in 2018, BLOOMBERG, Jan. 25, 2018, available at https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-01-25/imf-sees-venezuela-inflation-soaring-to-13-000percent-in-2018. <sup>121</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Mery Mogollon & Chris Kraul, Shortages, Inflation, and Long Lines have Venezuelans Grumbling, Los Angeles TIMES, Jan. 24, 2015, available at http://www.latimes.com/world/mexico-americas/la-fg-venezuela-economyshortages-20150123-story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> Ángela Bermúdez, In Venezuela, 2015 Is Full of Rationing, Long Waits, and Markets Becoming Militarized Zones, FOX NEWS LATINO, Jan. 15, 2015, available at http://latino.foxnews.com/latino/news/2015/01/15/in-venezuela-2015is-full-rationing-long-waits-markets-becoming/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Hannah Dreier & Joshua Goodman, US Lawmakers Propose Sanctions for Venezuela Food Corruption, BIG STORY, Jan. 23, 2017, available at http://bigstory.ap.org/article/703e798bf00041909af82f96109a5023.

<sup>125</sup> WORLD REPORT 2016: VENEZUELA, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, 2016, available at https://www.hrw.org/worldreport/2017/country-chapters/venezuela.

access to medicine and effective healthcare, data from the first half of 2016 showed that infant mortality has increased by 45% since 2013 and maternal mortality has increased by 79% since 2009. 126 And a study by the Venezuelan government from 2017 showed that infant mortality had risen 30%, maternal mortality 65%, and cases of malaria 76% in the last year alone. 127 Moreover, malnutrition is rampant; data from 2015 indicated that 87% of survey respondents had difficulty buying food, and 12% of Venezuelans were eating two meals or fewer per day. 128 Additionally, 74% of Venezuelans lost nine kilos (almost 20 pounds) in 2016. 129

The escalating crisis is further aggravated by Maduro's denial of the existence of a humanitarian crisis and his refusal to accept humanitarian aid from the international community. 130 Under duress from the flood of refugees pouring out of Venezuela, neighboring countries like Colombia and Peru have repeatedly offered and urged Maduro to accept humanitarian assistance, but to no avail. 131 Instead, Maduro seems to be leveraging the humanitarian crisis to coerce the Opposition into legitimizing the contested Constituent National Assembly. 132 This has been echoed by many in the international community, who have accused Maduro of using humanitarian aid as a weapon for "social control" and of stealing humanitarian funds for the benefit of those in his administration in the few instances when his regime has allowed to enter the country. 133

#### D. Parliamentary Elections of 2015 and Subsequent Dismantling of Democratic Institutions

Suffering from the effects of the economic crisis caused and perpetuated by their government, Venezuelans turned to the ballot box. On December 6, 2015, the Venezuelan opposition swept the parliamentary elections, gaining a supermajority and presenting a direct challenge to Maduro's rule. 134 Initially, to prevent such an embarrassing defeat, Maduro had tried to avoid scheduling the elections, but after domestic and international pressure, including a 30-day hunger strike led by López, the Government was forced to set a date. In the months preceding the election, Maduro attempted to hamper the mobilization efforts of the Opposition by using public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Venezuela's Infant Mortality, Maternal Mortality and Malaria Cases Soar, THE GUARDIAN, May 9, 2017, available at https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2017/may/09/venezuela-public-health-crisis-infant-mortalitymaternal-malaria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> WORLD REPORT 2016: VENEZUELA. *supra* note 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Un 74% de Venezolanos Ha Perdido Entre 8 y 9 Kilos por Carestía de Alimentos, CARACOL TV, Feb. 21, 2017, available at https://noticias.caracoltv.com/america-360/un-74-de-venezolanos-ha-perdido-entre-8-y-9-kilos-por-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Nicholas Casey, Concern as Venezuela Refuses to Accept Aid, THE NEW YORK TIMES, Sept. 27, 2016, available at https://www.nytimes.com/2016/09/28/world/americas/venezuela-refuses-us-aid.html and Jim Wyss, Venezuelans Are Going Hungry. Why Won't the Country Accept Aid?, MIAMI HERALD, Feb. 8, 2018, available at http://www.miamiherald.com/news/nation-world/world/americas/venezuela/article199179509.html.

<sup>131</sup> Concern as Venezuela Refuses to Accept Aid, supra note 130 and Venezuelans Are Going Hungry. Why Won't the Country Accept Aid?, supra note 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> Antonio Maria Delgado, Maduro May Allow Food Aid into Venezuela if Opposition Recognizes Assembly as Legitimate, MIAMI HERALD, Jan. 11, 2018, available at http://www.miamiherald.com/news/nationworld/world/americas/venezuela/article194269574.html.

<sup>133</sup> Colombia, EEUU, México, Panamá Acusan a Gobierno Venezuela de Robar Fondos de Programa Alimentario, REUTERS, July 12, 2018, available at https://lta.reuters.com/article/topNews/idLTAKBN1K236T-OUSLT. <sup>134</sup> William Neuman, Venezuelan's Opposition Claims a Rare Victory: A Legislative Majority, NEW YORK TIMES, Dec. 6, 2015, available at http://www.nytimes.com/2015/12/07/world/americas/venezuela-elections.html.

resources to fund the campaigns of pro-Government candidates, clamping down on independent media, disqualifying countless opposition candidates from running for office, and refusing to allow credible independent election observers into the country.

In response to these antidemocratic efforts, OAS Secretary General Luis Almagro wrote a public letter to the Venezuelan government demanding that it accept electoral observation and release political prisoners in the run-up to the election. Countless governments, international organizations, and public figures echoed Almagro's demands in the weeks leading up to the election, including a coalition of over 150 legislators from all over the Americas. As the elections drew closer, Maduro started hinting that he may resort to force should the Opposition succeed in the polls, going so far as to say, "we will do whatever it takes to win." Ultimately, the elections occurred on December 6, 2015, with many members of the international community physically present in the country, though not as official electoral observers, and relatively few glitches occurred during the voting period.

Though Maduro did concede the election, he has since worked to undermine the authority of the National Assembly – the legislative body now controlled by the Opposition. Before the election, Maduro stacked the Supreme Court with pro-Government jurists in order to ensure his control over the judiciary. Maduro then worked with the Supreme Court to nullify the results of four districts, removing three opposition legislators and one pro-Government legislator from the National Assembly. Since then, Maduro and the Supreme Court have shot down every measure proposed by the Opposition-led National Assembly. And most recently, to further isolate the Opposition-led National Assembly, the Supreme Court invalidated the Assembly's budgetary role and gave Maduro the authority to determine the national budget dependent upon approval by the Supreme Court. Households are controlled National Assembly.

On January 15, 2016, Maduro issued an economic state of emergency that would allow him to rule by decree pending approval by the National Assembly. After the National Assembly rejected the state of emergency, Maduro appealed to the Supreme Court, which ruled in his favor, thus granting the state of emergency. On May 13, 2016, Maduro announced that he would prolong his special emergency powers. The next day, military drills took place throughout

-

https://www.oas.org/en/about/speech secretary general.asp?sCodigo=15-0099.

 $<sup>^{135}</sup>$  Luis Almagro, Letter of Response to the President of the CNE of Venezuela on Dec. 6 Elections, Org. of American States, Nov. 10, 2015, available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup>Letter to Nicolas Maduro from U.S. Senators and Leading Latin American Legislators, INTER-AMERICAN SECURITY WATCH, Nov. 11, 2015, available at http://interamericansecuritywatch.com/u-s-senators-and-leading-latin-american-legislators-send-letter-to-president-maduro-calling-for-transparent-and-democratic-legislative-elections-in-venezuela. <sup>137</sup> Moisés Naím, Venezuela: A Dictatorship Masquerading as a Democracy, THE ATLANTIC, Dec. 4, 2015, available at http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2015/12/maduro-venezuela-election-democracy/418860. <sup>138</sup> Kejal Vyas & Anatoly Kurmanaev, Maduro's Allies Stack Venezuela's Supreme Court, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, Dec. 23, 2015, available at http://www.wsj.com/articles/maduro-s-allies-stack-venezuelas-supreme-court-1450912005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Sibylla Brodzinsky, *Venezuela Deadlock Ends as Opposition Lawmakers Barred by Court Step Aside*, THE GUARDIAN, Jan. 13, 2016, *available at* http://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/jan/13/venezuela-opposition-national-assembly-deadlock-resign.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> WORLD REPORT 2016: VENEZUELA, *supra* note 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Deisy Buitrago & Alexandra Ulmer, *Venezuela Top Court Grants Maduro Economic Emergency Powers*, *Opposition Cries Foul*, REUTERS, Feb. 11, 2016, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-idUSKCN0VL0AE.

Venezuela. State television and government news websites showed images of deployments across the country, with tanks being unloaded from landing craft, troops setting up tents and armored vehicles on the move. <sup>142</sup> In addition to functioning as a display-of-force and intimidation tactic, these drills represent a huge waste of state funds amidst Venezuela's enduring economic crisis. Maduro has since extended the state of emergency six times, allowing him to continue to rule by decree and suspend the rights of Venezuelans by completely bypassing the National Assembly. <sup>143</sup>

In response to Maduro's consolidation of power, the National Assembly passed a law providing amnesty to the country's political prisoners on March 30, 2016.<sup>144</sup> On April 11, 2016, the Venezuelan Supreme Court unanimously found the amnesty law unconstitutional, despite a long precedent of the use of amnesty in Venezuela to overcome political divides. The law would have pardoned hundreds of individuals convicted of crimes such as "violence or resistance to authority" and "military rebellion" over the past seventeen years. As a result, over 80 Venezuelan political prisoners would have been freed, including opposition leaders López and Ledezma.<sup>145</sup>

Maduro's blatant disregard for the democratic principles enumerated in his country's Constitution led OAS Secretary General Almagro to threaten to invoke the OAS Inter-American Democratic Charter against Maduro, which could result in Venezuela's dismissal from the OAS. 146 On May 19, 2016, Venezuela's National Assembly asked Almagro to move forward and apply the Organization's Democratic Charter due to the eroding state of democracy under the Maduro regime. Later that month, Almagro invoked the body's Democratic Charter. In his report on the matter, Almagro highlighted the injustices faced by political prisoners like López, noting that "democracy is not compatible with the arrest of persons for their ideas." On June 23, the Permanent Council accepted the Almagro report. In his presentation before the body, Almagro named the imprisonment of Leopoldo López as one factor among many justifying international efforts to help Venezuela back towards a democratic path. On August 15, 2016, Almagro wrote an open letter to López expressing his support for his plight as a political prisoner.

# E. The Campaign for a Recall Referendum

In April 2016, frustrated by the Executive Branch's obstruction of the National Assembly and its refusal to allow aid in response to the current humanitarian crisis in the country, the

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Nathan Crooks, *Venezuela Games as Opposition Demands Recall Vote*, BLOOMBERG, May 21, 2016, *available at* http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-05-21/venezuela-holds-war-games-as-opposition-demands-recall-vote.

<sup>143</sup> *Sexto Intento de Maduro para Salvar a Venezuela de la Quiebra*, OK DIARIO, Jan. 15, 2017, *available at* https://okdiario.com/economia/2017/01/15/sexto-intento-maduro-salvar-venezuela-quiebra-669693.

Girish Gupta & Alexandra Ulmer, Venezuela's Maduro Says Will Veto Amnesty Laws, Reshuffle Cabinet, REUTERS, Dec. 9, 2015, available at http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-election-idUSKBN0TR2KD20151209.
 Venezuela's Supreme Court Overturns Amnesty Bill, BBC, Apr. 12, 2016, available at

http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-36021976.

146 Luis Almagro, *Letter to the President of Venezuela*, ORG. OF AMERICAN STATES, Jan. 12, 2016, *available at* 

http://www.oas.org/en/about/speech\_secretary\_general.asp?sCodigo=16-0002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> Luis Almagro, *Letter to the Chairman of the Permanent Council*, ORG. OF AMERICAN STATES, May 30, 2016, *available at* http://www.oas.org/documents/eng/press/OSG-243.en.pdf.

Presentation of the Secretary General of the OAS to the Permanent Council on the Application of the Democratic Charter, June 23, 2016, available at http://www.oas.org/en/about/speech\_secretary\_general.asp?sCodigo=16-0051.
 Luis Almagro, Open Letter to Leopoldo López, ORG. OF AMERICAN STATES, Aug. 22, 2016, available at http://www.oas.org/fpdb/press/osg-441en.pdf

Venezuelan opposition started the process for a constitutionally-ensured presidential recall referendum. The rules of the recall referendum, as dictated by the Venezuelan Constitution, require three stages of petition signings from the Venezuelan electorate. Once those petitions have been submitted, a successful recall referendum would either lead to new elections, (if it is before the halfway mark of the president's term), or lead to the vice president taking over the presidency, (if it is after the halfway mark of the president's term). The entire process is overseen by the National Electoral Council (CNE), which is a branch of government controlled by the Executive Branch. From the outset, Maduro threatened a "rebellion" if the referendum were to succeed.

On May 2, 2016, the Opposition completed the first stage of the referendum process, delivering over 1.85 million signatures to the CNE.<sup>151</sup> The CNE approved these signatures three months later on August 1, 2016, in what many considered to be an unnecessary delay.<sup>152</sup> The CNE then prolonged the scheduling of the second stage of the referendum process. This delay was met with international criticism; on August 11, 2016, 15 countries issued a joint statement in the OAS calling for the referendum to be pursued "clearly, concretely and without a delay."<sup>153</sup> With still no action taken by the CNE, over one million frustrated Venezuelans took to the street to protest this delay and demand the recall referendum on September 1, 2016.<sup>154</sup> On September 21, 2016, the CNE announced a timeline in which the referendum would take place after January 10, 2017 – the halfway mark of Maduro's term – eliminating any hope for the removal of the current ruling party from power.<sup>155</sup>

The Opposition rejected the CNE's timeline, with its obvious delays, and tried to schedule the second stage of the recall referendum for October 26–28, 2016. In response to this action, on October 20, 2016, the Venezuelan Supreme Court invalidated the original signatures delivered on May 2, 2016, thus upending the entire recall referendum process. With limited options for constitutional recourse for a change in administration, the Venezuelan opposition started to plan peaceful protests again.

As a result of the shutdown of referendum process and the escalating tensions in Venezuela, the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR) and the Vatican sought to initiate a dialogue between the Government and the Opposition, having already secured the support of the Government. This dialogue was to be led by three former Ibero-American leaders, former Spanish

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> Manuel Rueda, *Venezuela Blocks Humanitarian Aid as Crisis Gets Crazier*, Fusion, June 1, 2016, *available at* http://fusion.net/story/308479/venezuela-blocks-humanitarian-aid-as-crisis-gets-crazier/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Maria Isabel Sanchez, *Venezuela Opposition Files 2 Mn Signatures for Recall Vote*, YAHOO, May 2, 2016, *available at* https://www.yahoo.com/news/venezuelas-maduro-calls-rebellion-opposition-recall-suceeds-091526014.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Daniel Kai & Andrew Cawthorne, *Venezuela Election Board Okays Opposition Recall Push First Phase*, REUTERS, Aug. 1, 2016, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-idUSKCN10C3DV?il=0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> Joint Statement on Recent Developments in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, U.S. DEP'T OF STATE, Aug. 11, 2016, available at http://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2016/08/261001.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> Diego Oré & Brian Ellsworth, *Venezuelan Opposition Floods Caracas in Vast Anti-Maduro Protest*, REUTERS, Sept. 1, 2016, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-idUSKCN1173LS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> Andrew Rosati & Fabiola Zerpa, *Venezuela Says Recall Vote Could Be Held in First Quarter 2017*, BLOOMBERG, Sept. 21, 2016, *available at* http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-09-22/venezuela-says-recall-vote-could-be-held-in-first-quarter-2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Ralph Ellis & Julia Jones, *Venezuela Halts Effort to Recall President*, CNN, Oct. 21, 2016, *available at* http://www.cnn.com/2016/10/21/americas/venezuela-recall-halted/.

Prime Minister José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero, former Panamanian President Martín Torrijos, and former Dominican President Leonel Fernández. This was the first dialogue that had participation from both members of the Government and the Opposition in over a year. Not all members of the Opposition participated in the discussions, however, because of the belief that the Government wanted to use the talks to delay any concrete action. The negotiations ultimately failed in December 2016 when it became clear that Maduro would not make meaningful concessions. With the failure of the dialogue, the Opposition began staging peaceful protests again. On January 23, 2017, there was a peaceful protest where thousands took to the street to voice concerns over food shortages and the economic crisis. 159

Prior to this protest, in a final attempt to voice its concern and spur a recall referendum, the National Assembly declared Maduro in abandonment of his duties and called for new elections in early January 2017. Even within Venezuela, this move was seen as largely symbolic and unlikely to lead to any action due to the undermining of the National Assembly's influence and Maduro's refusal to adhere to Venezuelan law that does not benefit him. Now that Maduro is more than halfway through his elected term, his removal from office would result in his equally extreme vice president El Aissami assuming the presidency. El Aissami is reported to have ties to Hezbollah and is currently being investigated by the U.S. Justice Department for involvement in drug trafficking. 163

During this tense time, there has also been an uptick in the targeting and arbitrary detaining of officials from López's political party, *Voluntad Popular*, as they were viewed as the most supportive, active organizers of the recall referendum and the peaceful protests in the country, as well as critical of an open-ended dialogue with few concessions made by the Government. On November 1, 2016, Maduro even went so far as to call *Voluntad Popular* a "terrorist group" on national television. Opponents of Maduro who supported the recall referendum have been

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Venezuelan Govt, Mistrustful Rivals Plan Crisis Talks, YAHOO, Oct. 31, 2016, available at https://au.news.yahoo.com/world/a/33047556/venezuela-opposition-agree-to-hold-talks/#page1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> Andrew Rosati, *Venezuela Opposition Walks Out of Vatican-Mediated Dialogues*, BLOOMBERG, Dec. 6, 2016, *available at* https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-12-06/venezuela-opposition-walks-out-of-vatican-sponsored-negotiations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> Diego Oré & Anggy Polanco, *Venezuela's Opposition Revives Push to End Maduro's Rule*, REUTERS, Jan. 23, 2017, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/venezuelas-opposition-revives-push-to-end-maduros-rule-idUSKBN15726I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> Kejal Vyas, *Venezuela Opposition Censures Maduro in Last-Ditch Bid to Counter Him*, WALL STREET JOURNAL, Jan. 9, 2017, *available at* http://www.wsj.com/articles/venezuela-opposition-censures-maduro-in-last-ditch-bid-to-counter-him-1484009226.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> John Paul Rathbone, *What is Venezuela's Recall Referendum and How Does it Work?*, THE FINANCIAL TIMES, May 16, 2016, *available at* https://www.ft.com/content/0ec238bc-1b78-11e6-a7bc-ee846770ec15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> Hannah Dreier, *Venezuela's Maduro Names New Vice President*, BIG STORY, Jan. 4, 2017, *available at* http://bigstory.ap.org/article/146ec219762c44fd9b2d92f701c16444/venezuelas-maduro-names-new-vice-president and Linette Lopez, *A Suspected Terrorist and Drug Trafficker Just Became Venezuela's Vice President*, BUSINESS INSIDER, Jan. 5, 2017, *available at* http://www.businessinsider.com/new-venezuela-vice-president-has-ties-to-iran-hezbollah-2017-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Andrew Cawthorne, *Venezuela Detains 25 Opposition Supporters Before Rally – Rights Group*, REUTERS, Aug. 31, 2016, *available at* http://uk.reuters.com/article/uk-venezuela-politics-idUKKCN1162V5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> Diego Oré & Fabian Cambero, *Venezuelan Opposition Scraps Maduro Trial but Presses Demands*, REUTERS, Nov. 2, 2016, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-idUSKBN12W4EV.

particularly effected by the food shortages; they have been discriminated against in the military-controlled food lines and government workers that supported the referendum have been fired. 166

### F. Constitutional Crisis and Protests in 2017

On March 29, 2017, the Venezuelan Supreme Court issued two rulings that effectively allowed the court to assume the Opposition-led National Assembly's legislative powers. 167 While the first ruling granted the judiciary the ability to assume the National Assembly's parliamentary powers, the other called into question the assembly members' parliamentary immunity. 168 The Court justified its actions by citing its previous rulings holding the National Assembly in contempt for swearing in three lawmakers who had been judicially suspended after fraud claims attempting to invalidate their election. 169 The Court claimed that as long as the National Assembly was held in contempt, all parliamentary powers "shall be exercised directly by the Supreme Court's constitutional chamber or by the body it stipulates to safeguard the rule of law."<sup>170</sup> Additionally, the Court's rulings also invalidated a Venezuelan law stipulating that all joint ventures of the government must receive the prior approval of the National Assembly. The Court's decision was issued during a crucial time in which President Maduro hoped to authorize joint ventures on oil between Venezuela, Russia, and China to sustain the economic lifeline of his regime. <sup>171</sup> The Opposition-controlled National Assembly sought to block this venture and warned investors that these new loans would be considered illegal by Venezuela's National Assembly and would not be binding on future administrations. <sup>172</sup> Transferring the authority of approving these joint ventures to the exclusive purview of President Maduro was considered by many to be an unconstitutional attempt of the regime to maintain its power in the face of staunch opposition while effectively solidifying the Socialist Party's rule over all three branches. 173

In protest of the Supreme Court's action, opposition leaders called for renewed street protests to express disapproval with what they considered had been a "self-inflicted coup d'état" by the Maduro regime. The Supreme Court's decision also received international condemnation, with Secretary General of the OAS claiming the Venezuelan government had dealt the "final blows to democracy in the country," and calling immediately for an urgent OAS meeting

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> WORLD REPORT 2016: VENEZUELA, *supra* note 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Venezuela Supreme Court Takes Over Legislative Powers from National Assembly, DEUTSCHE WELLE, Mar. 30, 2017, available at http://www.dw.com/en/venezuela-supreme-court-takes-over-legislative-powers-from-national-assembly/a-38214811.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> Racheael Boothroyd Rojas, *Venezuela's Supreme Court Annuls Controversial Decisions on Legislature*, VENEZUELA ANALYSIS, Apr. 1, 2017, *available at* https://venezuelanalysis.com/news/13019.

Venezuela Supreme Court Takes Over Legislative Powers from National Assembly, supra note 167.Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Andrew Rosati, *Venezuela Lurches Toward Dictatorship as Top Court Seizes Power*, BLOOMBERG, Mar. 30, 2017, *available at* https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-03-30/venezuela-s-supreme-court-takes-over-national-assembly-duties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> Rafael Romo, *Venezuela's High Court Dissolves National Assembly*, CNN, Mar. 30, 2017, *available at* http://www.cnn.com/2017/03/30/americas/venezuela-dissolves-national-assembly/index.html. <sup>174</sup> *Id.* 

to discuss Venezuela's violation of the Democratic Charter.<sup>175</sup> Brazil, Argentina, Mexico, Colombia, and Chile also expressed disapproval, while Peru withdrew its envoy to Venezuela.<sup>176</sup>

Soon afterwards, Attorney General Luisa Ortega, a former ally of the regime, deemed the Supreme Court's decision a "rupture of constitutional order." Amidst intense international and domestic pressure, the Court annulled its decision officially on April 1, 2017, reinstating the National Assembly's parliamentary powers and immunity, yet Maduro retained his authority to enter into joint oil ventures without the Assembly's approval and was thus able to finalize a deal with Russia. 178

The national outrage resulting from the Supreme Court's attempt to assume powers of the National Assembly in March 2017 has come to be referred to as the Venezuelan "Constitutional Crisis;" sparking the most significant and "combative" wave of unrest since the 2014 protests. <sup>179</sup> From April 1, 2017, to August 2017, hundreds of thousands of protestors took to the streets to peacefully protest against the overreach of the Supreme Court. Even after the Supreme Court's retraction, protests continued. Opposition demonstrators regularly clashed with National Guard soldiers, police officers, and pro-Government supporters who regularly robbed, beat, and deployed tear gas, gunfire, military tanks against peaceful protestors. <sup>180</sup> As of August, 2017, 162 Venezuelans had been killed, more than 150000 had been injured, <sup>181</sup> and over 5000 had been detained by government forces. <sup>182</sup> Since the start of the protests, the number of political prisoners increased from 82 to a high of over 600. <sup>183</sup> Protestors have continually demanded early general elections, the immediate release of imprisoned opposition members, the establishment of a UN

11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Editorial Board, *Venezuela's Descent to Dictatorship*, NEW YORK TIMES, Mar. 31, 2017, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/31/opinion/venezuelas-descent-into-dictatorship.html? r=1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> Venezuela Accused of "Self-Coup" After Supreme Court Shuts Down National Assembly, BUENOS AIRES HERALD, Mar. 31, 2017, available at http://www.buenosairesherald.com/article/225180/venezuela-accused-of-'selfcoup'-after-supreme-court-shuts-down-national-assembly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> Rafael Romo, *Venezuelan Attorney General Breaks with Maduro, Slams Court Ruling*, CNN, Mar. 31, 2017, *available at* http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/asamblea-nacional/acordo-agenda-protestas-sesion-estesabado 88463.

<sup>178</sup> Hanna Dreier & Fabiola Sanchez, *Venezuela's Supreme Court Reverses Own Move to Strip Power from Congress*, THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE, Apr. 1, 2017, *available at* http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/nationworld/ct-venezuela-supreme-court-reversal-20170401-story.html and Christof Lehmann, *Venezuelan Supreme Court Partially Annuls Most Controversial Parts of Constitutional Coup*, NSNBC INTERNATIONAL, Apr. 2, 2017, *available at* https://nsnbc.me/2017/04/02/venezuelan-supreme-court-partially-annuls-most-controversial-parts-of-constitutional-coup/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> Thousands Take to Streets to Protest Venezuelan President Nicolas Maduro, CBC NEWS, Apr. 8, 2017, available at http://www.cbc.ca/news/world/venezuela-maduro-protests-1.4062388.

<sup>180</sup> Amnistía Internacional Instó a Aclarar Componentes del Gas Rojo, EL NACIONAL, Apr. 8, 2017, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/protestas/amnistia-internacional-insto-aclarar-componentes-del-gas-rojo\_96071; U.S. Official Expressed Concern over Venezuela, ASSOCIATED PRESS, Apr. 19, 2017, available at https://www.yahoo.com/news/latest-venezuelans-gear-opposition-march-152352588.html; and More Injuries in Venezuela as Regime Pushes Referendum, DEUTSCHE WELLE, June 6, 2017, available at http://www.dw.com/en/more-injuries-in-venezuela-as-regime-pushes-referendum/a-39123757.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> Here's Your Guide to Understanding Protest Deaths in Venezuela, TELESUR, June 13, 2017, available at http://www.telesurtv.net/english/news/Heres-Your-Guide-to-Understanding-Protest-Deaths-in-Venezuela-20170422-0016.html.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> José Miguel Vivanco & Tamara Taraciuk Broner, What the World Needs to Do About Venezuela, CNN, June 11, 2017, available at http://www.cnn.com/2017/04/23/opinions/twitter-venezuelan-protest-vivanco-broner/index.html.
 <sup>183</sup> Foro Penal: Se Contabilizan 439 Presos Políticos en Venezuela, EL NACIONAL, Oct. 3, 2017, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/presos-politicos/foro-penal-contabilizan-439-presos-politicos-venezuela 206145.

humanitarian relief channel in Venezuela, the respect for the National Assembly, and the replacement of the current Supreme Court's judges and rectors of the National Electoral Council in order to restore respect for the separation of powers in the country.<sup>184</sup> During the summer of 2017, daily protests regularly reached numbers in the hundreds of thousands, while some demonstrations such as the "mother of all protests" reached a total number of almost six million, claiming its place as the largest protest in Venezuelan history. As opposition numbers continued to grow, demonstrators were increasingly able to reach previously barricaded government strongholds like Western Caracas.

Maduro responded to these waves of protests on May 1, 2017, by calling for the establishment of a constituent assembly that would have unchecked power to formulate a new constitution in order to, in his words, "restore peace" in the country once again. Deposition leaders immediately objected and twenty-one parties boycotted the constituent assembly elections, criticizing it as Maduro's effort to further jeopardize democracy and constitutional order against the will of the people. The establishment of the Constituent National Assembly has been regarded as a strategy to indefinitely delay any elections, completely side line the Opposition-led National Assembly, and distract the public under the farce of writing a new constitution that would be controlled by delegates loyal to the regime. Legally, a national referendum is required to convene a constituent assembly, but Maduro ignored this crucial stipulation in his efforts to solidify his own power under a new constitution.

Controversy regarding Maduro's efforts to establish a constituent assembly continued as Attorney General Luisa Ortega again broke from the regime's agenda to express her disapproval of its most recent handling of the protests, as well as its attempt rewrite the Constitution. Ortega had already refused to prosecute peaceful protests, causing the Maduro regime to resort to trying over 527 civilian protesters in Military Tribunal. Ortega then filed a challenge to the Supreme Court – which was rejected – in regards to the establishment of the constituent assembly. Subsequently, Ortega and her family were threatened and intimidated by the Government, and she faced a smear campaign labeling her as a traitor to the country, "questioning her sanity, and accusing her of promoting violence." On June 29, 2017, the Supreme Court had Ortega barred from leaving the country and froze her assets because of alleged "serious misconduct" in office.

\_

http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-40259159 and *Venezuela Protestors Set Fire to Supreme Court Building as Crisis Deepens*, The Telegraph, June 13, 2017, available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> Protestors in Venezuela Demand Elections, FINANCIAL TIMES, May 4, 2017, available at https://www.ft.com/content/e78bda64-1c93-11e7-a454-ab04428977f9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> Venezuela's Embattled Socialist President Calls for Citizen's Congress, USA TODAY, May 1, 2017, available at https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2017/05/01/28aduro-citizens-congress/101175468/.

<sup>186</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> Lucas Koerner, *Venezuela Attorney General Rejects National Constituent Assembly in Leaked Letter*, VENEZUELA ANALYSIS, May 22, 2017, *available at* https://venezuelanalysis.com/news/13142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> Foro Penal: 307 Personas Han Sido Privadas de Libertad por Tribunales Militares, EL NORTE, July 19, 2017, available at http://www.elnorte.com.ve/director-foro-penal-307-personas-privadas-libertad-tribunales-militares/.

<sup>191</sup> Venezuela Chief Prosecutor Ortega's Family 'Threatened,' BBC, June 13, 2017, available at

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/06/13/venezuela-protesters-set-fire-supreme-court-building-crisis/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> Venezuela's Attorney General Barred From Leaving Country, VOICE OF AMERICA, June 29, 2017, available at https://www.voanews.com/a/venezuela-attorney-general-barred-from-leaving-country/3920939.html.

On July 16, 2017, the Venezuelan opposition organized a plebiscite vote to demonstrate the unpopularity of the constituent assembly, where over seven million Venezuelans came out to vote against it. Despite international condemnation and domestic protest, Maduro went through with the unconstitutional election for the members of the constituent assembly on July 30, 2017. The vote was widely acknowledged to be a farce by the international community, and even the company that ran the referendum maintains that the results were "fixed." Days later on August 5, 2017, the new constituent assembly dismissed Attorney General Ortega and replaced her with Government loyalist and current Public Ombudsman Tarek William Saab. Attorney General Ortega has since fled the country and has widely denounced the Maduro regime for undermining democracy and violating human rights. Meanwhile, the Government has only ramped up its persecution of opposition mayors in an attempt to further quell dissent; in the aftermath of the constituent assembly elections, at least five mayors were sentenced to jail time on politically motivated charges in connection to their involvement in the protests, and others were removed from their positions. 197

# G. Further Power Consolidation Through Electoral Fraud

In addition to undermining the separation of powers in the country, the Venezuela government has moved to further consolidate its power through numerous instances of electoral fraud. In October 2017, the Government made one such unconstitutional power grab during the regional gubernatorial elections for all of Venezuela's 23 states. Though these elections were constitutionally required to be held before January 2017, the Government waited for a more favorable political climate – essentially until the mid-2017 protests died down – to convene the elections. Furthermore, it was quite clear from the onset that the Government would utterly fail to ensure any fairness or transparency in the electoral process. Not only were the elections announced just one month prior to their being held, but in the weeks preceding the elections, the Government and the Government-controlled National Electoral Council (CNE) committed egregious irregularities, <sup>199</sup> including: failing to finalize a list of candidates until 24 hours before the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> Corina Pons & Brian Ellsworth, *Venezuela Opposition Says 7 Million Voted in Anti-Maduro Poll*, REUTERS, July 16, 2017, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-idUSKBN1A104O.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> Nicholas Casey, *Venezuela Reported False Election Turnout, Voting Company Says*, NEW YORK TIMES, Aug. 2, 2017, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2017/08/02/world/americas/venezuela-election-turnout.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> Mary Mogollan & Chris Kraul, *Venezuela's Attorney General is Ousted by New Assembly and Replaced With a Maduro Loyalist*, Los Angeles Times, Aug. 5, 2017, *available at* http://www.latimes.com/world/la-fg-venezuela-assembly-session-20170805-story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> Redacción El Mundo, *Exfiscal de Venezuela si Está en Colombia: Migración*, EL ESPECTADOR, Aug. 18, 2017, *available at* https://www.elespectador.com/noticias/el-mundo/exfiscal-de-venezuela-huyendo-de-maduro-habria-aterrizado-este-viernes-en-colombia-articulo-708855.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> Fifth Venzuela Opposition Mayor Sentenced Over Protests, BBC, Aug. 10, 2017, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-40886198 and Nicholas Casey & Patricia Torres, Venezuela's Supreme Court Gives Opposition Mayor 15-Month Jail Term, NEW YORK TIMES, Aug. 8, 2017, available at https://mobile.nytimes.com/2017/08/08/world/americas/venezuela-ramon-muchacho-jail.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup> El CNE Aplaza por Seis Meses las Elecciones Regionales en Venezuela, BBC, Oct. 18, 2016, available at http://www.bbc.com/mundo/noticias-america-latina-37699764.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup> El Parlamento Venezolano Declara Fraudulento el Resultado de las Elecciones Regionales, RTVE, Oct. 19, 2017, available at http://www.rtve.es/noticias/20171019/parlamento-venezolano-declara-fraudulento-resultado-elecciones-regionales/1629300.shtml.

elections;<sup>200</sup> relocating hundreds of polling centers in areas historically favorable to the Opposition;<sup>201</sup> failing to use indelible ink to prevent multiple votes;<sup>202</sup> and pressuring voters to vote for government candidates through harassment, intimidation,<sup>203</sup> and abuse of CNE-controlled channels of communication to promote government candidates.<sup>204</sup>

As a result, despite pre-election polling showing an overwhelming win for the Opposition, the Government ended up capturing 18 of 23 governorships. Worse, Maduro forced the five opposition candidates who had won governorships to be sworn-in by – and thus legitimize – the illegal Constituent Assembly, and announced a "redo" election in the state of Zulia when its newly-elected opposition governor, Juan Pablo Guanipa, refused to recognize the Constituent Assembly. On the state of Zulia when its newly-elected opposition governor, Juan Pablo Guanipa, refused to recognize the Constituent Assembly.

The Opposition and international community have strongly condemned the lack of fairness and transparency in the October 2017 regional elections and have refused to recognize the results of these elections. In the aftermath of the regional elections, three major opposition parties, including López's *Voluntad Popular*, announced that they would not participate in any future elections – including the municipal elections, which the Government announced would happen in December 2017 – until guarantees of fairness and transparency could be established.<sup>207</sup>

Meanwhile, in an attempt to secure fair electoral conditions and respect for human rights from the Government, the MUD agreed to enter into another round of negotiations with the Government. These commenced on December 1, 2017 in the Dominican Republic, with the foreign ministers of Mexico, Bolivia, Nicaragua, and Chile acting as international guarantors. However, the negotiations quickly stalled and ultimately failed in the face of further violations of democracy and rule of law by the Government. December 2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup> CNE Publicó Lista de Sustituciones de Candidatos a Pocas Horas de las Elecciones, RUNRUNES, Oct. 14, 2017, available at http://runrun.es/nacional/328365/cne-publico-lista-de-sustituciones-de-candidatos-a-pocas-horas-de-las-elecciones.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> El Parlamento Venezolano Declara Fraudulento el Resultado de las Elecciones Regiones, supra note 199.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> Rondón: CNE No Usará Tinta Indeleble en las Regionales, EL NACIONAL, Sept. 14, 2017, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/politica/rondon-cne-usara-tinta-indeleble-las-regionales 203653.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> El Parlamento Venezolano Declara Fraudulento el Resultado de las Elecciones Regiones, supra note 199.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> Francisco Toro, *Venezuela's Democracy is Fake, But the Government's Latest Election Win Was Real,* THE WASHINGTON POST, Oct. 17, 2017, *available at* https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/democracy-post/wp/2017/10/17/venezuelas-democracy-is-fake-but-the-governments-latest-election-win-was-real/?utm\_term=.dd0c9a2e9d5b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> Las Divisiones de la Oposición en Venezuela: 4 de sus 5 Gobernadores Electos se Juramentan Ante la Controvertida Asamblea Constituyente, BBC, Oct. 24, 2017, available at http://www.bbc.com/mundo/noticias-america-latina-41730668.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> *Id. See also* Isaac Urrutia & Eyanir Chinea, *Venezuelan Anti-Maduro Governor Sacked, Opposition in Chaos,* REUTERS, Oct. 26, 2017, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-opposition/venezuelan-anti-maduro-governor-sacked-opposition-in-chaos-idUSKBN1CV2MR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> Ludmila Vinogradoff, *La Mayor Parte de la Oposición Venezolana No Participará en las Municipales*, ABC, Oct. 31, 2017, *available at* http://www.abc.es/internacional/abci-mayor-parte-oposicion-venezolana-no-participara-municipales-201710310140 noticia.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> Gobierno y Oposición en Venezuela Retoman Diálogo en República Dominicana, REUTERS, Dec. 1, 2017, available at https://lta.reuters.com/article/topNews/idLTAKBN1DV4UA-OUSLT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> Venezuela Dialogue Ends Without Signed Agreement: Dominican President, TELESUR, Feb. 7, 2018, available at https://www.telesurtv.net/english/news/Venezuela-Dialogue-Ends-Without-Signed-Agreement-Dominican-President-20180207-0015.html.

On December 20, 2017, the Constituent Assembly effectively barred the opposition parties that had boycotted the municipal elections that month from participating in future elections, including the presidential elections, constitutionally required to be held in 2018.<sup>210</sup> Eager to take advantage of the Government's recent (but fraudulent) electoral victories, the Constituent Assembly then moved to consolidate the ruling party's power by accelerating the schedule for presidential elections. On January 23, 2018, the Constituent Assembly decided that these would be held before the end of April.<sup>211</sup> It then scheduled them for April 22, 2018.<sup>212</sup>

Alarmed by the call for early elections and the lack of electoral guarantees, Voluntad Popular announced on February 16, 2018 that it would not participate in the upcoming presidential elections, calling them "an electoral fraud." The rest of the MUD followed suit a few days later, announcing that it would boycott the presidential vote.<sup>214</sup>

Given the Opposition's call for a boycott of the elections, Maduro entered into a round of negotiations with several Opposition leaders, who briefly considered lifting the boycott if key conditions to ensure electoral integrity were guaranteed. Most Opposition parties backed out of the negotiations after it became clear Maduro would not honor these conditions. Nevertheless, Maduro signed a pact a minority of Opposition parties – none of which are in the MUD – in which he agreed to push back the elections to May 20, 2018.<sup>215</sup> Simultaneously, former Governor Henri Falcón announced that he would forego the boycott and run for the presidency despite strong condemnation by the remaining Opposition parties.<sup>216</sup> Upping the stakes, Maduro subsequently broadened the scope of the election to extend to municipal and state legislative council votes as well.<sup>217</sup>

The international community emphatically rejected the call for early elections, with the Lima Group – a group of foreign ministers from 12 Latin American and Caribbean countries – declaring that "the holding of elections before May impedes their transparency and credibility,"<sup>218</sup> and the Organization of American States (OAS) adopting a resolution calling on the Venezuelan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> Ana Vanessa Herrero, Venezuela Puts Up Roadblock for Opposition in Next Presidential Vote, NEW YORK TIMES, Dec. 20, 2017, available at https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/20/world/americas/venezuela-presidential-electionopposition.html?mtrref=www.google.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup> Kirk Semple, Venezuela Calls for Early Elections, and Maduro Aims to Retain Control, NEW YORK TIMES, Jan. 23, 2018, available at https://www.nytimes.com/2018/01/23/world/americas/venezuela-electionmaduro.html?mtrref=www.google.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> Venezuelan Opposition to Boycott 'Fraudulent' Presidential Vote, REUTERS, Feb. 21, 2018, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/venezuela-opposition-to-boycott-fraudulent-presidential-voteidUSKCN1G52FD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> Voluntad Popular No Participará en Elecciones Presidenciales, RUNRUN.ES, Feb. 16, 2018, available at http://runrun.es/nacional/339407/voluntad-popular-no-participara-en-elecciones-presidenciales.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup> Venezuelan Opposition to Boycott 'Fraudulent' Presidential Vote, supra note 212.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> Alonso Moleiro, Venezuela Retrasa las Elecciones del 22 de Abril al 20 de Mayo, EL PAÍS, Mar. 2, 2018, available at https://elpais.com/internacional/2018/03/01/actualidad/1519923008 218953.html. <sup>216</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>218</sup> Javier Lafuente, Los Principales Países de América Latina Rechazan las Elecciones en Venezuela Antes de Mayo, EL PAÍS, Jan. 24, 2018, available at https://elpais.com/internacional/2018/01/23/america/1516747091 502952.html.

government to reconsider its decision to call early elections.<sup>219</sup> At the Summit of the Americas in April 2018, the U.S. Ambassador to the OAS reaffirmed the U.S.' stance that the Venezuelan elections were neither free nor democratic and asked other countries to impose sanctions on Maduro and his regime.<sup>220</sup> U.S. Vice President Mike Pence stressed these points and further called for a suspension of Venezuela from the OAS.<sup>221</sup> Brazil, Colombia, and 13 other member countries of the OAS joined the U.S. in its demands for Venezuela to hold transparent and democratic elections.<sup>222</sup> Multiple European nations have equally voiced their concerns about the legitimacy of the elections. The Spanish Parliament passed a resolution in April denouncing the elections, calling for their suspension, and advocating for further EU sanctions against Venezuela.<sup>223</sup> French President Macron also urged the EU to expand its sanctions on Venezuela.<sup>224</sup>

Despite widespread international pressure and condemnation, Venezuela maintained the legitimacy of the elections and proceeded with them as planned. On May 20, 2018, Maduro was re-elected for another six-year term with 67.8% of the vote. Falcón, the only Opposition candidate, received 21% of the vote. The Opposition, however, had called on Venezuelan citizens to boycott the fraudulent electoral process, resulting in a historically high abstention rate. According to the National Electoral Council, only 46.02% of eligible voters participated, compared to almost 80% in the 2013 elections.

As a result of the high abstention rate and other electoral irregularities, such as reports that the Government bought votes through the use of government benefit registries, Falcón joined the rest of the Opposition in denouncing the electoral fraud, rejecting the election results, and calling for new and fair elections. The Frente Amplio (Broad Front) – a coalition of Opposition parties, religious groups, unions, student groups, and other members of civil society formed in 2018 to recover democracy in Venezuela – and the MUD called for elections to be held in the last quarter

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> OAS Urges Venezuela to Reconsider Its Electoral Process, MERCOPRESS, Feb. 23, 2018, available at http://en.mercopress.com/2018/02/23/oas-urges-venezuela-to-reconsider-its-electoral-process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> EEUU Pide a Latinoamérica que no Reconozca las Elecciones en Venezuela, EL UNIVERSAL Apr. 13, 2018, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/politica/6141/eeuu-pide-latinoamerica-reconozca-elecciones-venezuela.

<sup>221</sup> US Asks Organization of American States to Suspend Venezuela, THE NEW YORK TIMES, May 7, 2018, available at https://www.nytimes.com/aponline/2018/05/07/us/politics/ap-us-pence-latin-america.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> Cumbre de las Américas: 16 países se Pronouncian por Venezuela, EL COMERCIO, Apr. 14, 2018, available at, https://elcomercio.pe/politica/16-paises-pronuncian-crisis-humanitaria-venezuela-noticia-512166.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup> Parlamento Español Desconoce Elecciones del 20 de Mayo y Pide que se Suspendan, EFECTO COCUYO, Apr. 12, 2018, available at http://efectococuyo.com/politica/parlamento-espanol-desconoce-elecciones-del-20-de-mayo-y-pide-que-se-suspendan/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> John Irish, France Urges More EU Sanctions on Venezuela Over 'Shift to Authoritarianism', U.S. NEWS, Jan. 26, 2018, available at https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2018-01-26/frances-macron-calls-for-new-eusanctions-on-venezuela.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> Elecciones en Venezuela: Nicolás Maduro Gana las Presidenciales Según el Consejo Nacional Electoral y Henri Falcón Cuestiona el Resultado, BBC NEWS, May 21, 2018, available at http://www.bbc.com/mundo/noticias-america-latina-44192065.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> Daniel G. Marco, *Elecciones en Venezuela: Qué Son los Puntos Rojos y Por Qué Henri Falcón Acusa a Maduro de 'Compra de Votos*, 'BBC NEWS, May 21, 2018, *available at* http://www.bbc.com/mundo/noticias-america-latina-44192915.

of 2018.<sup>229</sup> For his part, Maduro lauded the election results and called for a dialogue with opposition forces.<sup>230</sup>

The election results were heavily condemned by the international community. The Lima Group announced that it would not recognize the legitimacy of the elections, as did Canada, the U.S., the EU, and the G7. The Latin American countries of the Lima Group also recalled their Ambassadors to Venezuela in protest of the fraudulent elections. Canada released a statement calling the elections "illegitimate and anti-democratic" and announced that it would take "steps, effective immediately to downgrade its diplomatic relations and restrict its engagement with Venezuela." Mike Pompeo, the U.S. Secretary of State, also released a statement denouncing the "so-called 'election' as an 'attack on constitutional order and an affront to Venezuela's tradition of democracy," and emphasizing that until the "Maduro regime restores a democratic path in Venezuela through free, fair, and transparent elections, [it] faces isolation from the international community." Similarly, the EU condemned the lack of legitimacy in the elections: "Major obstacles to the participation of opposition political parties and their leaders, an unbalanced composition of the National Electoral Council, biased electoral conditions, [and] numerous reported irregularities during the Election Day, including vote buying, stood in the way of fair and equitable elections."<sup>234</sup>

# H. Challenges to Maduro's Ongoing Usurpation of Power

Despite the international community's clear denouncement of his fraudulent election, Maduro proceeded to take the oath of office before the Supreme Court of Venezuela and begin a second term on January 10, 2019.<sup>235</sup> During his inauguration, Maduro dismissed international criticism to his second term, claiming that Venezuela was "at the center of a world war" waged by US imperialists in Latin America.<sup>236</sup> The international community, however, only doubled down on its criticism of Maduro after his inauguration. Minutes after Maduro was sworn in, the OAS adopted a resolution "not to recognize the legitimacy of the period of the Nicolás Maduro regime

http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2018/05/22/declaration-by-the-high-representative-on-behalf-of-the-eu-on-the-presidential-and-regional-elections-in-venezuela/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> Rafael León, *La MUD y el Frente Amplio Exigen Elecciones para el Último Trimestre*, EL NACIONAL, May 22, 2018, *available at* http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/politica/mud-frente-amplio-exigen-elecciones-para-ultimo-trimestre\_236423.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> Lima Group Says Does Not Recognize Venezuela's Election, REUTERS, May 21, 2018, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-election-limagroup/lima-group-says-does-not-recognize-venezuela-election-idUSKCN1IM19G.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> Chrystia Freeland, Canada Condemns Fraudulent Presidential Elections in Venezuela, GLOBAL AFFAIRS CANADA, May 21, 2018, *available at* https://www.canada.ca/en/global-affairs/news/2018/05/canada-condemns-fraudulent-presidential-elections-in-venezuela.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> Mike Pompeo, Press Statement on an Unfair, Unfree Vote in Venezuela, U.S. DEP'T OF STATE, May 21, 2018, available at https://www.state.gov/secretary/remarks/2018/05/282303.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> Federica Mogherini, Declaration by the High Representative on Behalf of the EU on the Presidential and Regional Elections in Venezuela, COUNCIL OF THE EU, May 22, 2018, *available at* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> Tom Phillips, *Maduro Starts New Venezuela Term by Accusing US of Imperialist 'World War'*, THE GUARDIAN, Jan. 10, 2019, *available at* https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jan/10/venezuela-president-nicolas-maduro-begins-second-term.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> *Id*.

as of January 10, 2019" and called for a re-election.<sup>237</sup> In that week, Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau called Maduro an "illegitimate dictator";<sup>238</sup> US National Security Advisor Ambassador John Bolton declared the US would not recognize Maduro's "illegitimate claim to power";<sup>239</sup> Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro stated he would do all he could to "re-establish order and democracy" in Venezuela;<sup>240</sup> Chilean Foreign Minister Roberto Ampuero called Maduro's inauguration a "black day" for democracy in Venezuela;<sup>241</sup> Argentine President Mauricio Macri said Maduro was trying to make a "mockery of democracy";<sup>242</sup> and Colombian Vice President Marta Lucía Ramírez claimed the "criminal dictatorship" in Venezuela had become a security threat in the region.<sup>243</sup> Paraguay announced it would break off diplomatic relations in Venezuela and Peru stated it would recall its diplomatic representatives from the country.<sup>244</sup>

Meanwhile, in Venezuela, the Opposition-led National Assembly turned to the Constitution of Venezuela to annul the results of Maduro's fraudulent and illegitimate election, end his ongoing usurpation of power, and restore democracy in Venezuela.

Through its plain language, the Constitution, which was written by Chávez, provided the National Assembly with the means to secure a legal exit from the current political crisis. Specifically, Article 233 of the Constitution states:<sup>245</sup>

The President of the Republic shall become <u>permanently unavailable to serve</u> by reason of any of the following events: death; resignation . . . <u>abandonment of his position, duly declared by the National Assembly</u>; and recall by popular vote.

When an elected President becomes permanently unavailable to serve prior to his inauguration, a new election by universal suffrage and direct ballot shall be held within 30 consecutive days. Pending election and inauguration of the new President, the President of the National Assembly shall take charge of the Presidency of the Republic . . . .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> The OAS Approved the Resolution that Declared the New Government of Nicolás Maduro Illegitimate, INFOBAE, Jan. 10, 2019, available at https://www.infobae.com/america/venezuela/2019/01/10/en-vivo-la-oea-debate-en-sesion-extraordinaria-la-asuncion-de-nicolas-maduro/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup> Rahul Kalvapelle, *Trudeau Slams Venezuelan 'Dictator' Maduro, Sidesteps Question on Brazil's President*, GLOBAL NEWS, Jan. 16, 2019, *available at* https://globalnews.ca/news/4851670/trudeau-venezuela-maduro-brazil-bolsonaro/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> Press Release, *Statement from National Security Advisor Ambassador John Bolton on Venezuela*, WHITE HOUSE, Jan. 11, 2019, *available at* https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/statement-national-security-advisor-ambassador-john-bolton-venezuela/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> Brazil's Bolsonaro Pledges Action to 'Restore Democracy' in Venezuela, REUTERS, Jan. 17, 2019, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-brazil-venezuela/brazils-bolsonaro-pledges-action-to-restore-democracy-in-venezuela-idUSKCN1PC00N.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup>Sinikka Tarvainen, *Venezuela's Maduro Begins New Term amid Increasing Isolation*, HAVANA TIMES, Jan. 11, 2019, *available at* https://havanatimes.org/features/venezuelas-maduro-begins-new-term-amid-increasing-isolation/. <sup>242</sup> Scott Smith, *Isolation Greets Maduro's New Term as Venezuela's President*, AP NEWS, Jan. 10, 2019, *available at* 

https://www.apnews.com/f1df9924783f49859874f5fc97f0f534.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup> Venezuela's Maduro Begins New Term amid Increasing Isolation, supra note 241.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23.

Over the last several years, as Maduro has tightened his grip on power by flouting numerous provisions of the Constitution, especially those requiring his Government to adhere to human rights norms and standards under both Venezuelan and international law and, most recently, those requiring that he be elected in a free, fair, and democratic manner, the National Assembly has adopted resolutions with majority votes stating that his unconstitutional actions constitute a dereliction of his presidential duties, including on January 9, 2017, 246 August 8, 2018, 247 and November 13, 2018. Given this, the National Assembly declared in a resolution on November 13, 2018, that as of January 10, 2019, Maduro's assumption to the Presidency for his second term would be unconstitutional.

On January 5, 2019, 35-year-old Juan Guaidó, the leader of *Voluntad Popular* and a mentee of Leopoldo López, was sworn in as President of the National Assembly.<sup>250</sup> In his inauguration speech, Guaidó reiterated that the May 2018 election had been rigged, that "from January 10 [Maduro would] be usurping the presidency of the Republic," and that the National Assembly remained the true representative of the Venezuelan people.<sup>251</sup>

On January 11, 2019, after Maduro began his unconstitutional second term, Guaidó called for nationwide protests to Maduro's rule to take place on January 23,  $2019^{252}$  – the anniversary of the 1958 coup d'état that overthrew dictator Marcos Pérez Jiménez and restored democracy in Venezuela. Then, on January 15, 2019, the National Assembly, now acting under Guaidó's leadership, adopted *The Declaration of Usurpation of the Presidency of the Republic by Nicolás Maduro Moros and the Restoration of the Validity of the Constitution*, stating that "as the only legitimate authority of the State and representative of the Venezuelan people, [it] must adopt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> Acuerdo Sobre el Abandono de las Funciones Constitucionales de la Presidencia de la República en que ha Incurrido el Ciudadano Nicolás Maduro Moros, ASAMBLEA NACIONAL DE LA REPÚBLICA BOLIVARIANA DE VENEZUELA, Jan. 9, 2017, available at https://elmundodelanoticia.files.wordpress.com/2017/01/336111491-acuerdo-sobre-el-abandono-de-las-funciones-constitucionales-de-la-presidencia-de-la-republica-en-que-ha-incurrido-nicolas-maduro-moros.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> Acuerdo de Ratificación de la Decisión de la Asamblea Nacional de Declarar el Abandono del Cargo de Nicolás Maduro Moros, y Sobre su Responsabilidad Penal por Hechos de Corrupción, Asamblea Nacional de La República Bolivariana de Venezuela, Aug. 21, 2018, available at

https://asambleanacionalvenezuela.org/actos/detalle/acuerdo-de-ratificacion-de-la-decision-de-la-asamblea-nacional-de-declarar-el-abandono-del-cargo-de-nicolas-maduro-moros-y-sobre-su-responsabilidad-penal-por-hechos-de-corrupcion-vinculados-a-la-empre-294.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> Acuerdo con el Objeto de Impulsar una Solución Política a la Crisis Nacional, Fortaleciendo las Fuerzas Democráticas del Pueblo de Venzuela con el Respaldo de la Comunidad Interna, ASAMBLEA NACIONAL DE LA REPÚBLICA BOLIVARIANA DE VENEZUELA, Nov. 13, 2018, available at

http://www.asambleanacional.gob.ve/actos/\_acuerdo-con-el-objeto-de-impulsar-una-solucion-politica-a-la-crisis-nacional-fortaleciendolas-fuerzas-democraticas-del-pueblo-de-venezuela-con-el-respaldo-de-la-comunidad-internacional.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> Joe Parkin Daniels, *Venezuela: Who is Juan Guaidó, The Man Who Declared Himself President?*, THE GUARDIAN, Jan. 23, 2019, *available at* https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jan/15/juan-guaido-venezuelan-opposition-leader-challenging-maduros-rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> Deisy Buitrago & Corina Pons, *New Venezuela Congress Chief Says Maduro Will Be Usurper President*, REUTERS, Jan. 5, 2019, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/new-venezuela-congress-chief-says-maduro-will-be-usurper-president-idUSKCN1OZ0N8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> Tom Phillips, *Venezuela: Opposition Leader Declares Himself Ready to Assume Presidency*, THE GUARDIAN, Jan. 11, 2019, *available at* https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jan/11/venezuela-maduro-juan-guaido-assume-presidency.

decisions to proceed to re-establish the constitutional order."<sup>253</sup> Specifically, the National Assembly declared that due to Maduro's usurpation of power stemming from his failure to abide by the Constitution, and as stipulated under Article 233 of the Constitution, Guaidó would legally assume office as interim President until free and fair elections for a new democratically-elected President in Venezuela could be held.<sup>254</sup> The National Assembly also adopted measures under Article 233 to create a transitional government and to say it intended to "proceed to the . . . holding of free and transparent elections . . . in the shortest possible time."<sup>255</sup>

On January 23, 2019, as hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans marched to demand Maduro's resignation, and grounded in the legal authorization of the National Assembly, Guaidó was sworn into office as the interim President of Venezuela. Much of the international community immediately came to his support. Within minutes of Guaidó assuming the interim Presidency, the US issued a statement recognizing Guaidó as the legitimate president of Venezuela and calling on Maduro to step down. That same day, several countries, including Canada, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, and Panama, issued similar statements, and OAS Secretary General Almagro also declare his support for Guaidó.

Since then, the number of nations supporting Guaidó has grown – as of December 2019, more than 60 countries had recognized Guaidó as the legitimate Venezuelan president. In cooperation with much of the international community, Guaidó has named diplomatic envoys representing the Venezuelan National Assembly to many of these countries. Further, in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> Acuerdo Sobre la Declaratoria de Usurpación de la Presidencia de la República por Parte de Nicolás Maduro Moros y el Restablecimiento de la Vigencia de la Constitución, ASAMBLEA NACIONAL DE LA REPÚBLICA BOLIVARIANA DE VENEZUELA, Jan. 15, 2019, available at http://www.asambleanacional.gob.ve/actos/\_acuerdo-sobrela-declaratoria-de-usurpacionde-la-presidencia-de-la-republica-por-parte-de-nicolas-maduro-moros-y-el-restablecimiento-de-la-vigenciade-la-constitucion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> Doug Stanglin, *US Recognizes Venezuela Opposition Leader Juan Guaido as President; Russia Backs Maduro*, USA TODAY, Jan. 23, 2019, *available at* https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2019/01/23/venezuela-juanguaido-declares-himself-president-amid-protests/2658642002/.
<sup>257</sup> Press Release, *Recognition of Juan Guaido as Venezuela's Interim President*, U.S. DEPT OF STATE, Jan. 23, 2019,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> Press Release, *Recognition of Juan Guaido as Venezuela's Interim President*, U.S. DEPT OF STATE, Jan. 23, 2019, *available at* https://www.state.gov/recognition-of-juan-guaido-as-venezuelas-interim-president/ and Nick Wadhams et al., *Maduro Squeezed as Trump Recognizes Guaido and Protests Expand*, BLOOMBERG, Jan. 23, 2019, *available at* https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-01-23/trump-said-to-intend-to-recognize-guaido-as-venezuela-president.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> *Id*.

Meg Wagner et al., *Violent Protests in Venezuela*, CNN, Jan. 24, 2019, *available at* https://www.cnn.com/americas/live-news/venezuela-protests-2019/h\_6b7b26a9792452235ff1962721f81c05.
 Gabriel Elizondo, *Venezuela: 65 Countries Support Guaido, While UN Backs Maduro*, AL JAZEERA, Feb. 15, 2019, *available at* https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2019/02/venezuela-65-countries-support-guaido-backs-maduro-

available at https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2019/02/venezuela-65-countries-support-guaido-backs-maduro-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> See, e.g., Trump Administration Accepts Guaido Ally as Venezuela Envoy in U.S., REUTERS, Jan. 27, 2019, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-usa/trump-administration-accepts-guaido-ally-as-venezuela-envoy-in-us-idUSKCN1PL0TO; Venezuelan Parliament OKs Guaido's Diplomatic Appointments, ALIANZA METROPOLITAN NEWS, Jan. 29, 2019, available at http://noticias.alianzanews.com/309\_hispanic-world/5924526\_venezuelan-parliament-oks-guaido-s-diplomatic-appointments.html; A Conversation with Venezuela's New Special Representative to the OAS, Gustavo Tarre, CSIS, Jan. 29, 2019, available at https://www.csis.org/events/conversation-venezuelas-new-special-representative-oas-gustavo-tarre; Ronny Rodríguez Rosas, Guaidó Appoints Diplomatic Representatives for Europe, EFECTO COCUYO, Feb. 19, 2019, available at

debilitating blow to the Maduro regime, on March 15, 2019, and April 9, 2019, respectively, the Inter-American Development Bank (IADB) and the Organization of American States (OAS) formally recognized Juan Guaidó's ambassadors to the organizations, revoking the credentials of Maduro's representatives. The US later urged the UN to recognize Guaidó as the interim President of Venezuela, seat Guaidó's representative at the UN, and expel Maduro's representative. And the UN to recognize Guaidó as the interim President of Venezuela, seat Guaidó's representative at the UN, and expel Maduro's representative.

Since assuming the interim Presidency, Guaidó has undertaken numerous actions at the domestic and international level to accelerate Maduro's departure and protect Venezuelans from the worsening economic and humanitarian crises. These have been part of a three-part plan presented by the National Assembly in January 2019 to restore democracy in Venezuela, consisting of efforts to (1) end Maduro's usurpation of power, (2) create a transitional government, and (3) convene free and fair elections.<sup>264</sup> Guaidó has also explained that the "priorities [of the plan] include the coordination of humanitarian assistance, the restoration of public services and an effort to tackle people's dependency on subsidies"<sup>265</sup> – actions that Maduro had continuously thwarted despite the increasingly devastating situation in the country.<sup>266</sup>

First, Guaidó has led hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans to protest Maduro in various mass demonstrations, thus increasing pressure on the regime to hold new, free, and fair presidential elections.<sup>267</sup> Unfortunately, it was reported that at least 850 protestors were arrested and 35 killed by regime forces during protests in late January.<sup>268</sup>

http://efectococuyo.com/politica/guaido-nombra-representantes-diplomaticos-para-europa/; AN Appointed Three New Representatives of Venezuela Abroad, EL NACIONAL, Feb. 5, 2019, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/asamblea-nacional/nombro-tres-nuevos-representantes-venezuela-exterior\_269582 and Ronny Rodríguez Rosas, Guaidó Nombra Representantes Diplomáticos para Europa, EFECTO COCUYO, Feb. 19, 2019, available at http://efectococuyo.com/politica/guaido-nombra-representantes-diplomaticos-para-europa/.

262 Antonio Maria Delgado, OAS Accepts Guaidó Ambassador as Representative of Venezuela, Ousts Maduro Appointee, MIAMI HERALD, Apr. 11, 2019, available at https://www.miamiherald.com/news/nation-world/world/americas/venezuela/article229034764.html and Lesley Wroughton, Latam Lender Replaces Venezuela's

Maduro Representative with Guaido Economist, REUTERS, available at Mar. 15, 2019, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/latam-lender-replaces-venezuelas-maduro-representative-with-guaido-economist-idUSKCN1QW29J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> Adam Shaw, *Pence Confronts Venezuelan Ambassador at UN: 'You Shouldn't Be Here'*, Fox News, Apr. 10, 2019, *available at* https://www.foxnews.com/politics/mike-pence-venezuelan-ambassador.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> Guaidó: "Cese de Usurpación, Gobierno de Transición y Elecciones Libres", MSN NOTICIAS, Jan. 26, 2019, available at https://www.msn.com/es-es/noticias/otras/guaid%C3%B3-cese-de-usurpaci%C3%B3n-gobierno-de-transici%C3%B3n-y-elecciones-libres/vi-BBSKrgw.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup> Venezuela's Guaido Lays Out Broad Vision For The Country, AL-JAZEERA, Jan. 31, 2019, available at https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2019/01/venezuela-guaido-lays-broad-vision-country-190131175355984.html. <sup>266</sup> Venezuela's Guaido Says Humanitarian Aid to Enter Country Feb. 23, VOA, Feb. 12, 2019, available at https://www.voanews.com/a/venezuelans-demonstrate-over-blocked-us-aid/4783618.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> Angus Berick, *Back To The Streets: Venezuelan Protests Against Maduro Draw New Crowd*, REUTERS, Jan. 24, 2019, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-protesters/back-to-the-streets-venezuelan-protests-against-maduro-draw-new-crowd-idUSKCN1PI391 and *Venezuela Protests: "Four Dead" As Thousands Rally Against Maduro*, BBC NEWS, Jan. 23, 2019, *available at* https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-46970620.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> 35 Dead, 850 Arrested in Venezuela Protest, NGOs Say, AGENCIA EFE, Jan. 28, 2019, available at https://www.efe.com/efe/english/world/35-dead-850-arrested-in-venezuela-protests-ngos-say/50000262-3880697.

Second, Guaidó has signaled that he would accept foreign humanitarian aid.<sup>269</sup> Maduro has disparaged shipments of humanitarian aid as part of an international coup against him and has blocked aid from entering the country.<sup>270</sup> In early February 2019, trucks full of food and medical supplies from the United States arrived in the Colombian city of Cúcuta, on the Venezuelan border, only to find the highway bridge between the two countries' borders barricaded by Venezuelan military forces.<sup>271</sup> Maduro called the aid convoys "a political show," saying Venezuela has "never been, nor are we, a country of beggars."<sup>272</sup> While Guaidó's original plan to fully accept international aid devolved into skirmishes at the Colombian border in mid-February, the Maduro regime later partially conceded, allowing the Red Cross to deliver 24 tons of medical equipment on April 16, 2019.<sup>273</sup>

Third, in the early morning on April 30, 2019, in a video-recorded announcement, Guaidó appeared at La Carlota Air Base next to Leopoldo López – whom the SEBIN released from house arrest on the orders of President Guaidó<sup>274</sup> – and called on Venezuelans to initiate the "final phase" of "Operation Freedom" to rid Venezuela of the Maduro dictatorship through nationwide protests and a military uprising.<sup>275</sup>

Thousands of supporters answered Guaidó's call to action, marching along the streets of Caracas and surrounding the presidential palace.<sup>276</sup> Unfortunately, the protests turned violent as the Venezuelan police, National Guard, and other Maduro loyalists worked to disperse demonstrators with force, including with the use of rubber bullets and tear gas.<sup>277</sup> These clashes led to at least four deaths and hundreds of injuries.<sup>278</sup>

Facing threats to their lives, López and his family were forced to seek refuge at the Chilean and then the Spanish Embassy on the day of the uprising.<sup>279</sup> López remains in the Spanish Embassy, while Tintori has since traveled to Madrid, Spain, to embark on a new campaign to focus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> Venezuela's Guaidó Makes New Promise to Deliver Aid, POLITICO, Mar. 29, 2019, available at https://www.politico.com/story/2019/03/29/venezuela-guaido-aid-delivery-1243852.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>271</sup> Laurel Wamsley, *Humanitarian Aid Arrives For Venezuela – But Maduro Blocks It*, NPR, Feb. 8, 2019, *available at* https://www.npr.org/2019/02/08/692698637/humanitarian-aid-arrives-for-venezuela-but-maduro-blocks-it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup> Anatoly Kurmanaev & Ana Vanessa Herrero, *After Years of Denial, Venezuela's President Allows Aid to Enter,* NEW YORK TIMES, Apr. 16, 2019, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2019/04/16/world/americas/venezuela-aid.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> Defiant Venezuelan Authorities Free Leopoldo Lopez, LOCAL10.COM, Apr. 30, 2019, available at https://www.local10.com/news/venezuela/defiant-venezuelan-authorities-free-leopoldo-lopez.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup>Nick Paton Walsh et al., *Juan Guaido Declares 'Final Phase' of Operation to Topple Venezuela's Maduro*, CNN, Apr. 30, 2019, *available at* https://www.cnn.com/2019/04/30/americas/venezuela-juan-guaido-leopoldo-lopez-intl/index.html.

Melissa Gomez, Leopoldo Lopez, a Prominent Venezuelan Opposition Leader, Reappears in Public, LA TIMES, Apr. 30, 2019, available at https://www.latimes.com/la-fg-leopoldo-lopez-venezuela-20190430-story.html.
 Daniella Silva & Isayen Herrera, Clashes in Venezuela as Guaidó calls for Uprising; Maduro Decries 'Coup Attempt,' NBC NEWS, Apr. 30, 2019, available at https://www.nbcnews.com/news/latino/venezuela-s-opposition-leader-juan-guaido-calls-military-uprising-n999966.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup> Ian Pannell & Anthony Rivas, *Woman Injured in Venezuela's May Day Protests Says 'It Was Like War, Everyone Was Shot*,' ABC NEWS, May 3, 2019, *available at* https://abcnews.go.com/International/woman-injured-venezuelas-day-protests-war-shot/story?id=62802831.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> The Latest: Spain Confirms Lopez at Embassy in Caracas, Fox News, May 1, 2019, available at https://www.foxnews.com/world/the-latest-spain-confirms-lopez-at-embassy-in-caracas.

international attention around ending the Maduro dictatorship and the humanitarian crisis in the country.<sup>280</sup>

The uprising did not succeed in ousting Maduro from power. Though Maduro was reportedly ready to board a plane to Cuba that day, last minute intervention by the Russians convinced him to stay in Venezuela. 281 Further, much of the Venezuelan military continues to support Maduro, as military leaders continue to receive economic kickbacks and government appointments from the regime.<sup>282</sup> Nevertheless, since Guaidó came to power, over 1,500 soldiers and a number of high level military leaders have defected from the Maduro regime, heeding Guaidó's call to uphold the Constitution and assist in the restoration of democracy in the country.<sup>283</sup>

With little change made in the political or economic crises in Venezuela, international attention has dwindled over time. For instance, despite his initial strong support for Guaidó's cause, United States President Trump has reportedly "lost patience and interest" in the matter, as the situation has stalled and lacked results.<sup>284</sup> Maduro's international support has also deteriorated, especially given that Russia has withdrawn a majority of its military backing in Venezuela.<sup>285</sup>

In January 2020, Venezuelan security forces blocked Guaidó and other opposition legislators from entering the National Assembly on the day the body was scheduled to vote for the new President of the National Assembly. A small faction of pro-Maduro lawmakers in the National Assembly then proceeded to vote to install Luis Parra, the regime-backed candidate, as the new National Assembly President.<sup>286</sup> However, the opposition deemed this vote illegal, as there were not enough lawmakers present in the National Assembly to meet the required quorum

<sup>280</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> Julian Borger & Joe Parkin Daniels, Venezuela: Moscow Persuaded Maduro Not to Step Down, US Claims, THE GUARDIAN, Apr. 30, 2019, available at https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/apr/30/us-declares-support-forvenezuela-coup-attempt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> Clayton Besaw, Odds of Military Coup in Venezuela Rise Every Day Maduro Stays in Office, THE CONVERSATION, Jan. 31, 2019, available at http://theconversation.com/odds-of-military-coup-in-venezuela-rise-every-day-madurostays-in-office-110865.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> Natalie Gallón, *They Risked Everything For Guaidó. Now Venezuela's Military Defectors Are Lost*, CNN, June 10, 2019, available at https://www-m.cnn.com/2019/06/04/americas/venezuela-defectors-lostintl/index.html?r=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.google.com%2F and Beatrice Christofaro, 567 Venezuelan Soldiers Defected to Colombia, and It Could Be a Sign That Maduro's Once Rock-Solid Power Base is Starting to Crumble, BUSINESS INSIDER, Mar. 2, 2019, available at https://www.businessinsider.com/venezuela-soldiers-defect-to-colombiathreaten-maduro-power-2019-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> Karen De Young & Josh Dawsey, With Maduro Entrenched In Venezuela, Trump Loses Patience And Interest In Issue, Officials Say, THE WASHINGTON POST, June 19, 2019, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/with-maduro-entrenched-in-venezuela-trump-loses-patienceand-interest-in-issue-officials-say/2019/06/19/a7ba2c56-92b1-11e9-b58aa6a9afaa0e3e story.html?noredirect=on&utm term=.b97091d0e812.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> Thomas Grove, In A Blow To Maduro, Russia Withdraws Key Defense Support To Venezuela, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, June 2, 2019, available at https://www.wsj.com/articles/in-a-blow-to-maduro-russia-withdraws-keydefense-support-to-venezuela-11559486826.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> Elizabeth Melimopoulos, Venezuela's Guaidó Blocked from Congress in Crucial Vote, AL JAZEERA, Jan. 5, 2020, available at https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/01/venezuela-guaido-blocked-congress-crucial-vote-200105172450482.html.

required to initiate the session.<sup>287</sup> As a result, Guaidó held an emergency National Assembly session at the offices of the newspaper *El Nacional*, in which 100 lawmakers, having met the required quorum, re-elected Guaidó as the legitimate President of the National Assembly for the 2020-21 term.<sup>288</sup>

Though Maduro recognized Parra as the new President of the National Assembly and called for new elections in 2020 for all seats in the body, the United States, Colombia, and dozens of other countries refused to recognize Parra's illegitimate election and have instead reaffirmed their support for Guaidó.<sup>289</sup>

# I. Increasing Repression and Targeting of the Opposition

During his time in power, Maduro has increasingly turned to repressive tactics and the targeting of dissidents to maintain his grip on power.<sup>290</sup> On January 29, 2015, Maduro acted to institutionalize the repression of protestors by approving the Ministry of Defense's decision to authorize the use of lethal force against protestors in order to "prevent disorder, support the legitimately constituted authority, and counter all aggression, immediately confronting it with the necessary measures."<sup>291</sup> In 2015, it was estimated that Venezuelan police officers shot dead about 3,800 people, which would make them one of the most homicidal forces in the world.<sup>292</sup> And despite the stated goal of this tougher law enforcement presence, the broader security situation in the country has only deteriorated. It is estimated that over 28,000 people were killed in Venezuela in 2016, making it the deadliest year in the history of the country.<sup>293</sup> Vigilante justice is also becoming increasingly frequent; in 2016, people were being lynched at a rate of one every three days, up 650% from 2015.<sup>294</sup> Caracas has become the most dangerous city in the world and Venezuela is considered the second most dangerous country in the world.<sup>295</sup>

In addition to broadly targeting dissenting protestors, Maduro has also personally targeted prominent opposition politicians and activists. On February 19, 2015, Maduro publicly approved

http://www.newsweek.com/venezuela-still-one-worlds-most-dangerous-countries-422409.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> Stefano Pozzebon & Kay Guerrero, *Guaidó Blocked from Venezuela*'s *National Assembly Vote*, CNN, Jan. 5, 2020, *available at* https://www.cnn.com/2020/01/05/americas/venezuela-national-assembly-vote-intl/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> Elkis Bejarano Delgado, *Guaidó es Reelecto como Presidente de la Asamblea Nacional con 100 Votos*, DIARIO LAS AMÉRICAS, Jan. 5, 2020, *available at* https://www.diariolasamericas.com/america-latina/guaido-es-reelecto-como-presidente-la-asamblea-nacional-100-votos-n4190458.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup> Guaidó Blocked from Venezuela's National Assembly Vote, supra note 287.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup> Alexandra Ulmer, *Popularity of Venezuela's Maduro Inches Down to 24.3%*, REUTERS, Aug. 13, 2015, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/2015/08/13/us-venezuela-maduro-idUSKCN0QI25Y20150813.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup> Sabrina Martín, *Venezuelan Army Can Shoot Protesters, Effective Immediately*, PANAM POST, Jan. 29, 2015, *available at* http://panampost.com/sabrina-martin/2015/01/29/venezuelan-army-can-shoot-protesters-effective-immediately/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> Ioan Grillo & Jorge Benezra, *Venezuela's Murder Epidemic Rages on Amid State of Emergency*, TIME, May 20, 2016, *available at* http://time.com/4341450/venezuela-state-of-emergency-murder-caracas/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> Nicholas Casey, *In a Brutal Year in Venezuela, Even Crime Fighters Are Killers*, NEW YORK TIMES, Dec. 30, 2016, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2016/12/30/world/americas/venezuela-violence.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup> Reuters, *New Report Says Lynchings Kill One Person Every Three Days in Crisis-Ridden Venezuela*, NEWSWEEK, Dec. 28, 2016, *available at* http://www.newsweek.com/nicolas-maduro-venezuela-lynchings-mass-violence-elsalvador-536738 and Javier Ignacio Mayorca, *Lynchings Jump 650% in Venezuela in 2016*, INSIGHT CRIME, Jan. 19, 2017, *available at* http://www.insightcrime.org/news-analysis/lynchings-jump-650-percent-venezuela-2016.

<sup>295</sup> *Venezuela Is Still One of the World's Most-Dangerous Countries*, NEWSWEEK, Feb. 2, 2016, *available at* 

of the arbitrary arrest of Caracas mayor, Antonio Ledezma, saying he would be punished for trying to sow unrest in Venezuela.<sup>296</sup> In February 2016, Ledezma went on trial after a year of being detained without charge. He was then formally accused of conspiracy and criminal association—charges that carry a possible 26-year sentence.<sup>297</sup>

As previously noted, López's *Voluntad Popular* party has faced especially relentless persecution. Since the February 2014 protests, over 200 members of *Voluntad Popular* have, at some point, been detained or charged with bogus crimes.<sup>298</sup>

Further, on January 4, 2017 Maduro appointed Tareck El Aissami as Vice President and put him in charge of a newly created "Anti Coup Commando Unit." Critics have called the Anti Coup Commando Unit a thinly-veiled attempt to intimidate and persecute the Opposition. Since the Anti Coup Commando Unit was created in early January 2017, four members of *Voluntad Popular* have been detained by the unit, bringing the total number of imprisoned *Voluntad Popular* members to 13, more than any other political group in Venezuela.<sup>299</sup> On January 11, 2017, police arrested substitute legislator Gilber Caro, stopping him on his way home and "finding" weapons and explosives in his car, right where they had conveniently planted them.<sup>300</sup> Retired General Raúl Baduel, City Councilman Jorge Luis González, and City Councilman Rosmer Rubio have also been arrested and detained for alleged involvement in a coup plot against Maduro.<sup>301</sup> And if there was any question as to the true purpose of the Anti Coup Commando Unit, El Aissami answered it when he stated in January 2017 that "[t]here is one organization in particular that doesn't deserve the name political party, an organization forged in the shadow of terrorism and violent actions: Voluntad Popular."<sup>302</sup>

Another target of political persecution has been Freddy Guevara, former Vice President of the National Assembly and leader of *Voluntad Popular*.<sup>303</sup> In November 2017, the Constituent Assembly falsely accused him of instigating violent protests against the Government and sought to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup> Fabiola Sanchez & Hannah Dreier, *Venezuela Mayor Antonio Ledezma Arrested*, HUFFINGTON POST, Feb. 19, 2015, *available at* http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2015/02/19/venezuela-arrests-opposit\_n\_6716920.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup> Harriet Alexander, *Mayor of Caracas Goes on Trial in Venezuela*, TELEGRAPH, Feb. 16, 2016, *available at* http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/southamerica/venezuela/12160303/Mayor-of-Caracas-goes-on-trial-in-Venezuela.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup> Kejal Vyas, *Venezuela Arrests Opposition Lawmaker*, *Allegedly Finds Weapons in Vehicle*, WALL STREET JOURNAL, Jan. 11, 2017, *available at* https://www.wsj.com/articles/venezuela-arrests-opposition-lawmaker-allegedly-finds-weapons-in-vehicle-1484190552.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup> Andrew Cawthorne, *Hardline Party on Front Line of Venezuelan Political War*, REUTERS, Jan. 23, 2017, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-party-idUSKBN15718T?il=0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup> Venezuela Arrests Opposition Lawmaker, Allegedly Finds Weapons in Vehicle, supra note 298.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup> Sabrina Martin, *Maduro's "Anti-Coup Command" Arrests Five New Political Prisoners*, PANAM POST, Jan. 12, 2017, *available at* https://panampost.com/sabrina-martin/2017/01/12/maduro-anti-coup-command-arrests-five-new-political-prisoners/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>302</sup> Hardline Party on Front Line of Venezuelan Political War, supra note 299.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup> Alexandra Ulmer & Deisy Buitrago, *Venezuela Opposition Leader Guevara Seeks Refuge in Chile Ambassador's Home*, REUTERS, Nov. 5, 2017, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/venezuela-opposition-leader-guevara-seeks-refuge-in-chile-ambassadors-home-idUSKBN1D50LN.

strip Guevara of his parliamentary immunity.<sup>304</sup> Guevara was forced to seek refuge in the Chilean Embassy in Caracas, Venezuela.<sup>305</sup>

Since May 2018, in light of the international outcry over Maduro's fraudulent re-election and in an attempt to legitimize his regime, the Venezuelan government has released some of the country's political prisoners, including several of those noted above.<sup>306</sup> However, almost all of the political prisoners that have been released continue to arbitrarily face conditional measures on their freedom, such as not being allowed to speak to the media and having to periodically report to the Government.<sup>307</sup>

Further, Maduro has amplified his oppressive tactics against the Venezuelan opposition since Juan Guaidó assumed the interim Presidency in January 2019. Guaidó, for one, has been subjected to heavy persecution – he was prohibited from leaving the country; his house was searched without his authorization and his family members harassed; his bank accounts were blocked and assets frozen; an audit was opened into his finances; an investigation was started for his supposed responsibility in the electricity crisis; his parliamentary immunity was revoked; he was prohibited from holding political office; and his Head of Office and one of his relatives were arrested. In an effort to suppress opposition, the Maduro-loyalist Constituent Assembly also arbitrarily stripped several National Assembly members' immunity, 309 allowing for the arbitrary arrest and detention of multiple officials closely connected to Guaidó, including Roberto Marrero, Guaidó's chief of staff, 310 and Edgar Zambrano, the National Assembly Vice-President. And at a march on February 29, 2020, Guaidó and his supporters were ambushed by 200 armed *colectivos* and government security forces. 312

# J. Mounting International Criticism

As the Venezuelan government continues its repressive, anti-democratic acts, other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>304</sup> Freddy Guevara Lleva Seis Meses Refugiado en la Embajada Chilena, EL NACIONAL, May 3, 2018, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/oposicion/freddy-guevara-lleva-seis-meses-refugiado-embajada-chilena\_233530.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>306</sup> Patricia Laya & Fabiola Zerpa, *Venezuela Starts Releasing Political Prisoners, Including Some Lawmakers*, BLOOMBERG, June 1, 2018, *available at* https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-06-02/venezuela-grants-conditional-freedom-to-ceballos-38-others.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>308</sup> Ana Isabel Martinez, Venezuela Targets Guaido with Probe, Travel Ban, Asset

Freeze, REUTERS, Jan. 29, 2019, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/venezuela-targets-guaido-with-probe-travel-ban-asset-freeze-idUSKCN1PN0FS.2019 and Crisis en Venezuela. Boletín No. 80. Del 01 al 08 de Abril de 2019, FORO PENAL, Apr. 1-8, 2019, available at https://foropenal.com/crisis-en-venezuela-boletin-no-80-del-01-al-08-de-abril-de-2019/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>309</sup> Venezuela's Congress Strips Opposition Lawmakers of Immunity, REUTERS, May 7, 2019, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-court/venezuelas-congress-strips-opposition-lawmakers-of-immunity-idUSKCN1SD26M.

Angus Berwick, *Exclusive: The Thin Evidence Against A Jailed Venezuela Opposition Official*, REUTERS, June 11, 2019, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-arrests-exclusive/exclusive-the-thin-evidence-against-a-jailed-venezuela-opposition-official-idUSKCN1TC11A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>311</sup> Vivian Sequera & Angus Berwick, *Venezuela Detains Top Aide to Guaido in Move U.S. Calls 'Big Mistake'*, REUTERS, Mar. 21, 2019, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics/venezuela-detains-top-aide-to-guaido-in-move-u-s-calls-big-mistake-idUSKCN1R20WT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>312</sup> Socialist Hardliner Aims Gun on Guaidó March in Venezuela, ASSOCIATED PRESS, Feb. 29, 2020, available at https://apnews.com/4fdfb04f8a331b56fcf419732049f774.

Governments are voicing their concerns and addressing these human rights violations in other international for a beyond the OAS. In 2015, former President Obama issued an executive decree sanctioning seven Venezuelan officials. In July 2016, the U.S. Congress voted to maintain until 2019 its ability to block visas and freeze the assets of Venezuelan officials that were implicated in government abuses during the 2014 protests.<sup>313</sup> From February to July 2017, the Trump administration ordered the U.S. Treasury Department to freeze the financial assets of dozens of Venezuelan government officials because of ties to drug trafficking, human rights abuses, and oppression against protestors, including former Venezuelan Vice-President Tareck El-Aissami, who was sanctioned under the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act for his involvement in narco-trafficking.<sup>314</sup> The Trump administration also condemned the creation of the Constituent Assembly, and on August 25, 2017, partly in response to the creation of this body, the Trump administration imposed new economic sanctions on Venezuela that limit the trading of Venezuelan bonds in American financial markets.<sup>315</sup> On November 9, 2017, in response to the fraudulent regional elections held on October 15, 2017, the US Treasury Department imposed sanctions on ten additional Venezuelan officials, including members of the National Electoral Council, the Venezuelan Ambassador to Italy, and a handful of government ministers.<sup>316</sup> On March 19, 2018, the Trump administration imposed sanctions on four other government officials and banned all transactions in the petro – the cryptocurrency created by Maduro in January of the same year in an attempt to circumvent the economic sanctions imposed by the U.S. in August 2017.<sup>317</sup> In early May 2018, three Venezuelans and 20 companies in Maduro's orbit were added to the sanctions list. 318 And on May 21, 2018, following the fraudulent presidential elections in Venezuela, the Trump administration announced a new round of sanctions aimed at barring US companies and citizens from buying debt or account receivables from the Venezuelan government.<sup>319</sup>

The Canadian government and many Latin American governments also ramped up their condemnation of the Maduro regime after the creation of the National Constituent Assembly. In September 2017, the Canadian government imposed sanctions closely matching those already in place in the US on 40 high-ranking Venezuelan government officials in an effort to put pressure on the Maduro regime to restore constitutional order in the country. Canada added another round

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>313</sup> WORLD REPORT 2016: VENEZUELA, *supra* note 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>314</sup> Treasury Sanctions Prominent Venezuelan Drug Trafficker Tareck El Aissami and His Primary Frontman Samark Lopez Bello, U.S. DEP'T OF THE TREASURY, Feb. 13, 2017, available at https://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/as0005.aspx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>315</sup> Clifford Krauss, *White House Raises Pressure on Venezuela With New Financial Sanctions*, NEW YORK TIMES, Aug. 25, 2017, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2017/08/25/world/americas/venezuela-sanctions-maduro-trump.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup> Treasury Sanctions Ten Venezuelan Officials, U.S. DEP'T OF THE TREASURY, Nov. 9, 2017, available at https://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/sm0214.aspx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>317</sup> Julie Hirschfeld Davis & Nathaniel Popper, *White House Bans Venezuela's Digital Currency and Expands Sanctions*, Mar. 19, 2018, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2018/03/19/world/americas/trump-venezuela-sanctions-petro.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>318</sup> Roberta Rampton & Alexandra Ulmer, *U.S. Imposes Fresh Sanctions on Venezuela, Pence Calls for More Action,* REUTERS, May 7, 2018, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-oas-pence-sanctions/us-imposes-sanctions-on-three-venezuelans-under-drug-kingpin-rules-idUSKBN1181S1.

<sup>319</sup> Julie H. Davis, U.S. Places New Sanctions on Venezuela Day After Election, NEW YORK TIMES, May 21, 2018, available at https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/21/us/politics/trump-maduro-venezuela-sanctions.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup> Michelle Zilio, *Canada Sanctions 40 Venezuelans with Links to Political, Economic Crisis,* THE GLOBE AND MAIL, Sept. 22, 2017, *available at* https://beta.theglobeandmail.com/news/world/canada-sanctions-40-venezuelans-with-links-to-political-economic-crisis/article36367074/.

of sanctions in May 2018 against 14 Venezuelan officials, including Maduro and his wife, as a response to the "illegitimate and anti-democratic elections" held on May 20, 2018.<sup>321</sup> Previously, on October 26, 2017, Canada also hosted the second reunion of the Lima Group: the group of foreign ministers from the Americas that first met in order to address the crisis in Venezuela on August 8, 2017.<sup>322</sup> During the August meeting, the Lima Group presented a joint statement – the Lima Declaration – condemning "the breakdown of democratic order in Venezuela" and the "systemic violation of human rights and basic liberties, the violence, the repression and the political persecution, the existence of political prisoners and the lack of free elections under independent international observation." This document effectively declared that Venezuela was no longer a democracy and that the National Constituent Assembly was illegitimate.<sup>323</sup> And in early April 2018, Panama announced financial sanctions targeting Venezuelan individuals and businesses and pledged to combat corruption in the region.<sup>324</sup> This was met by reciprocal sanctions on Panama from Venezuela and resulted in both countries temporarily retracting their ambassadors.<sup>325</sup>

The European Union has also joined the growing list of governments pursuing sanctions against the Maduro regime. In September 2017, various European heads of state, including Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy, called on the EU to impose sanctions on Venezuela. On November 13, 2017, the foreign ministers of the EU approved an arms embargo against Venezuela. They further established the "legal framework" for the EU to impose sanctions – including travel bans and the freezing of assets – on government officials that it considered responsible for the deteriorating situation in the country. The foreign ministers held off on publishing the names of officials to be sanctioned in the hopes the Venezuelan government would find a "peaceful negotiated way" out of the crisis, 327 but due to the failure of the negotiations between the Government and the Opposition to yield "concrete results," the EU proceeded to sanction seven senior Venezuelan officials – including Diosdado Cabello, the former National Assembly President, and Maikel Moreno, the President of the Supreme Court – in January 2018 for their involvement in the "non-respect of democratic principles or the rule of law as well as violation[s] of human rights." On June 25 2018, in response to the fraudulent elections held in May 2018,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>321</sup> Jim Wyss, *Canada Slaps Sanctions on Maduro's Wife and 13 Other Venezuelans*, MIAMI HERALD, May 30, 2018, *available at* http://www.miamiherald.com/news/nation-world/world/americas/venezuela/article212186029.html.

<sup>322</sup> Canada Sanctions 40 Venezuelans with Links to Political, Economic Crisis, supra note 320.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>323</sup> *Lima Declaration*, SECRETARÍA DE RELACIONES EXTERIORES DE MÉXICO, Aug. 8, 2017, *available at* https://www.gob.mx/sre/en/prensa/lima-declaration.

Heather Nauert, Press Statement on Panama's Support for Venezuelan Democracy, U.S. DEP'T OF STATE, Apr. 6, 2018, available at https://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2018/04/280305.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>325</sup> Venezuela, Panama to Restore Envoys and Resume Airline Service, REUTERS, Apr. 26, 2018, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-diplomacy/venezuela-panama-to-restore-envoys-and-resume-airline-service-idUSKBN1HX3IM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>326</sup> Carolina Jiménez, *Trump y Rajoy Piden Juntos a la UE que Adopte Sanciones Para Venezuela: "No Caben Paños Calientes"*, EUROPA PRESS, Sept. 26, 2017, *available at* http://www.europapress.es/nacional/noticia-trump-rajoy-piden-juntos-ue-adopte-sanciones-venezuela-no-caben-panos-calientes-20170926214315.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>327</sup> Kirk Semple & Clifford Krauss, *E.U. Ministers Approve Venezuela Arms Embargo*, New York Times, Nov. 13, 2017, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/13/world/americas/venezuela-embargo-european-union.html?mtrref=www.google.com&gwh=B36F9D8B7258577724156CF7D6334776&gwt=pay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>328</sup> Venezuela: EU Sanctions 7 Individuals Holding Official Positions, EUROPEAN COUNCIL, Jan. 22, 2018, available at http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2018/01/22/venezuela-eu-sanctions-7-individuals-holding-official-positions/ and Gabriela Baczynska & Girish Gupta, EU Confirms New Sanctions on Seven Senior Venezuela

the EU revealed that it had sanctioned 11 senior Venezuelan officials – including Tareck El Aissami and Delcy Rodríguez, who had been appointed as Vice President of Venezuela the week before. Switzerland also joined the EU in March 2018 in imposing sanctions against Venezuela. It sanctioned seven Venezuelan officials based on its concern about "the repeated violations of individual freedoms in Venezuela…[and the] serious lack of legitimacy" of the presidential elections. And, in July 2018, Switzerland again sanctioned another 11 Venezuelan officials.

Finally, the Venezuelan government has come under considerable scrutiny and condemnation from intergovernmental groups, international organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). On September 13, 2016, after months of discussion, the countries of Mercosur (Brazil, Argentina, Paraguay, and Uruguay) formally blocked Venezuela from assuming the rotating monthly presidency of the regional trade bloc. The four countries then gave Venezuela until December 1, 2016 to meet the membership requirements of the organization, specifically its democracy cause, or be suspended.<sup>332</sup> Venezuela ultimately failed to comply with Mercosur's requirements and on December 2, 2016, the trade bloc informed Venezuela that it had been removed as a partner.<sup>333</sup> In August 2016, then UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon said Venezuela is facing a "humanitarian crisis" and spoke out against the human rights abuses, shortages of food and other necessities, and Government-sponsored censorship of the Opposition through intimidation and violence.<sup>334</sup> On September 29, 2016, 12 nations, led by Paraguay, issued a joint declaration in the UN Human Rights Council, voicing concern over the human rights situation in Venezuela.<sup>335</sup> This joint statement was the first time that a group of countries publicly denounced the Venezuelan government on human rights grounds in the UN system. In Freedom House's 2017 report, Venezuela's status notably moved from "partly free" to "not free." Freedom House cited "efforts by the executive branch and the politicized judiciary to curtail the power of the Opposition-controlled legislature" as the reason for the change in status. <sup>336</sup> Finally, on June 5, 2018, the Organization of American States passed a resolution declaring that the presidential elections held in May 2018 lacked legitimacy and urging the Venezuelan government to allow

Officials, REUTERS, Jan. 22, 2018, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-eu/eu-confirms-new-sanctions-on-seven-senior-venezuela-officials-idUSKBN1FB1L0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>329</sup> Robin Emmott, *EU Imposes Sanctions on More Top Venezuelan Officials*, REUTERS, June 25, 2018, *available at* https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-politics-eu/eu-imposes-sanctions-on-more-top-venezuelan-officials-idUSKBN1JL0VO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>330</sup> Swiss Impose Sanctions on Seven Senior Venezuelan Officials, REUTERS, Mar. 28, 2018, available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-swiss-venezuela/swiss-impose-sanctions-on-seven-senior-venezuelan-officials-idUSKBN1H42KP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>331</sup> Suiza Sancionó a Delcy Rodríguez y a Otros 10 Funcionarios Venezolanos, EL IMPULSO, July 10, 2018, available at http://www.elimpulso.com/featured/suiza-sanciono-a-delcy-rodriguez-y-a-otros-10-funcionarios-venezolanos-10jul.

<sup>332</sup> Alonso Soto, *Mercosur Lays Down Ultimatum for Venezuela to Meet Membership Terms*, REUTERS, Sept. 13, 2016, available at http://www.reuters.com/article/us-brazil-mercosul-idUSKCN11K015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup> Paulo Trevisani, *Venezuela Removed from South America's Trade Bloc Mercosur*, WALL STREET JOURNAL, Dec. 2, 2016, *available at* http://www.wsj.com/articles/venezuela-removed-from-trade-group-over-human-rights-record-1480685200.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup> WORLD REPORT 2016: VENEZUELA, *supra* note 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>335</sup> Joint Statement on the Human Rights Situation in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, U.S. MISSION GENEVA, Sept. 29, 2016, available at https://geneva.usmission.gov/2016/09/29/joint-statement-on-venezuela-at-the-human-rights-council/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>336</sup> Freedom in the World 2017: Venezeula, FREEDOM HOUSE, 2017, available at https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world/2017/venezuela.

international humanitarian aid to enter the country.<sup>337</sup> This was the first time that the OAS managed to pass a resolution condemning Venezuela.

As the crisis in Venezuela has continued to deteriorate, many of these international organizations have begun expressing concern about crimes against humanity possibly being committed in Venezuela. On February 8, 2018, the International Criminal Court (ICC) Prosecutor, Fatou Bensouda, announced that the ICC would open a preliminary investigation into whether crimes against humanity are being committed in Venezuela. The investigation will primarily focus on crimes committed by government officials from April 2017 onwards, particularly those dealing with arbitrary detention of opposition members, excessive use of force, and ill-treatment of prisoners. On May 29, 2018, the OAS released a close to 400-page report by a panel of independent international experts that found reasonable grounds to believe that Venezuelan government officials are committing crimes against humanity. The report signaled out 11 high-level government officials in particular, including Maduro, Alamagro called the crimes against humanity in Venezuela an affront to the conscience and well-being of humankind, and announced that the OAS report would be sent to the ICC to support a full investigation into crimes against humanity in the country.

Similarly, on June 22, 2018, the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Zeid Ra'ad Al-Hussein released a 54-page report condemning human rights abuses by the Venezuelan government.<sup>344</sup> The report was based on interviews with about 150 witnesses and victims and detailed over 500 instances of extra judicial killings by military officers since July 2015.<sup>345</sup> The UN also announced that the report would be sent to the ICC to support the investigation into

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>337</sup> Daniel Castropé, *La OEA Aprueba Resolución Contra Maduro. Su "Gobierno" es Ilegítimo*, DIARIO LAS AMÉRICAS, June 5, 2018, *available at* https://www.diariolasamericas.com/america-latina/la-oea-aprueba-resolucion-contra-maduro-su-gobierno-es-ilegitimo-n4152355.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>338</sup> Statement of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court, Mrs Fatou Bensouda, on Opening Preliminary Examinations into the Situations in the Philippines and in Venezuela, INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT, Feb. 8, 2018, available at https://www.icc-cpi.int/Pages/item.aspx?name=180208-otp-stat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>340</sup> REPORT OF THE GENERAL SECRETARIAT OF THE ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES AND THE PANEL OF INDEPENDENT INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS ON THE POSSIBLE COMMISSION OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY IN VENEZUELA, ORG. OF AMERICAN STATES, May 29, 2018, *available at* http://www.oas.org/documents/eng/press/Informe-Panel-Independiente-Venezuela-EN.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>341</sup> Los 11 Chavistas a los que la OEA Acusa de Crímenes de Lesa Humanidad, EL COMERCIO, May 31, 2018, available at https://elcomercio.pe/mundo/latinoamerica/venezuela-11-chavistas-oea-acusa-crimenes-lesa-humanidad-noticia-523733.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>342</sup> Andrew Rosati & Jose Enrique Arrioja, *Venezuela is Committing Crimes Against Humanity, OAS Panel Says*, BLOOMBERG NEWS, May 29, 2018, *available at* https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-05-29/oas-panel-accuses-maduro-government-of-crimes-against-humanity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>343</sup> Luis Almagro, Statement by the Secretary General of the OAS on the Report that Found 'Sufficient Basis' of Crimes Against Humanity, ORG. OF AMERICAN STATES, May 29, 2018, available at https://reliefweb.int/report/venezuela-bolivarian-republic/statement-secretary-general-oas-report-found-sufficient-basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>344</sup> Human Rights Violations in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela: a Downward Spiral with No End in Sight, U.N. Office of the High Comm'r on Human Rights, June 22, 2018, *available at* https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Countries/VE/VenezuelaReport2018\_EN.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>345</sup> Venezuela Crisis: UN Says Security Forces Killed Hundreds, BBC NEWS, June 22, 2018, available at https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-44575599.

crimes against humanity in Venezuela.<sup>346</sup>

On July 5, 2019, UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Michelle Bachelet produced a report chronicling the deteriorating situation in Venezuela.<sup>347</sup> The report, expanding on the OHCHR's 2018 findings, documented ongoing violations of Venezuelans' right to access justice and economic, social, civil, and political rights, including repression and criminalization of political opposition, excessive force as a tool to instill fear and discourage demonstrations, murders by security forces that may constitute extrajudicial killings, and systematic impunity that emboldens perpetrators and side-lines victims. The report calls on the Maduro regime, *inter alia*, to cease all human rights abuses, work to ameliorate the effects of the economic and humanitarian crises, and increase accountability through greater transparency and investigation.<sup>348</sup>

Despite the abundance of evidence, including in the two OHCHR reports, revealing ongoing, widespread, and worsening crimes in Venezuela, ICC Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda has not yet concluded her initial assessment of subject-matter jurisdiction in the Venezuela case. To be clear, ICC Prosecutor Bensouda is only being asked to decide if there is adequate evidence "to reach a fully informed determination whether there is a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation,"<sup>349</sup> not to make specific conclusions regarding the allegations of crimes against humanity. The slow pace of the Prosecutor's investigation is alarming, especially in light of the severity of the crimes being committed. In the absence of accountability from the ICC Prosecutor's office, the Maduro regime has been emboldened to commit more crimes without consequence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>346</sup> Rule of Law 'Virtually Absent' in Venezuela, UN Report Says, THE GUARDIAN, June 22, 2018, available at https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jun/22/venezuela-rule-of-law-virtually-absent-un-report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>347</sup> Michelle Bachelet, Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights on the Situation of Human Rights in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/41/18, July 5, 2019, *available at* https://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=24788&LangID=E. <sup>348</sup> See generally Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>349</sup> Policy Paper on Preliminary Examinations, INT'L CRIMINAL COURT OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTOR, Nov. 2013, at ¶ 2, available at https://www.icc-cpi.int/iccdocs/otp/OTP-Policy\_Paper\_Preliminary\_Examinations\_2013-ENG.pdf.

## III. The Targeting and Trial of Leopoldo López

The case of Leopoldo López is emblematic of Maduro's targeting of the Opposition and has mirrored the deteriorating human rights situation in the country.

#### A. Blame by Public Officials

President Maduro consistently referred disparagingly to López as "The Throne," which is meant to cast suspicion on López's political ambitions.<sup>350</sup> Over a year before López's imprisonment, Maduro publicly announced, "[f]ormer Chacao Mayor Leopoldo López has already polished ... [his] ... cell. It is just a matter of time. That fascist has done a lot of damage to this country. Justice will come sooner or later."<sup>351</sup> On the night of February 12, Maduro claimed that the protests were part of "a planned coup d'état."<sup>352</sup>

After the violence on February 12, Venezuelan Foreign Minister Elías Jaua labeled López as specifically responsible for the February 12 violence, and Maduro continued to use inflammatory language to mischaracterize López. Foreign Minister Jaua stated on television:

Leopoldo López directed a well-trained group of his followers toward the National Prosecutor's office, and once he had left the demonstration there began a coordinated and massive attack ... We can no longer tolerate that this group acts with impunity, bathing the Venezuelan people with blood.<sup>353</sup>

Minister Jaua also characterized López as the "intellectual author" of the killings.<sup>354</sup> Maduro described López and other opposition leaders as "right-wing fascists who plant seeds of fear and violence" who have an "irrational, fascist-leaning attitude."<sup>355</sup> On the night of February 12, Maduro declared, "I tell these fugitives from justice: give yourselves up! … They should go behind bars."<sup>356</sup> And, while showing video and pictures of Leopoldo López, Maduro continued, "There you have the face of fascism!"<sup>357</sup> Diosdado Cabello, then-Speaker of the National Assembly, tweeted López was a "fugitive" before stating, "You're not going to escape, coward."<sup>358</sup>

Maduro also greatly exaggerated the extent of damage that was done on February 12. Even though the damage to public property consisted mainly of a few broken windows, Maduro claimed

48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>350</sup> Joshua Goodman, *Leopoldo Lopez, Venezuelan Opposition Leader, Sought by Police After Protests*, HUFFINGTON POST, Feb. 16, 2014, *available at* http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/02/16/leopoldo-lopez- n 4798435.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>351</sup> Sea Ud. El Juez Parte I, VOLUNTAD POPULAR, Apr. 30, 2014, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= bK2S5YkWR4.

 $<sup>^{352}</sup>$  Prominent Opposition Leader in  $\overline{V}$  enezuela is Blamed for Unrest, supra note 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>354</sup> Venezuela: Violence Against Protesters, Journalists, supra note 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>355</sup> Mariano Castillo & Catherine E. Shoichet, *Cheered by Supporters, Venezuelan Opposition Leader López Surrenders*, CNN, Feb. 19, 2014, *available at* http://www.cnn.com/2014/02/18/world/americas/venezuela-protests/index.html and *Anti-Maduro Protests Persist in Venezuela, Dozens Jailed, supra* note 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>356</sup> Andrew Cawthorne & David Wallis, *This Politician is a Wanted Man in Venezuela After Leading Anti-Government Protests This Week*, BUSINESS INSIDER, Feb. 14, 2014, *available at* http://www.businessinsider.com/leopoldo-lopezwanted-in-venezuela-2014-2.

<sup>357</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>358</sup> Anti-Maduro Protests Persist in Venezuela, Dozens Jailed, supra note 95.

"the demonstrators had destroyed the headquarters of the National Prosecutor's office." He also claimed that the protesters were trained and attacked the police in a carefully organized fashion, despite the fact that the scene on the street was chaotic and there was little, if any sign of coordination. The scene of the National Prosecutor's office." He also claimed that the protesters were trained and attacked the police in a carefully organized fashion, despite the fact that the scene on the street was chaotic and there was little, if any sign of coordination.

Public Prosecutor Luisa Ortega continued the theme that López was the "intellectual author" of the violence and should therefore be punished. She stated, "We are working to sanction those who are responsible not only as material authors but as intellectual authors. That is, those who call for or incite violence. These messages are direct but sometimes also subliminal." Jorge Rodríguez, Mayor of Libertador, a municipality within the Metropolitan District of Caracas, stated that López was "directly responsible for sowing violence in the country." <sup>362</sup>

On the same day López's trial resumed on July 23, 2014, Maduro again asserted López's guilt and insisted that he would be punished, observing:

Well, it is the trial of the extreme right leader, who is responsible of crimes, violence, and destruction of human lives that he planned . . . He has . . . quite a crazy messianic vision . . . that, let me tell you, alienates and poisons people making them crazy. He is responsible for crimes that he has to be accountable for and he will be before justice. He will be – as simple as that . . . Justice be done! Only justice will punish the resurgence of the neo-Nazi and fascist sectors that want to impose scenarios like Ukraine, Palestine, Syria, or Libya. That will not happen; they will not come back. <sup>363</sup>

As López's detention continues, Maduro has assailed him repeatedly and publicly. On November 17, 2014, Maduro referred to López as "the Murderer of *Ramo Verde*" – even though López was never even charged with murder. One month later, on December 9, Maduro called López "the Monster of *Ramo Verde*." In addition to the libel, Maduro's public statements have demonstrated the arbitrary and political nature of López's detention. On January 4, two days after then U.S. Vice President Joe Biden met with Maduro and told him to release political prisoners in Venezuela, Maduro publically responded to that exchange on television in Venezuela by saying, "The only way I would use [presidential] powers would be to put [Leopoldo López] on a plane so he can go to the United States and stay there, and they would give me Oscar López Rivera (Puerto

 $^{360}$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>359</sup> Prominent Opposition Leader in Venezuela is Blamed for Unrest, supra note 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>361</sup> Virginia López & Jonathan Watts, *Venezuela Violence Continues After Arrest of Leopoldo López*, The Guardian, Feb. 19, 2014, *available at* http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/feb/19/venezuela-leopoldo-lopez-court.

<sup>362</sup> *Jorge Rodríguez: Leopoldo López es el Responsible de la Siembra de la Violencia*, EL NACIONAL, Feb. 12, 2014, *available at* http://173.246.50.18/politica/Jorge-Rodriguez-Leopoldo-Lopez-responsable\_0\_354564732.html.

<sup>363</sup> *López Defense Team Slams Venezuelan President for "Outrageous" Comments on First Day of Trial*, FRIENDS OF A FREE VENEZUELA, July 25, 2014, *available at* 

https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/freeleopoldo/pages/56/attachments/original/1406390519/Release\_7-25-14.pdf?1406390519 & http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9jtwFkts3YQ&feature=youtu.be [hereinafter *López Defense Team*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>364</sup> Maduro Ataca a Leopoldo López y Lo Llama "El Asesino de Ramo Verde", EL PROPIO, Nov. 17, 2014, available at http://www.elpropio.com/actualidad/Maduro-Leopoldo-Lopez-Ramo-Verde\_0\_630536988.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>365</sup> Van a Salir Mal Parados, TAL CUAL DIGITAL, Dec. 9, 2014, available at http://www.talcualdigital.com/Nota/visor.aspx?id=111345.

Rican nationalist and FALN leader convicted and sentenced to 55 years in prison for seditious conspiracy) – man for man."<sup>366</sup> Suggesting any equivalence between these two cases is absurd and such a statement only reaffirms Maduro's lack of commitment to the rule of law. Maduro's ability to "release" a currently charged but not yet convicted prisoner demonstrates his absolute control over the judiciary and renders López his political prisoner. Under Article 50 of the Constitution of Venezuela: "No act of public power may establish the penalty of banishment from the national territory to any Venezuelan."<sup>367</sup> So even ignoring the extraordinary nature of the proposed action, it is also unconstitutional. In affirmation of this view, on January 26, 2015, then Vice President of Venezuela, Jorge Arreaza, accidentally referred to López as a "political prisoner" on national television.<sup>368</sup>

In December 2014, Maduro extended his past criticisms of López's wife, Lilian Tintori, by publicly accusing her of grave crimes. On December 14, Maduro accused Tintori of treason for "appealing to an empire to come against your own country." In this accusation, Maduro is referring to Tintori's public campaign to garner international support for the release of her husband. Maduro believes Tintori is actively lobbying for sanctions by the U.S. against Venezuela; a leaked private memo from the former Venezuelan Ambassador to Spain, Mario Isea, revealed that the Venezuela government's current stance is to "neutralize the [international] campaign" led by Tintori to free López. Should Tintori be charged and convicted of treason, she could spend up to 30 years in prison. In January 2017, Maduro accused Lilian Tintori of planning a coup against him. 1911

# B. Charges and Arrest

Order of Apprehension N 007-14<sup>372</sup> (the arrest warrant) was issued for López by the Prosecutor's Office on the evening of February 12, 2014, and approved shortly thereafter by Caracas 16<sup>th</sup> Control Judge, Ralenys Tovar Guillén.<sup>373</sup> The arrest warrant ordered the Chief of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>366</sup> Hannah Dreier & Josh Lederman, *Biden, Venezuela Leader Discuss Improving Relations*, ABC NEWS, Jan. 2, 2015, *available at* http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory/biden-venezuelas-maduro-speak-sanctions-okd-27966876 and Brian Ellsworth, *Venezuela's Maduro Would Free Lopez if U.S. Freed Puerto Rican*, REUTERS, Jan. 4, 2014, *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/2015/01/04/us-venezuela-prisoners-idUSKBN0KD0PQ20150104.

<sup>367</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at Art. 50.

<sup>368 #</sup>UstedLoVio Arreaza y Los Presos Políticos, VVPERIODISTAS, Jan. 26, 2015, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MMKQoyCb21U&feature=youtu.be&app=desktop.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>369</sup> Maduro: A Veces Provoca Romper Todas las Relaciones con Estados Unidos, APORREA, Dec. 14, 2014, available at http://www.aporrea.org/tiburon/n262254.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>370</sup> Embajador en España Mario Isea: Pide "Neutralizar la Campaña" por la Liberación de Leopoldo López, NOTIAMANECE, Dec. 6, 2014, available at http://notiamanece.com/noticias/2014/12/06/embajador-en-espana-mario-isea-pide-neutralizar-la-campana-por-la-liberacion-de-leopoldo-lopez/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>371</sup> Gobierno Venezolano Vincula a Lilian Tintori con Supuesto Intento de Golpe de Estado, EL ESPECTADOR, Jan. 12, 2017, available at http://www.elespectador.com/noticias/elmundo/gobierno-venezolano-vincula-lilian-tintori-supuesto-int-articulo-674439.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>372</sup> Orden de Aprehension N° 007-14, Feb. 12, 2014, Circuito Judicial Penal, Área Metropolitana de Caracas, Tribunal 16 en Funciones de Control.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>373</sup> Their Claims Were Not True, supra note 115; Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Denied Bail, supra note 113; and Juan Francisco Alonso, Bench Warrant Against Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López, EL UNIVERSAL, Feb. 16, 2014, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/140213/bench-warrant-against-venezuelan-opposition-leader-leopoldo-lopez.

Bolivarian National Intelligence Agency,<sup>374</sup> rather than the local police, to arrest López. Judge Tovar also reportedly ordered the Bolivarian Intelligence Service to enter López's residence.<sup>375</sup> However, no search warrant was ever presented to family members.

Judge Tovar, who was only a temporary judge, admitted to being pressured by the Venezuelan executive branch to detain López. On July 28, 2017, Tovar fled to Canada with her family to claim refugee status there, stating that over the past three years she had faced threats, stalking, and even a kidnapping attempt undertaken by members of the Maduro regime.<sup>376</sup> She further claimed that she was forced under threat to sign arrest warrants for Maduro's political opponents, including López. Tovar claimed that on the night of February 12, 2014, at 2:00 am, she was summoned to her court by the President of the Venezuelan Supreme Court, where four public prosecutors greeted her instructed her to sign three arrest warrants, one of which was López's. Tovar reported feeling "petrified" because she knew that the purpose of the warrant was "to silence a political leader who was an obstacle for President Maduro."377 Tovar stated that she asked the prosecutors if she could review the warrant in the morning, but that they just laughed and told her that if she didn't sign it, she would end up like María Lourdes Afiuni, the Venezuelan judge who was imprisoned in 2009 for ordering the release of a government critic.<sup>378</sup>

The initial charges against López were for conspiracy, incitement to commit crimes, public intimidation, setting fire to a public building, damage to public property, causing serious injury, premeditated aggravated homicide of Juan Montoya and Bassil Alejandro Da Costa Frías, attempted premeditated aggravated homicide of Neider Arellano Serra and others, and terrorism. Given the serious charges and political climate, and without any specific evidence of the existence of a legal detention order, López went into temporary hiding.

In the early morning hours of February 16, security forces simultaneously raided López's home and that of his parents.<sup>379</sup> At López's house, officials showed only a copy of the arrest warrant issued by Judge Tovar, but did not present a search warrant. The arresting officers wore ski masks and at first presented no identification. At López's parents' house, more than 20 heavily armed men who identified themselves as police showed up in four vehicles. They blocked access to the street from the residence at two points, preventing neighbors from coming in or out of the area. They then searched through the homes without lawyers present. López was not present at either house.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>374</sup> In Spanish, "Servicio Bolivariano de Intelegencia Nacional," also referred to as "SEBIN."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>375</sup> Bench Warrant Against Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López, supra note 373.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>376</sup> Michelle Zilio, *Phone-Tapping, Fear and Threats: Why an Ex-Venezuelan Judge is Seeking Refuge in Canada*, THE GLOBE AND MAIL, Nov. 16, 2017, available at https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/politics/phone-tapping-fearand-threats-why-an-ex-venezuelan-judge-is-seeking-refuge-in-canada/article37000457/. <sup>377</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>378</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>379</sup> Allanan Residencia de los Padres de Leopoldo López, Últimas Noticias, Feb. 16, 2014, available at http://www.ultimasnoticias.com.ve/noticias/actualidad/politica/allanan-residencia-de-los-padres-de-leopoldolopez.aspx and Who is the Opposition Leader Leopoldo López?, CNN, Feb. 2, 2014, available at http://www.cnn.com/video/api/embed.html#/video/world/2014/02/19/venezuela-leopoldo-lopez-profile-romo-pkg.cnn.

On the evening of February 16, López declared on YouTube that he would turn himself in and called for a peaceful demonstration on February 18.<sup>380</sup> In the video, López clearly articulated his non-violent intentions: "I will be there showing my face. I have nothing to fear ... If there is any illegal decision to jail me, then I will accept that decision and that infamous persecution by the State ... I want to tell all of you who want to accompany us that that day we must go out as we have always done, peacefully. I ask that this time let us go dressed in white so as to symbolize our commitment to peace." <sup>381</sup>

The protest was to be carried out on *Plaza Venezuela* and lead to the headquarters of the Ministry of Popular Power for the Interior, Justice, and Peace. Within minutes of the video going public, government officials stated that they could not protect López and that his life could be in danger. On February 18, government security forces blocked access to *Plaza Venezuela*, preventing entry for the protestors. The group therefore moved to a different area, *Plaza Brión de Chacaíto*, and began a peaceful protest. Around noon, López gave a speech to tens of thousands of supporters with his wife beside him before turning himself in to the authorities. Using a megaphone, he told the crowd: "The options I had were to leave the country, and I will never leave Venezuela ... The other option was to remain in hiding, but that option could've left doubt among some, including some who are here, and we don't have anything to hide." He also stated: "I present myself to an unjust judiciary." He also stated: "I

López also reiterated again the necessity of protesters remaining peaceful in accordance with his vision for a better Venezuela. He stated:

Nevertheless, I do not want to take this step, perhaps into silence for a while, without stating very clearly the reasons behind this struggle: This struggle is indeed for our youth, this struggle is for our students. This struggle is for those who have been repressed, this struggle is for those who are imprisoned. This fight, brothers and sisters, is for all the people of Venezuela, who are suffering today. Our people have to endure long lines and shortages; there are no jobs and there is no future for young people because of a failed model, a model that is not our own, but exported by other countries, one that has nothing to do with the brave people of Venezuela. Brothers and sisters, we have to find our way out of this disaster together. While

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>380</sup> #Resistencia18F, available at

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vCmoGxEnEJc&list=UUa7FaW0KNECwcCelo5b0Ibw; *The Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra* note 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>381</sup> See Appendix II, YouTube Video Wherein López Declares his Intent to Turn Himself In – February 16, 2014 (emphasis added). See also Eyanir Chinea & Daniel Wallis, Venezuela's López Says Ready for Arrest at Tuesday's March, REUTERS, Feb. 16, 2014, available at http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/02/16/us-venezuela-protests-idUSBREA1F0SQ20140216.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>382</sup> Leopoldo López, the Charismatic Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>383</sup> The Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 10 and Read the Speech Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Made Before He Was Jailed, DAILY BEAST, Feb. 19, 2014, available at http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2014/02/19/exclusive-read-the-speech-venezuelan-opposition-leader-leopoldo-lpez-made-before-he-was-jailed.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>384</sup> See Appendix II, Speech López at Plaza Brión Just Prior to Arrest and Detainment – February 18, 2014 [hereinafter López February 18 Speech]; See also Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo Lopez Denied Bail, supra note 113; and Read the Speech Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Made Before He Was Jailed, supra note 383.

our solution has to be peaceful and constitutional, it also needs to be on the streets because we no longer have any free media to express ourselves in Venezuela. If the media remains silent, then let the streets speak out! Let the streets speak out with people! Let the streets speak out peacefully! And let the streets speak out in democracy!<sup>385</sup>

Furthermore, he urged his followers to "remain peaceful" once he turned himself in. He concluded by saying:

Well, brothers and sisters, I ask you to continue this struggle and stay on the streets, to embrace our right to protest. But do so peacefully and without resorting to violence. I ask that all of us here today, all Venezuelans who want change, to get informed, educated and organized, and to carry out a non-violent protest, a massive demonstration of freewill, hearts and souls of the people who want change. But without hurting thy neighbor.<sup>386</sup>

López then peacefully submitted himself for arrest after this speech. He was detained by military forces and put into an armored military vehicle, as depicted on the cover page of this report, before ultimately being transferred to a civilian car.<sup>387</sup> He was then taken to a military prison at Francisco de Miranda Military Air Base. From there the authorities moved him to Fort Tiuna Military Base via helicopter and then eventually to the 16<sup>th</sup> Control Court.

The then-Speaker of the National Assembly, Diosdado Cabello, drove López from the helicopter to the court with his wife and parents.<sup>388</sup> Cabello then drove López to *Ramo Verde* Prison (officially called the National Center for Accused Soldiers), with the Commander of the National Guard. Why Diosdado Cabello served as the driver is both unknown and highly unusual given his role as head of the Legislature, with one report suggesting Cabello sought to persuade López to leave the country and seek asylum.<sup>389</sup>

With his arrest, López became one of 3,716 Venezuelans that have been detained in the aftermath of January 2014. Of these, 2,006 (198 minors) have been released with precautionary measures, 284 (10 minors) are yet to be verified, 746 (58 minors) have their full freedom, 633 (93 minors) have been released without legal action, and 47 have been deprived of their liberty, for a total of 3,716 (359 minors).<sup>390</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>385</sup> López February 18 Speech, supra note 384 (emphasis added) and Read the Speech Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Made Before He Was Jailed, supra note 384.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>386</sup> López February 18 Speech, supra note 384 (emphasis added) and Read the Speech Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Made Before He Was Jailed, supra note 384.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>387</sup> See generally A Tale of Two Prisoners, Economist, Feb. 22, 2014, available at

http://www.economist.com/news/americas/21596945-after-opposition-leader-arrested-violence-continues-unabated-tale-two-prisoners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>388</sup> *The Poster Boy, supra* note 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>389</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>390</sup> Presos Políticos, Foro Penal Venezolano, available at http://www.foropenal.com/ (translated from Spanish).

As indicated in the several-hundred-page indictment, the core of the Government's criminal case against López is that he used subliminal messages to incite his followers to overthrow the Government through violent means.

## The Government specifically states:

[T]he defendant Leopoldo López intentionally, through his speech, incites his followers to take to the streets to refuse to recognize the law, Government, and State institutions, highlighting therein the commitment of the Venezuelan people to take to the streets to rebel against this Government, as it is their legitimate right to do so. He makes this assertion in reference to the presentation of an anti-democratic, inefficient, corrupt government that is allied to drug-traffickers and profoundly regressive. He accuses the Maduro government and holds it responsible for being the only party responsible for all the evils that are currently being endured in the country.<sup>391</sup>

The indictment further states these speeches and expression on social media were "inflamed speech that incited people to violence and [to] disrespect ... legally established authorities."<sup>392</sup> The Government claims that this speech was carried out through subliminal means, saying "[E]vidence can be seen in the social networks, especially in the Twitter account @leopoldolopez, of various declarations with subliminal messages issued by said citizen."<sup>393</sup> The Government defines subliminal messages as a message "which is captured by thousands of persons through several means of communication and has the goal of persuading someone of something without that person being conscious of it."<sup>394</sup> It arrives at this conclusion by using an alleged expert of semantic analysis, who states that:

Leopoldo López possesses a discursive ethos that dominates and influences the ethos of his listeners; consequently, everything that the sender or speaker tells his recipients would exercise great influence, not only in their manner of thinking, but also in the potential actions that the recipients might perform, acting as a consequence. On this point, the discursive power and influence of citizen Leopoldo López as a political leader is unquestionable, as he has served as the catalyst of annoyances felt by a significant part of the Venezuelan population. Thus, whatever he says or may be able to transmit to his audience is effectively transferred, so much so that his recipients feel encouraged to continue to carry out the actions that he indicates they should do, even though he does not explain it to them clearly.<sup>395</sup>

The Government fails to explain in its indictment how such subliminal messages could be received by the population at large when in every speech referenced in the indictment, he specifically, explicitly, clearly, and unequivocally urges his followers to use exclusively non-violent and constitutional means to challenge the Government.

54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>391</sup> Indictment, Apr. 4, 2014, at 240–41 (translated from Spanish) [hereinafter *Indictment*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>392</sup> *Id.*, at 54–55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>393</sup> *Id.*, at 40–41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>394</sup> *Id.*, at 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>395</sup> *Id.*, at 171.

This argument, which was the single alleged and attenuated connection between López and the violence in question, was recanted on February 27, 2015 when the expert of semantic analysis, Dr. Rosa Amelia Asuaje León, withdrew her previous arguments during the trial proceedings. Under cross-examination from López's domestic counsel, Juan Carlos Gutierrez, Dr. Asuaje revised her testimony and admitted that López had never called for violence. She stated, "López's messages are not subliminal; they are clear, direct, and specific. They call for non-violence. There was never a call to violence by López."<sup>396</sup> Months later, in November 2015, Dr. Asuaje further revealed that her original testimony had been manipulated by Judge Barreiros to support the prosecution's claims.<sup>397</sup>

## C. Conduct of the Trial

Prosecutor Franklin Nieves eventually dropped the murder and terrorism charges<sup>398</sup> when journalists showed it to be impossible that López was responsible.<sup>399</sup> An investigative piece showed video footage of government security forces shooting at unarmed protesters on February 12, 2014.<sup>400</sup> As a result, the murder charges for the two deaths were filed against members of the Bolivarian Intelligence Service.<sup>401</sup>

Supervisory Judge No. 16 of Caracas, Ralenys Tovar Guillén, who issued the arrest warrant, ordered López's pretrial detention on February 20, 2014. The arraignment took place inside a military bus parked outside *Ramo Verde* Prison. The hearing was originally supposed to take place at 10:30 am on February 19 at the 16<sup>th</sup> Control Court in the Palace of Justice. However, at approximately 4 pm, López's defense team was notified about Judge Tovar's decision to hold the hearing at the military prison. This decision was made after a group of students supporting López arrived outside the Palace of Justice to demonstrate, and were subsequently attacked by Maduro supporters. One of López's defense counsels, Bernardo Pulido, stated to the media, I had never seen the courthouse as heavily guarded as today. Thus, we do not understand how the security of our client cannot be guaranteed.

After the hearing took place in the bus known as the "Mobile Court," López then went back inside the prison walls. The bus is usually limited to hearings for parties that are incapacitated. The hearing took place on the bus, parked just outside the military prison. The hearing did not

55

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>396</sup>Experta Reconoce que Leopoldo López No Instó a La Violencia, EL NACIONAL, Feb. 27, 2015, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/politica/Experta-reconoce-Leopoldo-Lopez-violencia 0 581942045.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>397</sup> Sabrina Martín, *Witness in Leopoldo López Trial Outs Manipulation of Her Testimony*, PANAM POST, Nov. 3, 2015, *available at* https://panampost.com/sabrina-martin/2015/11/03/witness-in-leopoldo-lopez-trial-outs-manipulation-of-her-testimony/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>398</sup> Mariano Castillo & Ed Payne, *Murder Charges Against Venezuela Opposition Leader Dropped*, CNN, Feb. 20, 2014, *available at* http://www.cnn.com/2014/02/20/world/americas/venezuela-protests/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>399</sup> Sea ud. El Juez Parte I, supra note 351.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>400</sup> Punished for Protesting, supra note 116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>401</sup> Their Claims Were Not True, supra note 115.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>402</sup> Venezuela: Violence Against Protesters, Journalists, supra note 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>403</sup> Id. and Murder Charges Against Venezuela Opposition Leader Dropped, supra note 398.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>404</sup> Juan Francisco Alonso, *Pre-trial Hearing of Leopoldo López to be Held in Ramo Verde Prison*, EL UNIVERSAL, Feb. 19, 2014, *available at* http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/140219/pre-trial-hearing-of-leopoldolopez-to-be-held-in-ramo-verde-prison.

<sup>405</sup> *Id.* 

begin until 10 pm<sup>406</sup> and ended at approximately 4 am. Furthermore, because the hearing took place in Los Teques, Miranda, Judge Tovar was sitting outside of her jurisdiction when the hearing took place, making the hearing and the resulting adoption of charges against López illegal.

On March 28, 2014, the Caracas Court of Appeals denied López bail. 407 The judge stated the petition filed by López's counsel was "without merit." 408 On April 4, Prosecutor Luisa Ortega Díaz officially announced the charges; which carried a maximum sentence of 14 years imprisonment. 409 Ortega has since revealed that she was pressured by Diosdado Cabello, the then President of the National Assembly, to accuse López of "homicide and terrorism," but that she objected. 410 Nevertheless, she still pressed other charges against López despite an inherent conflict of interest in the prosecution, as the Attorney General's Office claimed it was the primary victim of the February 12 violence, which damaged its offices, while nevertheless maintaining its prosecutorial authority.

In early May 2014, the Government appointed Judge Adriana López of Court 16 Control of Caracas (who has no relation to López or his sister with the same name) to replace Judge Tovar, allegedly due to a usual rotation of judges. Judge López was set to decide whether López would be tried in a probable-cause hearing set for the morning of May 8, 2014. However, on May 8, López's domestic attorneys were notified that the hearing had been postponed on account of Judge López being ill, and the hearing was postponed until June 2014.

The intermediate phase of the Venezuelan penal process culminated on June 5, 2014. After four days of hearings, provisional Judge López admitted all the charges filed against López for the crimes of fire, damages, incitement, and association to commit crimes. She also admitted all the evidence offered by the Office of the Public Prosecutor and ordered the case to be sent for a public trial.

Similarly, Judge López declared inadmissible all the requests for annulments that had been raised by the defense for human rights violations, including inhumane conditions of imprisonment. In addition, she denied all the objections that were presented against the Office of the Public Prosecutor for factual and legal flaws in their presentation of the case. Although the defense proposed sixty witnesses, twelve experts, and thirteen videos, Judge López refused all defense evidence except for two witnesses, while all of the prosecution's evidence, including 100 witnesses, seven reports, four videos, and two site inspections were admitted. Her decision also

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>406</sup> The Poster Boy, supra note 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>407</sup> Venezuela Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Will Remain in a Military Prison, MERCOPRESS, Mar. 29, 2014, available at http://en.mercopress.com/2014/03/29/venezuela-opposition-leader-leopoldo-lopez-will-remain-in-amilitary-prison; Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Denied Bail, supra note 113; and Venezuela Court Rejects Release of Opposition Leader, supra note 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>408</sup> Venezuela Court Rejects Release of Opposition Leader, supra note 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>409</sup> Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Formally Charged, Faces 14 Years, Fox News Latino, Apr. 4, 2014, available at http://latino.foxnews.com/latino/news/2014/04/04/venezuelan-opposition-leader-leopoldo-lopez-formally-charged-faces-14-up-to/.

 <sup>410</sup> Ortega Díaz en Caso de Leopoldo López: Me Presionó Diosdado Cabello, EL NACIONAL, Feb. 15, 2018, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/politica/ortega-diaz-caso-leopoldo-lopez-presiono-diosdado-cabello\_223186.
 411 Juan Francisco Alonso, López's Probable-Cause Hearing to be Held on May 8, EL UNIVERSAL, Apr. 10, 2014, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/140410/lopezs-probable-cause-hearing-to-be-held-on-may-8.

reaffirmed that López would continue to be held in custody through the trial. These judicial pronouncements violated the Venezuelan Constitution and ratified the systematic violation of fundamental rights committed against Leopoldo López.

López's trial opened on July 23, 2014, with Judge Susana Barreiros of the 28<sup>th</sup> Trial Court of the Metropolitan Area of Caracas. The Prosecutor General was Luisa Ortega Díaz, and the Prosecutor for López's case was Franklin Nieves. Judge Barreiros, like Judge López, appeared to be continuing the pattern of denying requests from the defense: for example, she rejected all claims presented by defense lawyers requesting remedy for human rights abuses. Of particular concern was that she affirmed Judge López's decision to block the vast majority of the defense's evidence. The trial proceeded with over 100 exhibits for the prosecution, including 82 witnesses (mostly police or officials from the prosecutorial officials), 16 expert testimonies, 7 reports, 4 videos, and 2 on-site inspections. In contrast, only 2 defense witnesses were allowed – who were ultimately unwilling or unable to testify. Such a gross imbalance in the proceedings prevented López from making a proper defense, and represented a failure of the Venezuelan justice system to provide him a fair trial.

After the initial announcement on October 7, 2014 of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention's decision, which found López's detention arbitrary, Judge Barreiros stated she would respond publically, but then closed her court without notice until November 13. On November 13, Judge Barreiros officially rejected the decision of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention on the basis that "Venezuela is a sovereign country that does not accept interferences on internal matters." On that same day, the UN Committee Against Torture, which oversees implementation of the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman, and Degrading Treatment, issued its final report on Venezuela's review. It is worth noting that the Committee Against Torture explicitly called for the immediate release of López and all political prisoners in Venezuela, stating, "the State party should . . . release immediately Leopoldo López . . . and all those who have been arbitrarily detained for exercising their right to speak out and protest peacefully." On December 16, Judge Barreiros formally rejected the Committee Against Torture's call for López's release as well. 416

In response to Judge Barreiros' rejection of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention decision, López appealed immediately to the Court of Appeals of Caracas. On November 18,

<sup>41</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>412</sup> Juan Francisco Alonso, *López Feels that Democracy is Prosecuted in His Case*, EL UNIVERSAL, July 24, 2014, *available at* http://english.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/140724/lopez-feels-that-democracy-is-prosecuted-in-his-case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>413</sup> Juan Francisco Alonso, *Tintori Exige a Tribunal 28 el Cese de Receso y Acatar Dictamen de la ONU*, EL UNIVERSAL, Nov. 11, 2014, *available at* http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/141111/tintori-exige-a-tribunal-28-el-cese-de-receso-y-acatar-dictamen-de-la-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>414</sup> Edgar López, *Jueza Negó Liberación de López Pedida por la ONU*, EL NACIONAL, Nov. 14, 2014, *available at* http://www.el-nacional.com/politica/Jueza-liberacion-Lopez-pedida-ONU\_0\_518948357.html [hereinafter *Judge Rejects UN Decision*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>415</sup> OBSERVACIONES FINALES SOBRE EL TERCER Y CUARTO INFORME PERIÓDICO DE LA REPÚBLICA BOLIVARIANA DE VENEZUELA, U.N. COMM. AGAINST TORTURE, U.N. Doc. CAT/C/VEN/3-4, Nov. 13, 2014, at ¶ 9 [hereinafter UN COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE FINAL REPORT ON VENEZUELA].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>416</sup> Jueza Rechaza Resolución de Comité de la ONU que Pide Liberar a López, LA VERDAD, Dec. 16, 2014, available at http://www.laverdad.com/politica/66021-jueza-rechaza-resolucion-de-comite-de-la-onu-que-pide-liberar-a-lopez.html.

López announced that he would not be participating in the trial and would continue to protest the trial until his appeal was considered. After López missed seven scheduled court hearings, on January 13, 2015, Judge Barreiros issued a contempt of court ruling and stated that the trial would continue despite López's absence.<sup>417</sup> Two months later, on March 15, 2015, the Court of Appeals of Caracas ruled in favor of Judge Barreiros' rejection of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention decision.<sup>418</sup>

On February 27, 2015, the Government of Venezuela's domestic legal case against López collapsed. Since the start of the trial, the central claim of the Government of Venezuela was that, although López was not present when the violence between protesters and security officers occurred, he had used "subliminal messages" to incite people to violence, even though in the speeches presented as evidence to the court he unequivocally has advocated non-violence. When the Government presented its expert witness, Dr. Rosa Amelia Asuaje León, a Ph.D. in Linguistics, who is a Professor at Universidad de Los Andes and writer for the pro-Government newspaper *Aporrea*, she analyzed several speeches from some 30 videos supplied by the prosecution, which had provided the basis of her written report that she had submitted to the court.

Nevertheless, under cross-examination from López's domestic counsel Juan Carlos Gutierrez, Dr. Asuaje recanted her prior testimony and admitted that López had never called for violence. She stated, "López's messages are not subliminal; they are clear, direct, and specific. They call for non-violence. There was never a call to violence by López." Dr. Asuaje was the most important witness because her analysis of López's speeches was the basis for his indictment. Without her tying López to these events, there is no legal case against him.

On August 25, 2015, Judge Susana Barreiros concluded the trial against Leopoldo López. This action taken by the judge came as a surprise, as the Court had failed to examine crucial evidence, excluding from its consideration the very speeches that served as the basis of López's conviction, as well as a declaration by several adolescents claiming sole responsibility for the violence on February 12 and accounts from witnesses affirming that López's speeches had never called for violence. Over the course of the 70 hearings, which spanned some 600 hours, the judge heard 108 witness and 30 pieces of evidence for the prosecution. There was not a single incriminating piece of evidence to support the prosecution's case. López was provided only three hours for his defense.

As expressed above, López's trial was plagued with procedural flaws and irregularities. The use of provisional judges, necessarily beholden to the executive branch, violated the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>417</sup> Leopoldo López Trial To Continue Despite His Absence, PANAM POST, Jan. 15, 2015, available at http://panampost.com/panam-staff/2015/01/15/leopoldo-lopez-trial-to-continue-despite-his-absence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>418</sup> Corte de Apelaciones de Caracas Decide Mantener "La Medida Privativa de Libertad" contra Leopoldo López, NTN 24, Mar. 15, 2015, available at http://www.ntn24.com/noticia/corte-de-apelaciones-decide-mantener-la-medida-privativa-de-libertad-contra-leopoldo-lopez-43426.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>419</sup> Experta Reconoce que Leopoldo López No Instó a La Violencia, supra note 396.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>420</sup> Jeffrey Tayler, *Kafka in Caracas*, FOREIGN POLICY, Sept. 3, 2015, *available at* 

http://foreignpolicy.com/2015/09/03/kafka-in-caracas-leopoldo-lopez-trial-sentencing-maduro-venezuela/. 421 Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>422</sup> *Id*.

requirement for an independent and impartial judiciary.<sup>423</sup> Impartiality was threatened by the fact that high-level officials publicly blamed López for "murders" and violence before any investigation had begun, violating his right to the presumption of innocence. López's right to confidential attorney-client communications was also repeatedly violated. And the involvement of the state as Public Prosecutor, while that office and the national police were also designated as "victims" in the case, presented a clear conflict of interest. The trial itself was regularly closed and otherwise gave only highly limited access to journalists and independent observers.

Additionally, both judges refused to order any investigation into repeated complaints of mistreatment, included months of extended solitary confinement, harassment, and intimidation by prison guards. Despite these human rights and due process violations and his obvious innocence, López was ultimately convicted of conspiracy, incitement to commit crimes, arson, and damage to public property and was sentenced to 13 years and 9 months in prison on September 10, 2015.

A month later, the legitimacy of López's trial was further called into question when the main prosecutor, Franklin Nieves, abandoned his post, traveled to the U.S., and admitted that he was pressured by the Venezuelan government to rely on bogus evidence to wrongly prosecute López. In a video statement, he announced that he could no longer defend "the false evidence" that was used to convict López and attested to López's innocence. The director of Human Rights Watch's Americas division, Jose Miguel Vivanco, ultimately described López trial as "something out of the Spanish Inquisition." 424

## D. 2015 Hunger Strike

On May 25, 2015, López, along with fellow political prisoner (and former inmate before being transferred to another prison), Daniel Ceballos, announced a hunger strike in a video released from a cell phone secretly hidden in his prison cell. In the video, López explained his reasoning behind the strike and also called for a protest on May 31:

One year and three months after our call for change, the situation has got even worse. More queues, more inflation, more scarcity, more crime, more corruption.... We want to call for a demonstration. A strong, massive, peaceful demonstration without any kind of violence in the streets of Venezuela next Saturday....Let's go out for peace and democracy. Let's not lose hope, let's not lose faith....We have three clear demands - freedom for all political prisoners, the end of censorship and repression and that the date for the parliamentary elections is set.<sup>425</sup>

López's call for protests was ultimately heeded throughout the nation and on May 30, 2015, tens of thousands of protesters rallied in the streets of cities throughout Venezuela. In Caracas, estimates were as high as 20,000 protesters, which is considerable given that the Government has

<sup>424</sup> José de Córdoba & Kejal Vyas, *Venezuela Prosecutor Franklin Nieves Says Opposition Leader's Trial Was a Sham*, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, Oct. 26, 2015, *available at* http://www.wsj.com/articles/venezuela-prosecutor-franklin-nieves-says-opposition-leaders-trial-was-a-sham-1445895707.

59

 $<sup>^{423}</sup>$  Cedeño v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Communication No. 1940/2010, U.N. Human Rights Committee, adopted Oct. 29, 2012, at  $\P$  7.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>425</sup> Venezuela Opposition Leader Leopoldo Lopez on Hunger Strike, BBC NEWS, May 24, 2015, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-32871566.

publicly condoned use of lethal force against non-violent protesters in the intervening period after López's detention.<sup>426</sup> His hunger strike ultimately lasted 30 days and was joined by over 100 different political prisoners and student leaders. He ultimately decided to end his strike upon learning that the Government had set the date of December 6, 2015 for the parliamentary elections.<sup>427</sup>

During López's hunger strike, the Government did not permit the International Red Cross or doctors of his choosing to visit him – despite multiple requests from López, his family, and numerous international leaders. Many prominent personalities, including former Prime Minister Felipe González of Spain, former President Andrés Pastrana of Colombia, and former President Sebastian Piñera of Chile, tried visiting him in prison during this period, but all were denied entry. López lost 30 pounds (15 kg) during his hunger strike.

## E. Judgment and Sentence

On September 10, 2015, Judge Barreiros issued a verdict in López's case. Under international law, the right to a fair trial requires a verdict that emanates from a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent, and impartial tribunal. Instead, López's judgment reads as though it were written by the prosecution, with no attempt to address the claims of the defense or to adequately present evidence or any legal rationale for the decision, reserving only nine pages of the 283-page opinion for this purpose. The UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>426</sup> Ewald Scharfenberg, *La Oposición a Maduro Vuelve a la Calle en 30 Ciudades Venezolanas*, EL PAÍS, May 30, 2015, *available at* 

http://internacional.elpais.com/internacional/2015/05/30/actualidad/1433011457\_154350.html?id\_externo\_rsoc=FB\_C M.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>427</sup> Anatoly Kurmanaev, *Venezuela's Opposition Lopez Ends Hunger Strike After Vote Date*, BLOOMBERG BUSINESSS, June 24, 2015, *available at* http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-06-23/venezuela-s-leopoldo-lopez-to-end-hunger-strike-tintori.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>428</sup> Harriet Alexander & Donna Bowater, *Brazilian Senators Forced Out of Venezuela After Failed Solidarity Mission*, THE TELEGRAPH, June 19, 2015, *available at* 

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/southamerica/brazil/11686436/Brazilian-senators-forced-out-of-Venezuela-after-failed-solidarity-mission.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>429</sup> Brianna Lee, *Venezuelan Political Prisoner Leopoldo López Suspends Hunger Strike After 30 Days*, INT'L BUSINESS TIMES, June 23, 2015, *available at* http://www.ibtimes.com/venezuelan-political-prisoner-leopoldo-lopez-suspends-hunger-strike-after-30-days-1979815.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>430</sup> International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, G.A. Res 2200A (XXI), U.N. GAOR, 21<sup>st</sup> Sess., Supp. No. 16, U.N. Doc. A/6316, at 52 (1966), 999 U.N.T.S. 171, entered into force 23 March 1976, at art. 14(1) [hereinafter *ICCPR*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>431</sup> Raquel Leon, *Leopoldo Lopez: Venezuela Opposition Leader Jailed for his 'Art of Words' While Leader of Protest Movement*, The Independent, Oct. 8, 2015, *available at* 

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/leopoldo-lopez-venezuela-opposition-leader-jailed-for-his-art-of-words-while-leader-of-protest-a6686906.html (noting that only 9 pages of 283-page opinion addressed legal rationale for the decision).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>432</sup> Venezuela: UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention Demands Immediate Release of Leopoldo López, U.N. OFFICE OF THE HIGH COMM'R ON HUMAN RIGHTS, Sept. 18, 2015, available at http://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=16466&LangID=E.

Amnesty International, <sup>433</sup> Freedom House, <sup>434</sup> and many current and former heads of state have since denounced this judgment and called for López's immediate release.

According to Judge Susana Barreiros, López was responsible for "calls to the streets" that led people to act violently, with disregard for the authorities and the law, following an otherwise peaceful protest on February 12, 2014.<sup>435</sup> On that day, a group of people allegedly acting under the direction of López are said to have attacked the headquarters of the Public Prosecutor's office, set fire to seven vehicles (of which six were national police patrol cars), and damaged public property in Carabobo Park. 436

Following this event, López was ultimately charged with ordering the crimes of arson and damage to public property, and committing the crimes of public incitement to commit crimes and association to commit crimes. López was convicted of these charges and sentenced to 13 years and 9 months in prison. In short, the prosecution's theory of the case was that López used "subliminal" messages" to inspire people to violence. 437

The judgment did not provide any precise relationship between the alleged offenses and the laws governing them. The judgment failed to specify or substantiate with evidence: López's relationship to the co-defendants; the individual laws that López allegedly ordered his followers to disobey; the time, place, or manner in which López "coerced" the individuals to commit crimes given that he was not present at the time; the operations or structure of the so-called criminal organization within which López was supposedly working; the expressions in López's speech that could have motivated the acts of violence; or the presence of criminal intent. Indeed, the judgment failed to explain how people could be inspired to commit violence when López repeatedly exhorted his followers to non-violence. 438

The judgment was predicated on an *interpretation* of López's speeches by two linguistic experts – rather than the plain language of the speeches themselves – and this manipulation was adulterated further in the judgment, which employed very selective selections of the linguists' testimonies. For example, the judgment overlooked the linguists' disclaimers that they could only guess at López's intent and that the defense should be allowed to correct their interpretations as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>433</sup> Venezuela: Sentence Against Opposition Leader Shows Utter Lack of Judicial Independence, AMNESTY INT'L, Sept. 10, 2015, available at https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2015/09/venezuela-sentence-against-opposition-leadershows-utter-lack-of-judicial-independence/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>434</sup> Venezuelan Court Convicts, Imprisons Opposition Leader Lopez, FREEDOM HOUSE, Sept. 11, 2015, available at https://freedomhouse.org/article/venezuelan-court-convicts-imprisons-opposition-leader-lopez.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>435</sup> Allan R. Brewer-Carías, La Condena Contra Leopoldo López por El "Delito de Opinión": O de Cómo los Jueces del Horror Están Obligando al Pueblo a la Rebelión Popular, Oct. 10, 2015, available at http://www.allanbrewercarias.com/Content/449725d9-f1cb-474b-8ab2-

<sup>41</sup>efb849fea3/Content/Brewer.%20I,%202,%20119.%20CONDENA%20DE%20LEOPOLDO%20L%C3%93PEZ.%2 010%20Oct.%202016.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>437</sup> The Editorial Board, *Free Venezuela's Leopoldo López*, NEW YORK TIMES, Sept. 13, 2015, available at http://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/14/opinion/free-venezuelas-leopoldo-lopez.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>438</sup> Jared Genser & Juan Carlos Gutiérrez, *The Other Side of Leopoldo López*, FOREIGN POLICY, Sept. 7, 2015, available at http://foreignpolicy.com/2015/09/07/the-other-side-of-leopoldo-lopez-venezuela-opposition/.

necessary. Furthermore, the testimony upon which the judgment depended most heavily was actually recanted by the expert under cross-examination by the defense.<sup>439</sup>

The judgment ignored the defendant's repeated claims that he did not call for violence, despite the prosecution's inability to produce a single piece of evidence to the contrary. The judgment willfully misconstrued López's legitimate exercise of his rights to freedom of opinion, expression, and association as criminal activity, and deemed López's legitimate political party a criminal organization. In asserting that any call to change the status quo is an inherently violent proposition, the judgment threatened all political associations and expressions of dissent in Venezuela.

On May 31, 2016, the Court of Appeals of Caracas accepted an appeal on the ruling against López – eight months after the appeal was requested. A public oral hearing was scheduled to take place on June 20, 2016. Yet on the day of the hearing, justice was once more postponed, with Judge Mendoza citing illness. Shortly after learning of this deferral of justice, Lilian Tintori declared, "they want to delay the inevitable: the full freedom of Leopoldo López." López's oral hearing was then rescheduled for July 7<sup>th</sup>. Unfortunately, the July 7<sup>th</sup> hearing was similarly delayed and postponed.

On July 22, 2016, López was finally given the opportunity to have an oral hearing on his appeal. The Court had previously promised López's domestic council that the hearing would be public in nature. Yet on the morning of the hearing, López's guests, including journalists, international observers, and representatives of NGOs, discovered that the Court entrance was blocked by soldiers and the Court's own security officials. Without the previously ordered participation of observers, including two of Mr. López's international lawyers, the case was once more riddled with procedural flaws. Pursuant to Venezuelan law, the Court of Appeals of Caracas has ten business days to issue its decision, making August 5<sup>th</sup> the deadline. As was noted by Juan Carlos Gutiérrez, the head of López's domestic council:

This obstruction was committed in a way that was totally and absolutely controlled by military personnel. It was an obvious abuse of government power. Such actions significantly reduce the possibility that the decision in the López appeal will be emitted in a manner that conforms to due process.

The Court of Appeals of Caracas rejected López's appeal and upheld his conviction on August 12, 2016. On February 17, 2017, the Supreme Court of Venezuela rejected López's final appeal and ratified his sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>439</sup> Kafka in Caracas, supra note 420.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>440</sup> La Condena Contra Leopoldo López por El "Delito de Opinión", supra note 435.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>441</sup> Lilian Tintori: Quieren Diferir lo Inevitable: La Libertad Plena de Leopoldo López, VOLUNTAD POPULAR, June 16, 2016, available at http://www.voluntadpopular.com/index.php/ver-noticia/component/content/article/8-noticias/4902-lilian-tintori-quieren-diferir-lo-inevitable-la-libertad-plena-de-leopoldo-lopez.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>442</sup> This occurred via a written decision issued by the Court on June 16, 2016.

## F. Evolving Conditions of Imprisonment



For three years and five months, Leopoldo López was imprisoned in *Ramo Verde* Prison, the official name of which is *Centro Penitenciario para Procesados Militares* (CENAPROMIL) ("National Center for Accused Soldiers").<sup>443</sup> The prison is located in Los Teques, a municipality about one hour outside of Caracas.<sup>444</sup> At *Ramo Verde* Prison, he was regularly placed in permanent, enforced, and arbitrary solitary confinement up to 23-24 hours a day. For a three-month period of time, he was confined without natural light and was not allowed to leave his cell. López was also punished on multiple occasions for purported offenses and denied all visitors for over nine months of his imprisonment. On one notable occasion in October 2014, López was punished in response to his hanging a sign outside his window praising the UN for standing with political prisoners in Venezuela.<sup>445</sup> During the dialogue efforts between the Government and the Opposition, which López and *Voluntad Popular* opposed because they considered any dialogue without meaningful concessions to be a stalling tactic, López was also subjected to increased pressure via confiscation or withholding of items, visitors, and phone calls.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>443</sup> Venezuela Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Will Remain in a Military Prison, supra note 407 and Una Cárcel Military Alberga al Politico Leopoldo López, EL Mundo, Feb. 24, 2014, available at http://www.elmundo.es/america/2014/02/21/530777b0ca474106648b4579.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>444</sup> Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Denied Bail, supra note 113; Venezuela Charges Opposition Leader, Protests Erupt, supra note 2; and The Face of Venezuela's Opposition, supra note 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>445</sup> López y Ceballos Exigen a la ONU Libertad Para Presos Políticos, MIAMIDIARIO, Oct. 17, 2014, available at http://www.miamidiario.com/noticia/329999.

In addition, he suffered at least nine arbitrary and highly physical searches of his cell and confiscation of previously approved items, including music players, books, private correspondence, and notes prepared for his trial. These searches and punishments were in response to not only López's actions, but also the actions of his wife, Lilian Tintori. At certain times during his imprisonment at *Ramo Verde*, López was forced to undress and was physically searched up to ten times per day.

The Government stated the reason for López being held in a military prison, despite the fact that he is a civilian, was that it is the only place where they could, at the time guarantee his safety. However, López's lawyers and relatives found inhumane conditions upon visiting López. His original cell was both dark and cold. The cell contained one small window, which did not allow for adequate light and caused López to develop vision impairment. The guards of the prison further worsened López's inhumane condition. They played music at odd hours of the night, especially before important hearings, in order to keep López awake. Periodically, they cut off the water supply. On October 27, 2014, around 1 am, guards on the roof swung plastic bags of human urine and feces against the bars of López's prison windows, spraying the entire cell and López himself with excrement. The prison officials then shut off water and electricity for 12 hours, making it impossible for him to clean himself.<sup>447</sup>

The conditions of López's imprisonment only worsened after he gave a telephone interview from *Ramo Verde* to CNN Español with Fernando del Rincón<sup>448</sup> on February 18, 2015, and after his wife, Lilian Tintori, met publicly with then US Vice President Joe Biden on February 11, 2015.<sup>449</sup> On February 13, 2015, armed men, dressed in black and with ski masks, ransacked López's cell. After forcibly entering his cell, they destroyed all his books and personal notes that he used for his defense. López was then violently removed from his cell and put in a small isolation cell that had no toilet or running water. About a week later, López's isolation cell was once again raided by about 30 Venezuelan military, heavily armed and wearing ski masks and dressed in black. They aggressively struggled with López, pointing a gun directly at him in an attempt to remove him from his cell. López was told that he was being moved a new prison.

Ultimately, López was not transferred to another prison and remained in his punishment cell. The cell was much smaller than this previous cell, about 3x2 meters (10x7 feet) with a bed, a plastic table, and a plastic chair. In the cell, López had access to a bathroom with running water. For the first month that he was in this cell, he was kept in complete isolation as punishment for his and his wife's actions. For a short time, he was able to spend time with the two other prisoners in that section of the prison and to see his family. It was during his time in this cell that the guards started preventing the family from bringing López books or personal letters, however, claiming that "he is reading too much."

<sup>.</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>446</sup> Murder Charges Against Venezuela Opposition Leader Dropped, supra note 398.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>447</sup> Aíslan y Castigan a Presos Políticos en Ramo Verde, EL NACIONAL, Oct. 31, 2014, available at http://www.elnacional.com/politica/Aislan-castigan-politicos-Ramo-Verde 0 510549135.html.

The interview with English subtitles is available at https://vimeo.com/ $1\overline{22755695}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>449</sup> Guy Taylor, *Amid Coup Charges, Joe Biden Meets Venezuelan Opposition Figures*, THE WASHINGTON TIMES, Feb. 11, 2015, *available at* http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/feb/11/amid-coup-charges-biden-meets-venezuelan-oppositio/.

On the night of López's conviction, he was moved to a new cell. Upon his arrival, his cell was the only inhabited cell in a prison building at *Ramo Verde* that consisted of 30 cells. It was 7-by-10 foot and had nothing more than a single bed, toilet, and a small shelf for a few changes of clothes. After many months, López was finally permitted a desk in his cell, although it was frequently removed as a form of punishment. He was not allowed any book considered "political," an expansive term with no set definition. He was not provided a light or candle when it was dark outside. López was also regularly forced to move to identical cells within the empty building.

In his most recent cell, López's contact with other prisoners and with his family was extremely limited. He was occasionally permitted to interact with another prisoner in his building. At the discretion of the guards, López was permitted a brief, daily period of exercise in the athletics area and was occasionally allowed to attend mass at the prison, but guards accompanied him and prevented him from having any meaningful social contact with the priest or other prisoners at mass. Previously López was permitted a short, three-minute phone call Monday through Thursday, but these phone calls became infrequent and were only permitted at the discretion of the guards. When he was allowed to make a call, a guard stood 15 feet away and listened to the calls; if the guard heard anything that he did not like, or anything related to politics, he pushed a button and the line dropped. Other inmates in *Ramo Verde* were not subject to this same surveillance and could access a phone whenever they want, as long as other prisoners weren't occupying all of the phones. When López first arrived at *Ramo Verde*, all prisoners were allowed to have cell phones, but the prison officials have since established a rule against cell phones – a rule that was only, discriminatorily, enforced against López.

This discriminatory and demeaning treatment has also extended to López's family members on several occasions. On January 17, 2016, López's wife, Lilian Tintori, attempted to see him at Ramo Verde for a routine familial visit. After forcing Tintori to wait two hours while other visitors passed through without delay, Colonel Viloria, the director of *Ramo Verde*, began yelling loudly at Tintori and insulting her family relationship and her marriage. When Tintori asked Colonel Viloria to lower his voice, he told her that if she continued to talk back to him, he would prevent her from visiting López for six months. He then continued to yell, hurling insults at Tintori in front of all of the soldiers. Tintori was then led to a very small room with two prison guards where she was ordered to remove all of her clothing and undergarments for a full body search. She was asked to repeatedly open and close her legs, while being subjected to verbal abuse from the prison guards, whose lewd and aggressive comments ranged from name calling to disparaging comments about her marriage. The soldiers even searched and then confiscated the sanitary pad that Tintori had been wearing. Arriving several hours later, López's mother, Antonieta Mendoza de López, was also forced to undress completely and comply with a similarly violative and degrading search in front of her grandchildren, Manuela, age six, and Leopoldo Santiago, age three. She was made to open her legs repeatedly, with the guards yelling at her to "open them more." When the guards attempted to remove the children's clothes and search them as well, Mendoza refused to let them. During these abusive searches, the guards indicated to Tintori and Mendoza that they were acting on orders received from their superiors. The actions of the guards drew criticism from the international community, including President Michelle Bachelet of Chile and the Paraguayan

Ministry of Foreign Affairs.<sup>450</sup> Despite this international condemnation, López's family continued to be subjected to these intrusive and degrading strip searches.

Even before López's move to his first punishment cell, the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, Juan Méndez, expressed concern over López's cruel living conditions. In his report to the UN Human Rights Council, Méndez stated, "In the absence of information to the contrary, the Rapporteur concludes that the Government of Venezuela, by not protecting the physical and psychological integrity of Leopoldo López, as proved by his being maintained in solitary confinement 23 hours a day, and the violent searches that resulted in bruises on the skin of Lopez...is responsible for [his] physical and mental sufferings." On March 16, 2015, in response to these concerns, the Foreign Ministers of Colombia, Brazil, and Ecuador, as members of UNASUR, sent a formal request to President Maduro, asking him to allow the Red Cross to visit López and the other political prisoners in the country and inspect their living conditions. On April 20, 2015, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) issued precautionary measures to protect López's "life and personal integrity," meaning that his detention conditions must be in accordance with international law. And on October 12, 2015, the IACHR extended the precautionary measures to include López's immediate family – his wife, children, parents, and siblings – as well as his lawyer.

In addition to his poor living conditions in prison, the confidentiality of López's communication with his attorneys was not honored in *Ramo Verde* Military Prison. The attorneys were physically searched when entering the prison, and documents they brought to the prison were read. The attorneys were only allowed to meet in López's prison cell, which afforded no privacy because a prison guard was stationed directly outside within earshot of their conversations. Upon departure, the attorneys were searched again and any material leaving the prison was reviewed. Because of the utter lack of confidentiality, López and his attorneys resorted to talking in code and writing notes to each other during visits. To keep the guards from reading their notes, at the end of the visits either López or his lawyer would destroy their notes. These visits could also be terminated at any time by the guards if they dislike the topic of conversation.

López was also not allowed private moments with his family, as all of their limited interactions are monitored and recorded by prison officials. On October 28, 2015, then-President of the National Assembly Diosdado Cabello used his national television program to reveal images

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>450</sup> Paraguay Rechaza "Trato Denigrante" a Lilian Tintori y Antonieta de López en Ramo Verde, LA PATILLA, Jan. 20, 2016, available at http://www.lapatilla.com/site/2016/01/20/paraguay-rechaza-trato-denigrante-a-lilian-tintori-y-antonieta-de-lopez-en-ramo-verde and El Gobierno de Chile se Solidariza con Lilian Tintori, DIARIO LAS AMÉRICAS, Nov. 29, 2015, available at http://www.diariolasamericas.com/5051\_portada-america-latina/3484105\_el-gobierno-de-chile-se-solidariza-con-lilian-tintori.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>451</sup> Juan Méndez, REPORT OF THE SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR ON TORTURE AND OTHER CRUEL, INHUMAN, OR DEGRADING TREATMENT OR PUNISHMENT, A/HRC/28/68/Add.1, Mar. 5, 2015, at ¶ 642, *available at* http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session28/Pages/ListReports.aspx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>452</sup> Ecuador, Brasil y Colombia Pidieron a Maduro Autorizar una Visita de la Cruz Roja a Leopoldo López, DIARIO DE CUBA, Mar. 17, 2015, available at http://www.diariodecuba.com/internacional/1426594800 13446.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>453</sup> Resolución 12/2015 sobre el Asunto Leopoldo López y Daniael Ceballos Respecto de Venzuela, INTER-AM. COMM'N ON HUMAN RIGHTS, adopted Apr. 20, 2015, at 1, available at http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/decisiones/pdf/2015/MC335-14-ES.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>454</sup> *IACHR and UN Rapporteur Concerned over Continued Harassment of Lilian Tintori in Venezuela*, ORG. OF AM. STATES, Nov. 10, 2015, *available at* http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media\_center/PReleases/2015/128.asp.

of López's family visiting him in prison, as captured on the so-called security cameras. In doing so, Cabello exposed López's young children – Manuela, who was then five years old, and Leopoldo Santiago, who was then three years old – in clear violation of Article 65, Paragraph 1, of Venezuela's Organic Law on the Protection of Children and Adolescents. This was clearly intended as an act of degradation, as Colonel Viloria had threatened to share such images on several occasions. When López's lawyers asked to know who had shared the military prison's "security camera" footage with Cabello, a civilian, the officials responded that they had been acting in compliance with a direct order from the President of the National Assembly. Since then, the channel has played recordings of López's private conversations with his wife Lilian as fodder for humiliating jokes and derogatory monologues.

At *Ramo Verde* Military Prison, there were extreme restrictions on what López was permitted to have in his cell. All reading material were reviewed by the guards, who had full discretion to allow López the book or ban it. He was categorically not allowed anything in English, including the magazines *Surfer* and *The Economist*, both of which were important to López. He was also not allowed anything regarding Venezuelan or world history. Because history books were severely restricted, López had trouble finishing a long-distance master's program in Venezuelan history. The guards also refused to allow children's books so that he could read to his children when they visited. To further restrict his studies and academic pursuits, the guards also frequently confiscated López's papers and pens so that he could not write. López was forced to dictate messages to his lawyers and family that they wrote down by memory once they left the prison.

López's lawyers requested multiple times in writing that López be evaluated by a doctor. In particular, the deterioration of López's eyesight was concerning and, if left untreated, could cause permanent damage. The Government ignored these requests.

Finally, under Venezuelan prison law, López should have been allowed any visitor that he deemed as a "close friend," which is commonly interpreted in Venezuela as anyone whom the person under detention agrees to receive as a visitor. On more than one occasion, visitors whom López has desired to meet with were turned away at the gate of the military prison. On November 14, 2014, José Antonio Viera-Gallo and Claudio Herrera, Chilean politicians representing the organization Socialist International, attempted to visit López with members of López's family and were refused entry. On January 25, 2015, former presidents, Andrés Pastrana of Colombia and Sebastián Piñera of Chile, attempted to visit López, again with members of López's family, and were denied entry by security forces. On June 9, 2015, the former Spanish Prime Minister Felipe González, who serves on López's international legal team, was also denied from visiting

<sup>.</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>455</sup> Ley de Régimen Penitenciario de Venezuela, art. 58, *available at* http://www.oas.org/Juridico/mla/sp/ven/sp\_ven-mla-law-penitent.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>456</sup> Impiden a Viera-Gallo Visitar a Leopoldo López en Cárcel de Venezuela, LA NACIÓN, Nov. 17, 2014, available at http://www.lanacion.cl/noticias/mundo/impiden-a-viera-gallo-visitar-a-leopoldo-lopez-en-carcel-de-venezuela/2014-11-17/140545.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>457</sup> Ernesto Londoño, *Colombia Calls for Release of Venezuelan Political Prisoner*, New York Times, Jan. 28, 2015, *available at* http://takingnote.blogs.nytimes.com/2015/01/28/colombia-calls-for-release-of-venezuelan-political-prisoner/.

him at *Ramo Verde*. That same month, on June 18, a group of Brazilian senators, acting as representatives from their country, tried to visit López in *Ramo Verde*, but were unable to reach the prison due to protests and road blockages organized by forces loyal to the Government. 459

In May 2016, the leader of Spain's *Ciudadanos* party, Albert Rivera, was denied visitation to López. However, in a surprise turn of events and amidst mounting international pressure, José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero, the former Prime Minister of Spain, was permitted to meet with López in prison on June 4, 2016. He was the first foreign dignitary allowed to visit López in prison. On the night of June 30, 2016, heavily armed officers stormed into López's cell at *Ramo Verde*. Among other things, the officers stole the notes that López had been preparing for his upcoming hearing at the Court of Appeals. On August 21, 2016, a sergeant in the prison threatened to kill López. This serious harassment is indicative of the repression that López faced throughout his imprisonment. López's wife, Lilian Tintori, also revealed in September 2016 that on some days López was physically searched and made to undress up to ten times per day.

On October 23, 2016, former Panamanian President Martín Torrijos became the second foreign dignitary allowed to meet with López. Both Prime Minister Zapatero and President Torrijos were facilitating a dialogue between the Venezuelan opposition and the Venezuelan Government. Thus, it can be assumed that their access to López was a result of the Venezuelan government's support of their efforts.

The protest movements in 2017, which saw millions of Venezuelans marching to denounce the Maduro regime, severely impacted López's conditions in prison. This, along with the sustained international advocacy on the part of his wife, Tintori, to call attention to the abuses of the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>458</sup> Felipe González Leaves Venezuela; Not Allowed to Visit Dissenters, EL UNIVERSAL, June 9, 2015, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/150609/felipe-gonzalez-leaves-venezuela-not-allowed-to-visit-dissenters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>459</sup> Senadores Brasileños no Logran Llegar a Ramo Verde Para Visitar a Leopoldo López, NOTIHOY, June 18, 2015, available at http://notihoy.com/en-fotos-impiden-a-senadores-brasilenos-llegar-hasta-ramo-verde/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>460</sup> Spanish Pol Unsuccessfully Tries to Visit Leopoldo Lopez in Prison, Fox News Latino, May 25, 2016, available at http://latino.foxnews.com/latino/politics/2016/05/25/spanish-pol-unsuccessfully-tries-to-visit-leopoldo-lopez-in-venezuelan-prison/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>461</sup> Spain's Zapatero Has Surprise Meeting with Jailed Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo Lopez, THE WASHINGTON POST, June 4, 2016, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/the\_americas/spains-zapatero-has-surprise-meeting-with-jailed-venezuelan-opposition-leader-leopoldo-lopez/2016/06/04/816eee90-2ab5-11e6-8329-6104954928d2 story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>462</sup> A un Día de Audiencia de Apelación Roban a Leopoldo López Documentos Para su Defensa, VOLUNTAD POPULAR, July 7, 2016, available at http://www.voluntadpopular.com/index.php/ver-noticia/noticias/8-noticias/4994-a-un-dia-de-audiencia-de-apelacion-roban-a-leopoldo-lopez-documentos-para-su-defensa.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>463</sup> Venezuela: Leopoldo López fue Amenazado de Muerte en la Cárcel, EL COMERCIO, Aug. 21, 2016, available at http://elcomercio.pe/mundo/actualidad/venezuela-leopoldo-lopez-fue-amenazado-muerte-carcel-noticia-1925938.
 <sup>464</sup> "A Leopoldo López lo Registran y Desnudan Diez Veces al Día", EL COMERCIO, Sept. 19, 2016, available at http://elcomercio.pe/mundo/actualidad/leopoldo-lopez-lo-registran-y-desnudan-diez-veces-al-dia-noticia-1932802.
 <sup>465</sup> El Opositor Leopoldo López Recibió la Visita del Expresidente Panameño Torrijos en Prisión, EFE, Oct. 24, 2016, available at http://www.efe.com/efe/america/portada/el-opositor-leopoldo-lopez-recibio-la-visita-del-expresidente-panameno-torrijos-en-prision/20000064-3076257.

Venezuelan government, led to López's sustained isolation and government intimidation as form of retaliation by the Government. 466

Beginning on February 23, 2017, Lopez was subjected to an extended period of isolation in which his communication with family members was restricted for 35 days, while his lack of access to legal counsel continued for more than 90 days. This occurred directly following Tintori's trip to Washington, where she met with US President Donald Trump and Vice President Mike Pence in support of the release of Venezuelan political prisoners. López at this time also refused to support an attempted dialogue between the Government and Opposition, stating that no meaningful dialogue could occur until political prisoners were released.

On May 3, 2017, Leopoldo Castillo, noted Venezuelan journalist and host of the popular and credited news program "El Ciudadano," tweeted incoming information on López's alleged transfer to a military hospital due to poisoning.<sup>470</sup> However, when Tintori and López's mother, Antonieta Mendoza de López, arrived at the hospital, they were informed that López was not there.<sup>471</sup> Following Tintori's demands, Diosdado Cabello, a ruling party representative of the National Assembly, published a video of López from prison as proof life.<sup>472</sup> The video showed López stating "I do not understand the reason why they want a proof of life at this moment," which led many including Tintori to believe that it had been altered.<sup>473</sup> Tintori, López's mother, and other opposition protestors held vigils outside *Ramo Verde* until López was able to see his family and confirm proof of life five days after Castillo's initial tweet.

On July 8, 2017, López was transferred from *Ramo Verde* Military Prison to house arrest following a deal allegedly brokered by the former Spanish Prime Minister, Jose Luis Rodriguez

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>466</sup> Counsel to Venezuelan Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Files Urgent Appeal to UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, Demands Proof of Life From Government of Venezuela, PERSEUS STRATEGIES, Apr. 27, 2017, available at http://perseus-strategies.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/Media-Release-Announcing-Counsel-to-Venezuelan-Opposition-Leader-Leopoldo-Lopez-Files-Urgent-Appeal-to-UN-Special-Rapporteur-on-Torture-Demands-Proof-of-Life-from-Government-of-Venezuela.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>467</sup> Complaint to UN Special Rapporteur on the Independence of Judges and Lawyers, PERSEUS STRATEGIES, June 8, 2017, available at http://perseus-strategies.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Leopoldo-Lopez-v.-Government-of-Venezuela-Letter-to-UN-re-Access-to-Lawyers-6.8.2017-FINAL.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>468</sup> Tweet by U.S. President Donald Trump (@realDonaldTrump), TWITTER, Feb. 15, 2017, 3:59 pm, *available at* https://twitter.com/realdonaldtrump/status/832016501657968640.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>469</sup> Venezuelan Government Cuts Off Access to Imprisoned Opposition Leader Leopoldo López for the Past Nine Days, PERSEUS STRATEGIES, Mar. 3, 2017, available at http://perseus-strategies.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Lopez-Press-Release-3.3.17.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>470</sup> Tweet by Leopoldo Castillo (@elcitizen), TWITTER, May 3, 2017, 5:01 pm, *available at* https://twitter.com/elcitizen/status/859920917765525506.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>471</sup> VIDEO: Lilian Tintori Espera Información sobre Leopoldo López desde el Hospital Militar, EL PITAZO, May 3, 2017, available at https://elpitazo.com/ultimas-noticias/video-lilian-tintori-espera-informacion-sobre-leopoldo-lopez-desde-el-hospital-militar/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>472</sup> Venezuela Opposition Leader Lopez in 'Proof of Life' Video, BBC NEWS, May 4, 2017, available at http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin- america-39803673.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>473</sup> *Lilian Tintori:* "El Video de la Dictadura es Falso", EL NACIONAL, May 4, 2017, available at http://www.elnacional.com/noticias/presos-politicos/lilian-tintori-video-dictadura-falso\_180473 and Tweet by Lilian Tintori (@leopoldolopez), TWITTER, May 7, 2017, 4:11 pm, available at https://twitter.com/leopoldolopez/status/861357971112067072.

Zapatero.<sup>474</sup> At the time he was transferred to his home, López had gone more than 90 days without seeing his lawyers, and 32 days under conditions of severe isolation.<sup>475</sup> The Venezuelan Supreme Court stated that it granted López "humanitarian measures for health reasons," and also due to the "serious signs of irregularities" in the handling of his case, even though these concerns had been initially raised during his appeal.<sup>476</sup> Public Ombudsman Tarek William Saab confirmed on July 8, 2017, that the measure was a unilateral decision of the Venezuelan State in which no discussion or negotiation on the behalf of López by his family or lawyers was involved.<sup>477</sup> This lack of consultation reflects the desire of the regime to relieve international and domestic pressure without granting López his full freedom. In reality, López remains a political prisoner held arbitrarily in his own home for exercising his fundamental human rights.

In the early morning of August 1, 2017, López was taken back to *Ramo Verde* Military Prison with no explanation or warning. He was returned to house arrest on August 5, 2017. <sup>478</sup> In this latest arrest, López was held in the harshest conditions yet: a tiny white cell, with nothing but a mattress on the floor, with no ability to reference the time of day, and nothing to read or write on. From his cell López could only hear the taunting of the guards and the screams of others nearby being tortured. It has been made clear to López that he can be seized again at any time, for any reason – he continues to live in a prison of silence, in which he is forbidden to speak publicly at all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>474</sup> Fabiola Sanchez, *Jailed Venezuelan Opposition Leader Lopez Given House Arrest*, ABC NEWS, July 8, 2017, *available at* http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory/jailed-venezuela-opposition-leader-lopez-house-arrest-48519395

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>475</sup> *Venezuela Opposition Leader Leopoldo Lopez Released from Prison and Placed Under House Arrest,* L.A. TIMES, July 8, 2017, *available at* http://www.latimes.com/world/la-fg-lopez-house-arrest-20170708-story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>476</sup> Jailed Venezuelan Opposition Leader Lopez Given House Arrest, supra note 474.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>477</sup> La Tiranía Chavista Claudica y Deja Salir de la Cárcel al Opositor Leopoldo López, PERIODISTA DIGITAL, July 8, 2017, available at http://www.periodistadigital.com/mundo/america-latina/2017/07/08/lilian-tintori-logra-ver-a-leopoldo-lopez-en-su-calabozo-del-penal-militar-de-ramo-verde.shtml.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>478</sup> Opposition's Leopoldo Lopez 'Returned to House Arrest', AL JAZEERA, Aug. 5, 2017 available at http://www.aljazeera.com/news/2017/08/venezuela-opposition-institutions-hostage-170805215832775.html.

## IV. Further Persecution of Leopoldo López and His Wife Lilian Tintori

# A. False Accusations and Disqualification to Exercise Political Rights Without Due Process of Law

Beyond prior violent attacks, the Government has a long history of persecuting López that dates back to 2004. In 2008, despite being recognized as running the most transparent municipality<sup>479</sup> in all of Venezuela during his time as mayor, the Venezuelan government banned López from public office for six years. His political disqualification originally ran from December 12, 2008 to December 12, 2014, but was extended for two more years, and stemmed from two administrative proceedings related to accusations of corruption.

López is also currently subject to other criminal proceedings, despite the fact that the statute of limitations expired. He was criminally charged for an alleged involvement in the 2002 attempted coup, despite merely following judicial orders to detain and protect a government official and never having signed the Carmona Decree. As described below in substantial detail in Appendix I, all of these proceedings are without merit, and are simply an attempt to undermine López as a political opposition figure, permanently oust him from political office, silence him, and imprison him.

## B. Persecution of Lilian Tintori

As Tintori continues her efforts to free her husband, the threats have escalated to violence. In November 2015, while campaigning for the Opposition in the legislative elections, Tintori was attacked by a mob of government supporters while entering the city of San Carlos in Cojedes State, Venezuela. The mob of around 100 people, wearing Government-sponsored campaign gear, surrounded her car on motorcycles and in trucks armed with hammers, knives, and guns. They then began chanting and jumping on the car while pointing their weapons to the passengers. Tintori felt so threatened during the attack that she went into the fetal position in the car in order to protect herself. Eventually, Tintori was able to escape the mob without harm. 480 A week later, while finishing her speech at a campaign stop in Altagracia de Orituco in Guarico State, Venezuela, Tintori heard gunshots and immediately dropped to the floor of the stage. Luis Manuel Díaz, a leading member of the Venezuelan opposition party, Acción Democrática, was hit by several shots and killed just six feet (two meters) from Tintori. 481 On July 8, 2016, the Venezuelan Minister of Interior, Gustavo González, issued a statement on the Government-run television channel VTV where he accused Tintori's ongoing humanitarian efforts of being a "façade of a failed subversive, violent act." On August 24, 2016, government supporters in Barinas State sequestered Tintori in her hotel room by starting fires outside her room and threatening to burn

<sup>480</sup> Lilian Tintori Reveló Como Fueron las Agresiones Hechas por Colectivos en Cojedes, EL NACIONAL, Nov. 10, 2015, available at http://www.el-nacional.com/politica/Lilian-Tintori-agresiones-colectivos-Cojedes 0 740926097.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>479</sup> Oslo Freedom Forum, supra note 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>481</sup> James Badcock, Venezuela Politician Assassinated as Opposition Denounces Election Violence, The Telegraph, Nov. 26, 2015, available at

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/southamerica/venezuela/12018865/Venezuela-politician-assassinated-as-opposition-denounces-election-violence.html.

her.<sup>482</sup> And, in July 2018, Tintori was harassed by paramilitary groups in front of the Attorney General's Office as she protested the continued detention of Leopoldo López and the rest of the country's political prisoners alongside the relatives of those political prisoners.<sup>483</sup> These recent acts of intimidation against Tintori continue the Government's campaign to threaten her in an attempt to end her advocacy.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>482</sup> Chavistas Secuestran A Lilian Tintori Y Su Equipo en Barinas. Amenazan Con Quemarlos ¡Urgente!, REPORTEROS DE CALLE, Aug. 26, 2016, available at http://reporterosdecalle.net/chavistas-secuestran-lilian-tintori-equipo-barinas-amenazan-quemarlos-urgente/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>483</sup> Voluntad Popular Rechaza la Agresión a Familiares de Presos Políticos por Parte de 'Paramilitares', INFORME21, July 3, 2018, available at https://informe21.com/politica/voluntad-popular-rechaza-la-agresion-a-familiares-de-presos-politicos-por-parte-de.

#### V. Legal Analysis

The detention of López is arbitrary and in violation of international law. 484 Specifically, the detention is arbitrary because López was imprisoned for exercising his rights to freedom of expression, peaceful assembly, and right to take part in public affairs and be elected without unreasonable restrictions. The detention is also arbitrary because in the prosecution of López, the Government is failing to observe international norms related to a fair trial.

Venezuela is a party<sup>485</sup> to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights<sup>486</sup> (ICCPR), and must therefore abide by all provisions contained therein. In addition, the rights provided for in the ICCPR are binding on the Government not only as a matter of international law, but also because the Venezuelan Constitution explicitly states that the international treaties to which it is a party have constitutional status and overrule any conflicting domestic legislation. Article 23 of the Constitution reads:

The treaties, pacts and conventions relating to human rights which have been executed and ratified by Venezuela have a constitutional rank, and prevail over internal legislation, insofar as they contain provisions concerning the enjoyment and exercise of such rights that are more favorable than those established by this Constitution and the laws of the Republic, and shall be immediately and directly applied by the courts and other organs of the Public Power.<sup>487</sup>

A. The Detention Resulted from Leopoldo López's Exercise of the Rights or Freedoms Guaranteed by the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and Universal Declaration of Human Rights

A detention is considered arbitrary when it results from the exercise of fundamental rights protected by international law. These fundamental rights include the rights to freedom of expression, peaceful assembly, and right to take part in public affairs and be elected without unreasonable restrictions. López's detention is a result of his exercising these rights and, therefore, is considered an arbitrary detention.

4 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>484</sup> López v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Opinion No. 26/2014, U.N. WORKING GROUP ON ARBITRARY DETENTION, adopted Aug. 26, 2014, at ¶ 60 and REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP ON ARBITRARY DETENTION, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL, 60<sup>th</sup> Sess., U.N. Doc A/HRC/16/47 (Annex), Jan. 19, 2011, at 21, ¶ 8(b)-(c) [hereinafter Revised Methods of Work].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>485</sup> United Nations Treaty Status: ICCPR, http://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREA TY&mtdsg\_no=IV-4&chapter=4&lang=en#EndDec (noting that Venezuela signed the treaty on June 24, 1969, and ratified it on May 10, 1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>486</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 9(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>487</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>488</sup> A Category II deprivation of liberty occurs, "When the deprivation of liberty results from the exercise of the rights or freedoms guaranteed by arts. 7, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and, insofar as States parties are concerned, by articles 12, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 26 and 27 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights." *See Revised Methods of Work, supra* note 484.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>489</sup> Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810, at arts. 19–21 (1948) [hereinafter *Universal Declaration*]; *See also ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at arts. 19, 21, and 25.

#### The Venezuelan Government Arrested and Imprisoned Leopoldo López 1. Because He Exercised His Right to Freedom of Expression

The Government's detention of Leopoldo López is punishment for exercising his right to freedom of expression protected by the ICCPR and Universal Declaration of Human Rights.<sup>490</sup> Freedom of expression includes the "freedom to hold opinions without interference" and "to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice."<sup>491</sup> Freedom of expression also includes the right to political discourse. 492 As the Human Rights Committee observed:

[T]he freedoms of information and of expression are cornerstones in any free and democratic society. It is in the essence of such societies that its citizens must be allowed to inform themselves about alternatives to the political system/parties in power, and that they may criticize or openly and publicly evaluate their 

In addition to the requirements of international law, Venezuelan law protects the right to freedom of expression. Article 57 of the Venezuelan Constitution guarantees "the right to express freely his or her thoughts, ideas or opinions ... and to use for such purpose any means of communication ... and no censorship shall be established [against this right]."494 As noted above, this Constitutional protection is inextricably linked to Venezuela's international obligations pursuant to Article 23 of its Constitution.<sup>495</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>490</sup> While the Universal Declaration, as a General Assembly resolution, is not technically considered binding in its entirety on all states, scholars continue to debate the binding nature of specific provisions. However, in looking to the Universal Declaration and the Body of Principles Regarding Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention has decided to "rely heavily on 'soft' international legal principles to adjudicate individual cases." Jared M. Genser & Margaret Winterkorn-Meikle, The Intersection of Politics and International Law: The United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention in Theory and Practice, 39 COLUM. HUM. RTS. L. REV. 101, 114 (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>491</sup> ICCPR, supra note 430, at art. 19(1) ("Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideals of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice") and art. 19(2). See also Universal Declaration, supra note 489, at art. 19 ("Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media regardless of frontiers.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>492</sup> General Comment No. 34 on Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/GC/34, Sept. 12, 2011, at ¶ 11 [hereinafter General Comment No. 34].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>493</sup> Aduayom et al. v. Togo, Communication Nos. 422/1990, 423/1990 and 424/1990, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, adopted June 30, 1994, at ¶ 7.4 (emphasis added). See also Morais v. Angola, Communication No. 1128/2002, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, adopted Mar. 29, 2005, at ¶ 6.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>494</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 57 ("Everyone has the right to express freely his or her thoughts, ideas or opinions orally, in writing or by any other form of expression, and to use for such purpose any means of communication and diffusion, and no censorship shall be established. Anyone making use of this right assumes full responsibility for everything expressed. Anonymity, war propaganda, discriminatory messages or those promoting religious intolerance are not permitted. Censorship restricting the ability of public officials to report on matters for which they are responsible is prohibited").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>495</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 23.

The speeches and other statements cited by the Government as reason for López's detention fit squarely within López's right to freedom of expression. Contrary to what the Government asserts, López never advocated for violent overthrow. In fact, he specifically, explicitly, and consistently – in all speeches presented and analyzed in the indictment – called for non-violent<sup>496</sup> and democratic change within the parameters of the Venezuelan Constitution.<sup>497</sup> López's speech evaluated and strongly criticized policies of the Government.<sup>498</sup> But, the imprisonment of López is solely in reaction to his criticism of the Government, which he should be able to deliver without fear of interference or punishment. López's speech is well within the protection of freedom of expression afforded by both international and Venezuelan law and therefore his detention on these grounds is in violation of both domestic and international law.

Further, the narrow limitation on the right to freedoms of opinion and expression contained in Article 19(3) of the ICCPR does not apply in this case. Article 19(3) provides that:

The exercise of the [right to freedom of expression] carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary: (a) [f]or the respect of the rights or reputations of others; [or] (b) [f]or the protection of national security or of public order (ordre public), or of public health and morals.<sup>499</sup>

The scope of this restriction is highly limited. The Human Rights Committee has emphasized the narrowness of this limitation by noting that:

[W]hen a State party imposes a limitation on the exercise of freedom of expression, [it] may not put in jeopardy the right itself.<sup>500</sup> [Moreover, Article19(3)] may never be invoked as a justification for the muzzling of any advocacy of multi-party democracy, democratic tenets and human rights. Nor, under any circumstance, can an attack on a person, because of the exercise of his or her freedom of opinion or expression, including such forms of attack as arbitrary arrest ... be compatible with article 19.<sup>501</sup>

As such, any limitation "must meet a strict test of justification." To guide states, the Human Rights Committee has established three requirements for any limitation on the right to freedom of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>496</sup> See López Attorney General Speech, supra note 105 ("Here there is no vocation for violence"); See López Plaza Venezuela Speech, supra note 104 ("[L]et us go out...assuming [the path of] non-violence. Our territory is the street, our struggle is non-violent"); See López Jan. 23 Speech, supra note 96 ("[A]n uprising/revolt ['alzamiento'] means first and foremost the rising to consciousness, the rising up of our fighting spirit, the rising up to our vocation for change... a peaceful struggle, popular, constitutional, and democratic").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>497</sup> López Jan. 23 Speech, supra note 96 ("[T]he exit must be, first and foremost, popular... Secondly, a democratic exit, and thirdly, an exit within the Constitution. The Constitution offers us various tools and we will debate with the people which of these tools is the most appropriate... the most democratic"). <sup>498</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>499</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 19(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>500</sup> General Comment No. 34, supra note 492, at  $\P$  21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>501</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 23 (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>502</sup> Park v. Republic of Korea, Communication No. 628/1995, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, adopted Oct. 20, 1998, at ¶ 10.3.

expression. A permissible limitation must be (1) "provided by law," (2) for the protection of one of the "enumerated purposes," and (3) "necessary" to achieve that purpose. <sup>503</sup>

Venezuela attempts to satisfy this narrow restriction by claiming López's imprisonment is necessary for the protection of public order. The Government states "public order is violated by placing an entire society in imminent danger when individuals gather together in order to commit crimes of a collective nature that evolve into destabilizing the prevailing social peace." While protection of public order may serve as a legitimate objective, the Government fails to demonstrate how López's speech caused such a threat, and even assuming it did, how his detention is necessary to achieve this purpose. As such, the imprisonment of López amounts to no more than an attempt to muzzle multi-party democracy in Venezuela.

Despite invoking public order, the Venezuelan Government cites no law and therefore fails to establish a *prima facie* argument for López's detention. Even if it had cited to a law, this invocation still falls outside of the exception because it does not satisfy the third part of the test that requires detention to be necessary to achieve the enumerated purpose. It is not enough that a limitation on freedom of expression merely advance the Government's purpose. The Human Rights Committee has observed, "[T]he requirement of necessity implies an element of proportionality, in the sense that the scope of the restriction imposed on the freedom of expression must be proportional to the value which the restriction serves to protect." This requires the government to establish a "direct and immediate connection between the expression and the threat." Here, the Government has offered no such arguments.

Moreover, the Government acknowledges the weakness of its own arguments in three key ways. First, it admits that López merely "may" have been able to incite his supporters to violence using subliminal messaging. Second, the Government fails to account for the fact that virtually all the protesters remained peaceful and that sporadic violence continues despite López remaining deprived of liberty, unable to tweet or give speeches. Third, the Venezuelan government contradicts itself by actually admitting that López preached non-violence, but then claiming he did not do so with enough specificity to be effective or genuine.

The Government admits the weakness of its own argument when it claims only López "may" have been able to incite his followers to violence using subliminal messaging:

[T]he speaker (Leopoldo López), by cultivating rage in his speech arguing against the current national government, <u>may have been able to</u> transfer this sentiment to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>503</sup> Shin v. Republic of Korea, Communication No. 926/2000, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, adopted Mar. 16, 2004, at ¶ 7.3.

<sup>504</sup> *Indictment*, supra note 391, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>505</sup> The UN Human Rights Committee has noted that even if the State party establishes the existence of a legitimate purpose for the limitation, it must also demonstrate that the actions taken were "necessary" for protecting that purpose. *See Shin v. Republic of Korea, supra* note 503.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>506</sup> Gauthier v. Canada, Communication No. 633/1995, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, adopted May 5, 1999, at ¶ 13.6. See also Jong-Kyu Sohn v. Republic of Korea, Communication No. 518/1992, U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE, adopted July 19, 1995, at ¶10.4 (finding that "reference to the general nature of the labor movement" and "alleging that the statements issued by the author in collaboration with others was a disguise for the incitement to a national strike" was insufficiently precise to meet the necessity requirement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>507</sup> General Comment No. 34, supra note 414, at ¶ 35 (emphasis added).

his public (followers) by activating a discursive mechanism that he named #LaSalida, under an argument that denounced the present government (led by President Nicolás Maduro) of having omitted a series of offences, excesses, and omissions that <u>could have</u> inflamed those who follow Leopoldo López to materialize this solution by a <u>possible</u> violent path.<sup>508</sup>

The Government's own expert acknowledges the subliminal messages theory is tenuous, in their own analysis.

For me, what occurred in the afternoon of 12 February 2014 in front of the Office of the Public Prosecutor of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela is proof that the incitement by a political leader to struggle in the streets in order to escape from a constitutional government <u>can generate</u> erratic, desperate acts that are <u>very likely</u> violent.<sup>509</sup>

If one assumes, *arguendo*, the Government's claim that López has the ability to influence others through subliminal messages, including his 4.58 million Twitter followers, then it follows that many of his 4.58 million followers should have engaged in violent action against the Government. This clearly did not occur, however, because media reports indicate that a very small minority of protesters engaged in violence on February 12, and all three deaths actually came at the hands of government forces. If one follows the Government's logic a step further, it should follow that the violence would cease once López was detained, as he cannot tweet, give speeches, or easily communicate with his supporters from his prison cell. However, since his detention, both street protests and sporadic violence has continued.

Along these same lines, despite arguing that López has control of his 4.58 million Twitter followers, the Government simultaneously asserts that López's followers only represent a small segment of the population:

[I]t is important to emphasize that the idea of the 'people' is very vague and not easy to delimit how much of the Venezuelan people follow his ideals. The fact that there are annoyances felt on the part of a sector of the Venezuelan population, and that the speaker catalyzes them, does not mean that the entire Venezuelan people follows what citizen Leopoldo López proposes, much less that they are in agreement with his stance and political decisions.<sup>510</sup>

Perplexingly, the Government argues that López is somehow able to control those who agree with him through subliminal messages, yet is unable to control those who do not believe him. Simultaneously, it argues that the segment López does represent is simply a small minority nevertheless. This tenuous argument contradicts the Government's implicit argument that López's imprisonment is necessary to control public order. If most people don't follow what López wants, then there is by definition no threat to public order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>508</sup> *Indictment*, *supra* note 391, at 172–73 (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>509</sup> *Id.*, at 173–75 (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>510</sup> *Id.*, at 171.

Finally, on one hand, the Government claims that López incited his followers to carry out a specific plan of violence. Yet, on the other hand, the Government asserts that López did not give clear enough instructions regarding the type of nonviolent protests that were to be carried out, and thus he cannot really be characterized as nonviolent:

[W]e could appeal to the figure of Gandhi, a leader who achieved independence for India through the use of non-violent methods such as fasting and vigils... but in that case Gandhi always gave precise instructions to his followers ... In the speeches analyzed, citizen Leopoldo López ... did not establish precise guidelines for the characteristics of the protests that would be carried out.<sup>511</sup>

Because the Government has no evidence of López calling for its violent overthrow, it is left to present a dubious and illogical semantic analysis of his speeches. What emerges in the final analysis is that López was exercising his right to freedoms of opinion and expression by calling for what any person who lives in an oppressive country desires: a democratic political system that is free from corruption and that works for its citizens. Therefore, the Government has failed to establish a *prima facie* case as to how López's detention is necessary to protect public order. The Government's restriction on López's speech through its arbitrary detention is a clear violation of his right to freedoms of opinion and expression.

Similarly, the Venezuelan Government cannot invoke derogation as a defense for its behavior. In accordance with Article 4 of the ICCPR, a State party may derogate from its obligations under Article 19, but only in time of public emergency. However, it may do so only to the extent "strictly required by the exigencies of the situation" and "provided that such measures are not inconsistent with their other obligations under international law." Additionally, any restrictions must be limited to the needs of the situation and cease as soon as the state of emergency no longer exists. Finally, the State party must inform other State parties to the ICCPR immediately of any such derogation.

Here, derogation is not applicable because there is no public emergency, and even if there had been, Venezuela has not informed other State parties of a desire to derogate. Furthermore, and assuming *arguendo*, such derogation would be impermissible because it would be inconsistent with Venezuela's other international legal obligations and would still result in the continued illegal treatment of López in violation of his rights under Article 14.

In short, the speech López engaged in is fully protected by international and Venezuelan law. The Government has unsuccessfully attempted to invoke the public order exception by failing to demonstrate how López's speech directly and immediately posed a threat to public order and why his imprisonment is necessary to maintain public order. The Government also fails to invoke derogation. Therefore, the imprisonment of López is a violation of his right to freedom of opinion and expression as guaranteed by Articles 19 of the ICCPR and UDHR.

<sup>515</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 4(3).

78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>511</sup> *Id.*, at 173–75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>512</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 4(1).

<sup>513</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>514</sup> *General Comment No. 29 on Article 4: Derogations during a State of Emergency*, U.N. Human Rights Committee, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.11, July 24, 2001, at ¶ 5.

### 2. The Venezuelan Government Arrested and Imprisoned Leopoldo López Because He Exercised His Right to Freedoms of Peaceful Assembly and Association

The Venezuelan government's arrest and detention of López is punishment for exercising his right to freedoms of peaceful assembly and association as protected by Articles 21<sup>516</sup> and 22(1)<sup>517</sup> of the ICCPR and Article 20 of the UDHR.<sup>518</sup> The Venezuelan Constitution further guarantees these rights. Article 68 gives citizens "the right to demonstrate, peacefully and without weapons" <sup>519</sup> and Article 67 provides citizens "the right of association for political purposes." <sup>520</sup> López's arrest at a peaceful political protest unquestionably violated these rights. Although both ICCPR Articles 21 and 22 provide exceptions for national security, public safety, and public order, <sup>521</sup> the same tests that apply to restrictions on freedom of expression apply to these rights as well, <sup>522</sup> and for the same reasons, they have neither been invoked nor met.

## 3. The Venezuelan Government Arrested and Imprisoned Leopoldo López Because He Exercised His Right to Take Part in Public Affairs and Be Elected Without Unreasonable Restrictions

The Venezuelan government's arrest and detention of López is also punishment for exercising his right to take part in public affairs and be elected without unreasonable restrictions as protected by Article 25<sup>523</sup> of the ICCPR and Article 21<sup>524</sup> of the UDHR. This right is also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>516</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 21 ("The right of peaceful assembly shall be recognized. No restrictions may be placed on the exercise of this right other than those imposed in conformity with the law and which are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security or public safety, public order (*ordre public*), the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>517</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 22(1) ("Everyone shall have the right to freedom of association with others, including the right to form and join trade unions for the protection of his interests").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>518</sup> Universal Declaration, supra note 489, at art. 20 ("(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association. (2) No one may be compelled to belong to an association").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>519</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at arts. 23, 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>520</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at arts. 23, 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>521</sup> See also ICCPR, supra note 430, at art. 22(2) ("No restrictions may be placed on the exercise of this right other than those which are prescribed by law and which are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security or public safety, public order (*ordre public*), the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others. This article shall not prevent the imposition of lawful restrictions on members of the armed forces and of the police in their exercise of this right").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>522</sup> Sarah Joseph et al., THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS 426-427 (2000) (citing to Siracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation Provisions in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1985) 7 HRQ 1 "which indicates that all limitation clauses in the ICCPR are to be interpreted in the same way with regard to each right.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>523</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 25 ("Every citizen shall have the right and the opportunity, without any of the distinctions mentioned in article 2 and without unreasonable restrictions: (a) To take part in the conduct of public affairs, directly or through freely chosen representatives; (b) To vote and to be elected at genuine periodic elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret ballot, guaranteeing the free expression of the will of the electors; (c) To have access, on general terms of equality, to public service in his country").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>524</sup> Universal Declaration, supra note 489, at art. 21 ("(1) Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives. (2) Everyone has the right of equal access to public service in his country. (3) The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures").

protected in the Venezuelan Constitution. S25 According to the Human Rights Committee, the right allows [c]itizens ... [to] take part in the conduct of public affairs by exerting influence through public debate and dialogue with their representatives or through their capacity to organize themselves. This participation is supported by ensuring the freedoms of expression, assembly and association. Moreover, this right depends on the ability of individuals to run for office. As the Human Rights Committee has noted:

The effective implementation of the right and the opportunity to stand for elective office ensures that persons entitled to vote have a free choice of candidates ... Persons who are otherwise eligible to stand for election should not be excluded by unreasonable or discriminatory requirements such as education, residence or descent, or by reason of political affiliation. No person should suffer discrimination or disadvantage of any kind because of that person's candidacy. 527

In addition, the Working Group jurisprudence supports this right; a violation of Article 25 occurs where individuals are detained solely for exercising their right to freedom of association and the right to take part in the conduct of public affairs.<sup>528</sup>

Here, as discussed above in Section A(4) and below in Appendix I, the Government has repeatedly violated López's political rights so as to remove him as a political opponent. His current arrest and detention are simply the latest in a series of political persecutions that are illegal and in violation of Venezuelan's obligations under international law. His detention on these grounds is therefore illegal.

B. The Trial and Detention of Leopoldo López Failed to Respect International Norms Relating to the Right to a Fair Trial Guaranteed by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

Leopoldo López is being arbitrarily held in violation of his due process rights. The ICCPR and UDHR, and Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons Under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment provide López with the right to a fair trial, which Venezuela is denying him. In the process of denying López the right to a fair trial, Venezuela is also violating domestic law that provides due process protections.

It is considered an arbitrary detention "[w]hen the total or partial non-observance of the international norms relating to the right to a fair trial, established in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the relevant international instruments accepted by the States concerned, is of such gravity as to give the deprivation of liberty an arbitrary character." Because the trial and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>525</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 62 ("All citizens have the right to participate freely in public affairs, either directly or through their elected representatives") (emphasis added) and art. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>526</sup> General Comment No. 25 on Article 25: Participation in Public Affairs and the Rights to Vote, U.N. Human Rights Committee, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.7, July 12, 1996, at ¶ 8 (emphasis added). <sup>527</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>528</sup> See Tran Thi Thuy et al. v. Viet Nam, Opinion No. 46/2011, U.N. WORKING GROUP ON ARBITRARY DETENTION, adopted Feb. 29, 2012, at  $\P$  21, 22, 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>529</sup> See Revised Methods of Work, supra note 484.

ongoing detention of López has failed to observe the minimum international norms relating to a fair trial, as contained in the ICCPR, UDHR, and Body of Principles, his detention is arbitrary.

# 1. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Provide Leopoldo López an Independent and Impartial Judiciary

ICCPR Article 14(1) affords individuals "a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law."<sup>530</sup> This right is further guaranteed by Venezuela's constitution.<sup>531</sup> Despite these *de jure* protections, the Human Rights Committee recently stated its clear views about the lack of independence in Venezuela's judiciary, in facts very similar to López's situation. In a prominent case<sup>532</sup> regarding Venezuelan petitioner Eligio Cedeño, it found:

[T]he judicial authorities who heard the case were not independent because the State party has imposed a system of provisional judges who are not secure in their positions and who can be removed at will without any predefined procedure; and that those who do not follow instructions from the executive branch are subject to reprisals<sup>533</sup> . . . The Committee recalls that States should take specific measures to guarantee the independence of the judiciary, protect judges from any form of political influence, and establish clear procedures and objective criteria for the appointment, remuneration, tenure, promotion, suspension and dismissal of the members of the judiciary and for disciplinary sanctions against them. A situation where the functions and competencies of the judiciary and the executive branches are not clearly distinguishable or where the latter is able to control or direct the former is incompatible with the notion of an independent tribunal. The Committee finds that the arrest of the judge presiding over [the case] suggests a possible link with the wishes of the executive branch, in view of the public statements made by the President of the Republic in relation to the arrest ... In view of this, together with the provisional nature of the judicial authorities involved in the proceedings against the author, the Committee concludes that in the case at hand the State party violated the independence of the judicial bodies involved and article 14, paragraph 1. of the Covenant. 534

81

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>530</sup> ICCPR, supra note 430, at art. 14(1) ("All persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law...."). This same right is established by the Universal Declaration Article 10: "Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>531</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23. *See also* DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN VENEZUELA, INTER-AM. COMM'N ON HUMAN RIGHTS, Dec. 30, 2009, *available at* 

http://www.cidh.org/countryrep/Venezuela2009eng/VE09.TOC.eng.htm [hereinafter OAS 2009 VENEZUELA REPORT] (noting that, "The State of Venezuela has said that the Constitution of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela provides the mechanisms necessary to ensure the independence of the branches of government. Specifically, Title IV, 'Public Power,' establishes the independence of the country's branches of government and, in the rationale section, sets forth the principle of restrictive competence, whereby those agencies that wield public power may only perform those functions expressly assigned to them by the Constitution and by law").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>532</sup> Cedeño v. Venezuela, supra note 423.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>533</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 7.2 (emphasis added).

 $<sup>^{534}</sup>$  Id., at ¶ 7.3 (emphasis added).

Furthermore, during Venezuela's most recent Universal Periodic Review<sup>535</sup> before the Human Rights Council, numerous member states urged Venezuela to reform its judiciary to make it independent and free of political influence.<sup>536</sup> Despite the reasonable nature of the proposals, Venezuela rejected the vast majority of the suggested improvements.<sup>537</sup>

The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights<sup>538</sup> has repeatedly found violations in Venezuela, <sup>539</sup> including the way judges and prosecutors are appointed, <sup>540</sup> their lack of independence and impartiality, <sup>541</sup> political interference in the removal of judges, <sup>542</sup> and the delegation of legislative powers to the executive branch. <sup>543</sup> Of particular concern was information IACHR became aware of in April 2012, where the former president of the Criminal Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court, Eladio Aponte Aponte, "referred to the workings of the judicial branch in Venezuela and said that while he had served in the judiciary he would receive instructions from senior government officials on decisions in cases under his cognizance." <sup>544</sup>

\_

 $<sup>^{535}</sup>$  Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review: Venezuela, U.N. Human Rights Council, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/60/1, Dec. 7, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>536</sup> *Id.*, at ¶¶ 57, 88, 89, 90.

<sup>537</sup> For a list of the rejected improvements, see Id., at ¶¶ 96.1 (Comply with its international obligations in respect to the judiciary and the right to freedom of expression and implement recommendations, resolutions and decisions of the international and regional human rights protection systems), ¶96.13 (Respect the independence of the judiciary), ¶96.14 (Work to ensure the independence of the judiciary), ¶96.15 (Guarantee the independence of judiciary and take all the necessary measures to combat impunity), ¶96.16 (Ensure independent, open and transparent selection procedures based on merit for judges and prosecutors), ¶96.17 (Take necessary measures to ensure transparency in the independent appointment of judicial and prosecutorial officers), ¶96.18 (Reinforce the independence of the judiciary by increasing institutional and material support for the justice system and putting an end to the provisional nature of judicial appointments), ¶96.19 (Fully guarantee the independence of the judiciary, in particular by taking concrete measures to ensure that judges can exercise their profession in full impartiality), ¶96.20 (Reinforce the independence of the judiciary, including bringing to an end the provisional nature of judicial appointments and repealing the provisions of the Supreme Court law that undermine the court's independence), ¶96.21 (Abolish the practice of using the judicial system to silence critics of the Government, reinforce the independence of the judiciary by increasing institutional and material support for the justice system and end the provisional nature of judicial appointments), and ¶96.22 (Investigate allegations of executive branch interference in judicial decision-making).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>538</sup> OAS 2009 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 531; ANNUAL REPORT OF THE INTER-AM. COMM'N ON HUMAN RIGHTS 2011, CHAPTER IV: VENEZUELA, ORG. OF AM. STATES, 2011 [hereinafter OAS 2011 VENEZUELA REPORT]; ANNUAL REPORT OF THE INTER-AM. COMM'N ON HUMAN RIGHTS 2012, CHAPTER IV: VENEZUELA, ORG. OF AM. STATES, 2012 [hereinafter OAS 2012 VENEZUELA REPORT]; and ANNUAL REPORT OF THE INTER-AM. COMM'N ON HUMAN RIGHTS 2013, CHAPTER IV: VENEZUELA, ORG. OF AM. STATES, 2013 [hereinafter OAS 2013 VENEZUELA REPORT].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>539</sup> OAS 2012 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at § II(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>540</sup> OAS 2009 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 531, at 48 and OAS 2013 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at  $\P$  645–48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>541</sup> OAS 2009 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 531, at 59; OAS 2011 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at § II(D); and OAS 2013 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at ¶ 642.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>542</sup> OAS 2009 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 531, at 71; OAS 2011 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at  $\P$  II(D)(2); OAS 2012 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at  $\P$  494–96; and OAS 2013 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at  $\P$  633–41, 657–60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>543</sup> OAS 2009 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 531, at 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>544</sup> OAS 2012 VENEZUELA REPORT, *supra* note 538, at ¶ 449.

Numerous international human rights groups have conveyed serious concern about Venezuela's judicial system. In their annual country reports, Amnesty International<sup>545</sup> and Freedom House<sup>546</sup> both called into question the independence of the judiciary. In its 2014 report on Venezuela, Human Rights Watch echoed this sentiment, noting that:

[Because] ... President Chávez and his supporters in the National Assembly conducted a political takeover of the Supreme Court in 2004, the judiciary has largely ceased to function as an independent branch of government. Members of the Supreme Court have openly rejected the principle of separation of powers, publicly pledged their commitment to advancing the government's political agenda, and repeatedly ruled in favor of the government, validating the government's disregard for human rights.<sup>547</sup>

This high-level concern with the lack of an independent and impartial judiciary in Venezuela was quickly exemplified in the proceedings against López. The judge originally appointed to López's case, Judge Ralenys Tovar Guillén, was not a permanently appointed judge. In fact, Judge Tovar has since fled Venezuela to claim refugee status in Canada, stating that she was forced to sign López's arrest warrant by members of the Maduro regime under the threat of imprisonment. This clearly demonstrates the symbiotic relationship between the judiciary branch and the executive – where President Maduro has made clear his desire to imprison López a year well in advance of the protests. The second and third judges appointed to López's criminal case, Judge Adriana López and Judge Susana Barreiros, were also temporarily appointed judges. In addition, the ICCPR assumes that a judge may only act with legal authority of the State. Judge Tovar held a hearing and issued a ruling on López's indictment in a location outside of her authority – in a mobile courtroom sitting outside her geographical jurisdiction – in violation of Venezuelan law. Finally, there is also an inherent conflict of interest because the prosecutors are charged with carrying out the investigation and prosecution while simultaneously claiming to be the victim. Because López was imprisoned and tried by Venezuela's judicial system, which is neither independent nor impartial, López did not receive a fair judicial process and his detention is therefore arbitrary.

# 2. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Afford Leopoldo López the Presumption of Innocence

\_\_\_

<sup>545 2012</sup> Annual Report: Venezuela, Amnesty Int'l, 2012, available at

https://www.amnesty.org/en/region/venezuela/report-2012#section-30-6 (noting concern about Judge María Lourdes Afiuni, who has suffered various forms of arbitrary detention since 2009 for ruling against the government).

 $<sup>^{546}</sup>$  Freedom in the World 2014: Venezuela, Freedom House, 2014, available at

http://www.freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world/2014/venezuela-0#.U1fguuZdXbY (noting that "Politicization of the judicial branch increased dramatically under Chávez, and high courts generally do not rule against the government." As such, "Venezuela received a downward trend arrow due to an increase in the selective enforcement of laws and regulations against the opposition in order to minimize its role as a check on government power").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>547</sup> WORLD REPORT 2014, *supra* note 79. *See also Punished for Protesting*, *supra* note 116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>548</sup> Why an Ex-Venezuelan Judge is Seeking Refuge in Canada, supra note 376.

ICCPR affords individuals "the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law." <sup>549</sup> The Venezuelan Constitution also enshrines this right. <sup>550</sup>

In the present case, multiple public authorities used inflammatory language in public, labeling López as being responsible for the violence that occurred on February 12, 2014, in addition to the greater unrest Venezuela is currently facing. This language made clear that the outcome of López's case had been pre-determined, even before a preliminary investigation had been completed. Venezuela's then Foreign Minister, Elías Jaua, labeled López as the "intellectual author" of the killings that took place on February 12, 2014. Minister Jaua also stated in a television interview that López "directed a well trained group of followers toward the national prosecutor's office, and once he had left the demonstrators began a coordinated and massive attack ...We can no longer tolerate that this group ... bath[es] the Venezuelan people with blood." On the very night of the February 12 protests, President Maduro stated that López and other opposition leaders were fugitives who "should go behind bars." Upon resumption of the trial on July 23, President Maduro again made it clear that he believes López to be guilty and a criminal, saying he has a "crazy messianic vision" and is "responsible of crimes, violence, and destruction of human lives that he planned . . ."554 On November 17, 2014, Maduro referred to López as "the murderer of *Ramo Verde*" even though López has never been charged with murder.

Given these above statements, it is abundantly clear that López has been considered guilty before his indictment was even presented. When these statements are coupled with the lack of an independent judiciary, it is clear that López's right to be presumed innocent by the judicial system was violated.

## 3. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Provide Leopoldo López with the Right to Present His Defense

The Venezuelan Constitution enshrines the right of a defendant to present a legal defense. Similarly, under the ICCPR, a defendant must be allowed "to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing." Because López was not been allowed to present evidence in support of his case, he was not able to properly present a defense, particularly when the prosecution was allowed to present all its evidence. An acceptance of almost 100% of the prosecution evidence when almost all of the defense evidence has been rejected could hardly afford the defense a fair opportunity to respond to the claims made by the prosecution.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>549</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430. This same right is established by the Universal Declaration: "Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence." *Universal Declaration*, *supra* note 489, at art. 11(1).
<sup>550</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 49(2) ("Any person shall be presumed innocent until proven

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>550</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 49(2) ("Any person shall be presumed innocent until proven otherwise") and art. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>551</sup> Venezuela: Violence Against Protesters, Journalists, supra note 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>552</sup> Prominent Opposition Leader in Venezuela is Blamed for Unrest, supra note 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>553</sup> This Politician is a Wanted Man in Venezuela After Leading Anti-Government Protests This Week, supra note 356.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>554</sup> López Defense Team, supra note 363.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>555</sup> *Maduro Ataca a Leopoldo López, supra* note 364.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>556</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 49(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>557</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 14(3)(d).

In addition, the ICCPR specifically guarantees a defendant the right "to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him." While a defendant does not have an unrestricted or unlimited right to call witnesses of his choosing, a part of ensuring equality of rights includes guaranteeing "the accused the same legal powers of compelling the attendance of witnesses and of examining or cross-examining any witnesses as are available to the prosecution." Again, an acceptance of only two out of 60 proposed defense witnesses when 82 witnesses and 16 additional expert witnesses have been allowed for the prosecution demonstrates dramatic unequal access to the right to call witnesses between the prosecution and the defense.

The decision to exclude virtually all defense evidence while admitting all the evidence offered by the prosecutor also shows a clear deprivation of López's ability to offer a fair defense, without even granting a pretense of respecting his due process rights or providing a fair trial. This represents a blatant disregard for López's due process rights and the integrity of the judicial process.

## 4. The Venezuelan Government Failed to Provide the Right to Attorney-Client Confidentiality

The ICCPR states unequivocally that the accused has the right "to defend himself...through legal assistance of his own choosing." The Human Right Committee has interpreted this to guarantee that "counsel should be able to meet their clients in private and to communicate with the accused in conditions that fully respect the confidentiality of their communications" and that "lawyers should be able to advise and to represent persons charged with a criminal offence in accordance with generally recognised professional ethics without restrictions, influence, pressure or undue interference from any quarter." The Human Rights Committee has also discussed the importance of attorney-client confidentiality, 562 with both bodies finding that, in maintaining the right to a fair trial, the ability of lawyers and their clients to communicate in private is of paramount importance. The Venezuelan Constitution also provides this right to its citizens. 563

In this case, the Government has violated López's right to communicate confidentially with his attorneys. López's attorneys were physically searched and all of their documents were read

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>558</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 14(3)(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>559</sup> General Comment No. 32 on Article 14: Right to Equality Before Courts and Tribunals and to a Fair Trial, U.N. Human Rights Committee, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/GC/32, Aug. 23, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>560</sup> *ICCPR*, *supra* note 430, at art. 14(3)(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>561</sup> General Comment No. 32, supra note 559, at V ("Counsel should be able to meet their clients in private and to communicate with the accused in conditions that fully respect the confidentiality of their communications"). <sup>562</sup> Commc'n Addressed to the Government on March 16, 2009, Opinion No. 27/2009, U.N. WORKING GROUP ON ARBITRARY DETENTION, Nov. 24, 2009, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/16/47/Add.1 (noting that "in order to determine whether a detention is arbitrary or not, a number of critical procedural safeguards need to be confirmed by the Government. For instance, the Working Group has not received an unequivocal confirmation that the three detainees were arrested pursuant to a warrant; that they had access to a lawyer; that they were able to have private meetings with their lawyer...").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>563</sup> VENEZUELAN CONSTITUTION, *supra* note 23, at art. 48 ("The secrecy and inviolability of private communications in all forms are guaranteed. The same may not be interfered with except by order of a competent court, with observance of applicable provisions of law and preserving the secrecy of the private issues unrelated to the pertinent proceedings") and Art. 23.

upon entering the prison. Furthermore, López was not afforded private meetings with his attorneys. Attorney-client meetings only take place in López's cell, where a guard was always present within earshot of their conversations. Thus, López was unable to have confidential conversations with his legal counsel in violation of ICCPR.

## C. The Extended Solitary Confinement of Leopoldo López Violates the Prohibition Against Torture

Under both the ICCPR and the UDHR, "no one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment." The Human Rights Committee has said that "Prolonged solitary confinement...may amount to acts prohibited by article 7." While there is no universal definition of solitary confinement, the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture defines it as "the physical and social isolation of individuals who are confined to their cells for 22 to 24 hours a day." Prolonged solitary confinement is generally that which is over 15 days, as beyond this point solitary confinement can have a permanent psychological impact. López spent his first ninety days in prison in complete isolation twenty-four hours a day. Although he was allowed some visits from family members or lawyers, these also took place in his cell. After this he was allowed one hour of solitary exercise per day, but remained in his cell in isolation for twenty-three hours per day. These conditions were ongoing since February 2014, more than constituting a period of "prolonged solitary confinement."

Additional features of López's solitary confinement cause the mistreatment to amount to torture. The UN Special Rapporteur on Torture has said: "[c]onsidering the severe mental pain or suffering solitary confinement may cause, it can amount to torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment when used as a punishment, during pretrial detention, [or] indefinitely." All three of these features are present in López's case. Periods of absolute isolation have been imposed six different times as punishment for writing letters, the presence of journalists at the prison, a phone call, protesting the poor conditions of the prison, the outspokenness of his wife, and the holding of a sign outside his window thanking the UN for urging the release of Venezuela's political prisoners. In addition, López has been deprived of his allowed hour of exercise on various occasions as a form of "punishment." This solitary confinement has also been imposed during pretrial detention and continuously, with no given end date.

Venezuela is also a party to the Convention Against Torture (CAT).<sup>568</sup> The Committee against Torture has recommended that the use of solitary confinement be abolished except in exceptional circumstances, and even then should be subject to judicial and legal regulation and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>564</sup> ICCPR, supra note 430, at art. 7 and Universal Declaration, supra note 489, at art. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>565</sup> Interim Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, U.N. Human Rights Council, U.N. Doc. A/66/268, Aug. 5, 2011, at  $\P$  25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>566</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>567</sup> UN Special Rapporteur on Torture Calls for Prohibition of Solitary Confinement, U.N. Office of the High Comm'r on Human Rights, Oct. 18, 2011, available at

http://www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=11506&.

<sup>568</sup> United Nations Treaty Status: CAT, https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?mtdsg\_no=IV-

oversight.<sup>569</sup> These exceptional circumstances include "when the safety of persons or property is involved." The Government has not shown how keeping López under indefinite, constant solitary conditions is necessary to protect the safety of persons or property. Furthermore, the Committee has expressed particular concern regarding the use of solitary confinement during pre-trial detention or for disciplinary reasons. As noted previously, López's solitary confinement has been imposed in a punitive manner, and has been ongoing during pre-trial detention. This represents an unjustified and improper use of solitary confinement, and constitutes torture under the ICCPR, UDHR, and CAT. Additionally, the degrading, unjustifiable, and grotesque incident where prison guards swung excrement-filled plastic bags from the roof into López's cell was treatment that constitutes cruel, inhumane, and degrading treatment.<sup>570</sup>

In response to these inhumane conditions, López's legal team has submitted complaints to the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture and to the Committee. On November 6, 2014, the Government of Venezuela appeared before the Committee for the first time in twelve years. While the Government vigorously denied any of the accusations concerning torture or inhumane conditions of prisoners, the committee expressed concern that "only 12 public officials have been convicted for human rights violations over the last decade, even though there have been more than 5,000 complaints." Furthermore, members of the Committee urged Venezuela to invite the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture to the country, and questioned the fact that none of the requests to conduct a visit submitted by eight UN Special Rapporteurs have been accepted by Venezuela. 571

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>569</sup> Committee against Torture, *Report to the General Assembly: Concluding Observations, Denmark*, A/52/44(SUPP) (1997) at ¶¶ 181–86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>570</sup> Aíslan y Castigan a Presos Políticos en Ramo Verde, supra note 447.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>571</sup> UN Questions Venezuela Over Alleged Cases of Torture Against Dissenters, EL UNIVERSAL, Nov. 6, 2014, available at http://english.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/141106/un-questions-venezuela-over-alleged-cases-of-torture-against-dissenter.

#### VI. Opinion of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention

The UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention (Working Group) was established by Resolution 1991/42 of the former Commission on Human Rights. Its mandate was clarified and extended in successive resolutions by the Commission and later by the Commission's successor, the Human Rights Council. Mostly recently the Council extended the mandate in Resolution 24/7 of September 26, 2013. The Working Group consists of five independent experts, appointed by the UN Human Rights Council, that serve in their individual capacities. When López's case was submitted to the Working Group, its membership included representatives of Benin, Mexico, Norway, South Korea, and Ukraine.

In addition to conducting country visits and producing annual reports, the Working Group is the only one of the UN Special Procedures that adjudicates individual cases. After receiving a complaint from a petitioner (referred to as the "source"), the government against whom the complaint is made is given 60 days to respond. If a response is received, the petitioner is given the opportunity to reply. The case is then considered at the next tri-annual session of the Working Group, at which point an opinion may be adopted.

After the Government of Venezuela detained López, local counsel submitted a complaint to the Working Group. The complaint recounted much of the information contained in this White Paper. The Government of Venezuela responded to the complaint alleging as it did in its indictment that López "personally incited hate and violence, creating a situation of tension and aggressiveness that unleashed a wave of violence nationwide." In response, López's counsel reaffirmed that the Government did not contradict most of the evidence that had been put forward and answered other charges point by point.<sup>572</sup>

In its deliberations, the Working Group began by noting that the Government of Venezuela did not contradict López's claims that he had been subject to 20 legal processes involving sanctions, including being forbidden from running for public office, which had later been found illegal by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Furthermore, the Working Group noted that the Government did not explain what damages were caused by López, what expressions motivated these grave events or induced their commission, or what specific illegal associations López was in involved with. <sup>573</sup>

Furthermore, the Working Group concluded that López's participation in the march of February 12, 2014, did not justify the deprivation of liberty of a speaker or participant: "There are no elements that allow the concluding of a cause and effect relationship between the call for a political demonstration, speaking during the same demonstration, and the resulting deaths, wounds, and material damage." It also noted that López's detention in a military prison "seems based on a motive of discrimination on the basis of his political opinions." 574

In conclusion:

88

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>572</sup> *López v. Venezuela, supra* note 484 at ¶¶ 36, 37–45.

 $<sup>^{573}</sup>$  *Id.*, at ¶¶ 51–52.

 $<sup>^{574}</sup>$  *Id.*, at ¶¶ 54–55.

58. The Working Group considers that the deprivation of Mr. Leopoldo López's liberty, with the objective of restricting his political rights and by his being detained in a military prison, as well as his exercising his rights to freedom of thought and opinion, expression, association and politics, enshrined in Articles 18, 19, 20, and 21 of the International Covenant on Civil Rights and Political and in Articles 9,10, 18 to 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it is arbitrary under Category II of the Group's methods of work.

59. The arrest of Mr. López on February 18 2014, having been executed without order from a judicial authority; having been extended for a period of more than six months; having exposed Mr. López to isolation; not having granted Mr. López provisional freedom subject to bail if necessary; and having imposed obstacles to the defense lawyers, including the censorship of their communications with the detainee, affects the right to a fair and impartial trial, the presumption of innocence, and due process. The foregoing constitutes a serious violation of the rules concerning the right to a fair trial contained in Articles 9 and 14 of the referred International Covenant.<sup>575</sup>

As a result, the Working Group recommended that the Government of Venezuela "immediately free" López, grant him "comprehensive reparation, including compensation of his moral and compensatory character," and "measures of satisfaction," which could be a "public statement of apology in his favor." <sup>576</sup>

It is interesting to note that when the Government of Venezuela withdrew from the Inter-American Convention of Human Rights on September 6, 2012, it claimed that it was doing so because of perceived bias of the Inter-American Commission and Court of Human Rights. It also noted, however, that it "remains committed to increasing its cooperation with the Human Rights Council." Not surprisingly, however, then Venezuelan Foreign Minister Rafael Ramírez rejected the Working Group opinion out of hand stating: "All the judgments that are in development on the basis of acts of violence that occurred at the beginning of this year, have their course within the framework of our laws . . . Just as we demand respect for our sovereign decisions and oil policy, we demand respect across the line of action." <sup>578</sup>

Judge Barreiros officially rejected the decision of the Working Group on the basis that "Venezuela is a sovereign country that does not accept interferences on internal matters." This decision followed similarly dismissive statements made by Attorney General Luisa Ortega Díaz who said "How can one say that there is an arbitrary detention in this case if he [López] handed himself over to the authorities? No one stopped him, he surrendered six days after his capture was ordered." 580

89

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>575</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>576</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>577</sup> Letter from Minister of Foreign Affairs of Venezuela to Secretary General of the Organization of American States, Sept. 6, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>578</sup> Venezuela Rechaza Decisión de ONU a Favor de López, LA ESTRELLA, Oct. 10, 2014, available at http://laestrella.com.pa/internacional/america/venezuela-rechaza-decision-favor-lopez/23812045.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>579</sup> Judge Rejects UN Decision, supra note 414.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>580</sup> *Id*.

#### VII. International Support

### **International Organizations:**

"The prolonged and arbitrary detention of political opponents and protestors in Venezuela is causing more and more concern internationally . . . I call on the Venezuelan authorities to act on the opinion[] of the Working Group and immediately release Mr. López . . . as well as all those detained for exercising their legitimate right to express themselves and protest peacefully." – Prince Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein, United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights<sup>581</sup>

"It recommends to the Government of . . . Venezuela that it immediately free . . . Leopoldo López and grant comprehensive reparation, including the compensation of his moral and compensatory character, as well as measures of satisfaction, which could be a public statement of apology in his favor." – United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention<sup>582</sup>

"Leopoldo López's 1,000 days in prison have hurt an entire continent. They mean that the Hemisphere is not yet free of arbitrariness and political persecution, that the struggles of our heroes and liberators are not complete...It's time to free Leopoldo." – Luis Almagro, Secretary General of the Organization of American States<sup>583</sup>

"The opposition cannot have a seat at the table, as long as so many of their leaders are imprisoned, and even if they wouldn't want to have a dialogue, they are the opposition and should have the opportunity... Venezuela is clearly a divided country, a country divided in half. If these halves do not come together, appalling results will follow for years to come. We have learned this in a terrible way, and paid a high price." – Jose Miguel Insulza, former Secretary General of the Organization of American States<sup>584</sup>

"[W]e also share the concerns presented by the High Commissioner about the grave and repeated reports of repression of the voices of the opposition and members of the Venezuelan civil society, those of arbitrary arrests, enforced disappearances and use of excessive force against peaceful protesters and journalists; as we request the early release of persons arrested for political reasons." – Ambassador Oscar Cabello Sarubbi, Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs of Paraguay, on behalf of Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Paraguay, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom, and United States of America<sup>585</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>581</sup> UN Human Rights Chief Urges Venezuela to Release Arbitrarily Detained Protests and Politicians, U.N. Office of the High Comm'r on Human Rights, Oct. 20, 2014, available at

http://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=15187&LangID=E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>582</sup> *López v. Venezuela, supra* note 484.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>583</sup> Luis Almagro, Los 1.000 días de preso político de Leopoldo en Venezuela, EL PAÍS, Nov. 14, 2016, available at http://elpais.com/elpais/2016/11/14/opinion/1479139557\_886766.html?id\_externo\_rsoc=TW\_CC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>584</sup> Insulza Dice Que La Oposición No Puede Dialogar Si Tiene Dirigentes Presos, EL UNIVERSAL, Sept. 26, 2014, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/140926/insulza-dice-que-la-oposicion-no-puede-dialogar-si-tiene-dirigentes-pr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>585</sup> Joint Statement on Venezuela at the Human Rights Council, 33<sup>rd</sup> Session of the U.N. Human Rights Council, Item 10, Sept. 29, 2016, available at https://geneva.usmission.gov/2016/09/29/joint-statement-on-venezuela-at-the-human-rights-council/.

"The State party should adopt without delay effective measures to restrict the number of individuals detained at the scene of the crime, and that, according to the views of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, release immediately Leopoldo López . . . and all those who have been arbitrarily detained for exercising their right to speak out and protest peacefully. Likewise, the State must guarantee the enjoyment, from the beginning of the deprivation of liberty, of all the fundamental legal guarantees." – United Nations Committee Against Torture<sup>586</sup>

"Reinstituting full space for the freedoms of expression, association and assembly, and ensuring accountability in all reports on violence is key to dissipating political tensions in Venezuela and to ensuring democratic governance.... Democracy requires a year-round commitment to creating an environment where people can express political opinions, organize for political purposes and peacefully assemble – all without fear of retribution.... Political debate cannot be open when politicians are arbitrarily detained." - Maina Kiai, Special Rapporteurs on Freedom of Expression, David Kaye, and on the Rights to Freedom of Peaceful Assembly and of Association<sup>587</sup>

"I am seriously worried about the continuing climate of confrontation in the country and the continuing arbitrary detentions and arrests of leaders of the opposition and of students... We continue to monitor certain cases, including that of Leopoldo López and the four students detained at the same time." – Federica Mogherini, High Representative of the European Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy<sup>588</sup>

"[We] call on the Venezuelan authorities to immediately release Antonio Ledezma, Leopoldo López, Daniel Ceballos and all peaceful protesters, students, and opposition leaders arbitrarily detained for exercising their right to freedom of expression and their fundamental rights." – European Parliament<sup>589</sup>

"Democracy [is] incompatible with jailing opponents. [The] European Parliament called repeatedly for the release of all political prisoners in Venezuela, [including] Leopoldo López." – President of the European Parliament, Antonio Tajani<sup>590</sup>

"Pleased to meet Lilian Tintori to urge release of husband Leopoldo López and all political prisoners in Venezuela." – Martin Schulz, former President of the European Parliament<sup>591</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>586</sup> UN COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE FINAL REPORT ON VENEZUELA, *supra* note 415.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>587</sup> David Kaye & Maina Kiai, Fundamental Freedoms Key to Ensuring Peaceful and Fair Elections in Venezuela – UN Human Rights Experts, U.N. Office of the High Comm'r on Human Rights, Dec. 4, 2015, available at http://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=16839&LangID=E#sthash.ay5RpTvz.dpuf. <sup>588</sup> UE "Seriamente Preocupada" por Detenciones Arbitrarias en Venezuela, EL UNIVERSAL, Dec. 10, 2014, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/141219/ue-seriamente-preocupada-por-detenciones-arbitrarias-envenezuela.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>589</sup> Resolution on the Situation in Venezuela, European Parliament, P8\_TA(2015)0080, adopted Mar. 12, 2015, at ¶ 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>590</sup> Tweet by President of the European Parliament Antonio Tajani (@EP\_President) TWITTER, Feb. 18, 2017, 3:12 am, available at https://twitter.com/EP\_President/status/832910589378826240.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>591</sup> Tweet by President of the European Parliament Martin Schulz (@EP\_PresSchulz), TWITTER, Dec. 16, 2014, 12:20am, *available at* https://twitter.com/EP\_President/status/544769096261591040.

"The international community should demand López's immediate release . . . The arrest is an egregious violation of one of the basic principles of due process, that you can't jail someone without evidence." – Human Rights Watch<sup>592</sup>

"Leopoldo López, leader of the Venezuelan opposition party *Voluntad Popular*, should be immediately released in compliance with an August 2014 call by the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention." – Amnesty International<sup>593</sup>

"Terms like 'kangaroo court' or 'sham trial' do not begin to describe what happened to [Leopoldo] López. A teenager with rudimentary knowledge of a fair legal system would have found the charges brought against him absurd. López wouldn't be in jail if Venezuela were a democratic nation. López now joins the group of prominent prodemocracy activists under dictatorial regimes worldwide who have been convicted to serve dozens of years in prison for advocating basic human rights." – Javier El-Hage, Chief Legal Officer, Human Rights Foundation<sup>594</sup>

"Opposition leader Leopoldo López and others are still imprisoned and Caracas Mayor Antonio Ledezma was arrested in February 2015. Their detention has become a rallying point for the opposition." – Council on Foreign Relations<sup>595</sup>

"What is needed includes . . . the immediate freeing of Antonio Ledezma, Leopoldo López and all those currently imprisoned for political activities." – International Crisis Group<sup>596</sup>

"The Interamerican Institute for Democracy...declares its full support to the National Assembly, the only democratic branch of National Power in Venezuela, in their struggle to restore legality, respect for the Constitution and the rule of law in their country. We declare that, it is essential to avoid violence and to engage in a serious dialogue between the government and the opposition, provided that: First, all political prisoners wrongfully held in captivity, be released." – Carlos Alberto Montaner, President, The Interamerican Institute for Democracy<sup>597</sup>

<sup>593</sup> Venezuela: Opposition Leader Leopoldo López Should Be Released, AMNESTY INT'L, Nov. 4, 2014, available at http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/AMR53/023/2014/en.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>592</sup> Merry Mogollon & Chris Kraul, *Venezuela Opposition Leader Leopoldo Lopez Surrenders, Urges Protests*, Los ANGELES TIMES, Feb. 18, 2014, *available at* http://www.latimes.com/world/la-fg-venezuela-opposition-20140219-story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>594</sup> Venezuela: HRF Condemns 13-Year Prison Sentence Against Leopoldo López, HUMAN RIGHTS FOUND., Sept. 10, 2015, available at https://humanrightsfoundation.org/news/venezuela-hrf-condemns-13-year-prison-sentence-against-leopoldo-lopez-00462.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>595</sup> Patrick Duddy, *Political Crisis in Venezuela*, CENTER FOR PREVENTATIVE ACTION AT THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS, Mar. 30, 2015, *available at* https://www.cfr.org/report/political-crisis-venezuela.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>596</sup> Time for UNASUR to Defuse the Crisis in Venezuela, INT'L CRISIS GROUP, Mar. 11, 2015, available at http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/publication-type/media-releases/2015/latin-america/statement-time-for-unasur-to-defuse-the-crisis-in-venezuela.aspx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>597</sup> Institutional Statement by the Interamerican Institute for Democracy on the Crisis in Venezuela, INTER-AM. INST. FOR DEMOCRACY, Oct. 26, 2016, available at http://www.intdemocratic.org/en/2016/10/27/1538/.

"I strongly condemn the unjust sentence against Leopoldo López. Solidarity to Lilian Tintori and all those fighting for justice in Venezuela." – George A. Papandreou, President, Socialist International & Former Prime Minister of Greece<sup>598</sup>

"We urge the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela to faithfully comply with these resolutions and, therefore, release Leopoldo López and Daniel Ceballos ... The world has already opened its eyes wide and is very clear about what is happening in Venezuela, that there is no guarantee of human rights, no guarantee of political or civil rights, no freedom of expression, and that for those who think and express themselves differently, there is persecution and systematic repression." – The Latin American Federation of Mayors (FLACMA)<sup>599</sup>

"The political prisoner Leopoldo López has been incommunicado for a month at the hands of Maduro's dictatorship. My support is with his family and the people of Venezuela." - Cristina Cifuentes, President, Community of Madrid<sup>600</sup>

#### Public Personalities:

"Speaking with Lilian Tintori about the democratic crisis in Venezuela and Canada's call on the government to release its political prisoners." – Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau<sup>601</sup>

"From Russia to China to Venezuela, you are seeing relentless crackdowns, vilifying legitimate dissent as subversive . . . We stand in solidarity with those who are detained at this very moment. In Venezuela, Leopoldo López . . . And so many others. They deserve to be free. They ought to be released." – Former U.S. President Barack Obama<sup>602</sup>

"Venezuela should allow Leopoldo Lopez, a political prisoner and husband of Lilian Tintori out of prison immediately." – U.S. President Donald Trump<sup>603</sup>

"[It is] fundamental to put an end to political imprisonment, to guarantee individual liberties... I hope that Leopoldo López can be with his family, in a climate of harmony and freedom in Venezuela. – Brazilian President Michel Temer<sup>604</sup>

93

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>598</sup> Tweet by Former Prime Minister of Greece and President of Socialist International George A. Papandreou (@GPapandreou), Twitter, Sept. 11, 2015, 9:38 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/GPapandreou/status/642376586235379713.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>599</sup> Federación Latinoamericana de Ciudades, Municipios y Asociaciones Pide a Gobierno Venezolano Liberar a Leopoldo López, Ceballos, Scarano, Lucchesse y Estudiantes, VOLUNTAD POPULAR, Nov. 10, 2014, available at http://www.voluntadpopular.com/index.php/ver-noticia/noticias/8-noticias/2077-federacion-latinoamericana-deciudades-municipios-y-asociaciones-pide-a-gobierno-venezolano-liberar-a-leopoldo-lopez-ceballos-scarano-lucchesse-y-estudiantes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>600</sup> Tweet by Cristina Cifuentes (@ccifuentes), TWITTER, May 4, 2017, 9:11 am, available at https://twitter.com/ccifuentes/status/860164884960620548.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>601</sup> Tweet by Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau (@JustinTrudeau), TWITTER, May 16, 2017, 1:19 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/JustinTrudeau/status/864575942479552513.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>602</sup> Speech by U.S. President Obama at Clinton Global Initiative in New York, NY – Sept. 23, 2014, available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2014/09/23/remarks-president-clinton-global-initiative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>603</sup> Tweet by U.S. President Donald Trump (@realDonaldTrump), TWITTER, Feb. 15, 2017, 3:59 pm, *available at* https://twitter.com/realdonaldtrump/status/832016501657968640.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>604</sup> The President of Brazil Receives Wife of Leopoldo López and Calls for his Release in Venezuela, LA PRENSA, May 11, 2017, available at http://www.prensa.com/mundo/Presidente-Brasil-Leopoldo-Lopez-Venezuela\_0\_4754524543.html.

"I want to specifically demand... the immediate liberation of political prisoners in Venezuela." – Argentine President Mauricio Macri<sup>605</sup>

"We are concerned with the latest developments [in Venezuela]. We have expressed – publicly and privately – our desire that the rights of opponents are respected. We have even called for the release of Leopoldo López." – Colombian President Juan Manuel Santos<sup>606</sup>

"It cannot be that the government gets to decide on amnesty for Leopoldo López when he has not done anything." – former Peruvian President Pedro Pablo Kuczynski<sup>607</sup>

"The countries of UNASUR...have today an absolute interest in the liberation of political prisoners in Venezuela...We don't think the best relationship with the opposition is to imprison anyone...if the person did not commit a crime, he should not be imprisoned." Former Brazilian President Dilma Rousseff<sup>608</sup>

"To imprison a democrat is to betray democracy. We make a call for Venezuela to ensure an independent judiciary. And we make a call to the Venezuelan judiciary to immediately release Leopoldo López and all imprisoned political opponents." – Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy, British Prime Minister David Cameron, Council of Europe Secretary General Thorbjørn Jagland, former Spanish Prime Minister Felipe González, and former Chilean President Ricardo Lagos<sup>609</sup>

"With Lilian Tintori, concerned by Leopoldo López, prisoner in Venezuela. His freedom and right to demonstrate are necessary." – Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy<sup>610</sup>

"In that context, the Holy See, in its role as guarantor of the seriousness and sincerity of the negotiations in which its participation was required, believes that the parties must take a substantial step forward if the National Dialogue is to develop auspiciously and efficiently. Therefore, respectfully but firmly, the Holy See demands that... (4). Legal means must be applied to ensure that all detainees are released." – Cardinal Pietro Parolin, Secretary of State for His Holiness<sup>611</sup>

606 Juan Manuel Santos Pidió Liberación de Leopoldo López y que se Garantice el Debido Proceso a Ledezma, NOTIHOY, Feb. 20, 2015, available at http://notihoy.com/juan-manuel-santos-pidio-liberacion-de-leopoldo-lopez-y-que-se-garantice-el-debido-proceso-ledezma/.

<sup>610</sup> Tweet by Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy Brey (@marianorajoy), TWITTER, Oct. 22, 2014, 5:20 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/marianorajoy/status/524897980030402560.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>605</sup> Speech by Argentine President Maurico Macri at MERCOSUR Summit in Asunción, Paraguay – Dec. 21, 2015, *available at* https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LkJeDG1xF4A.

<sup>607</sup> Kuczynski: En Venezuela Tiene que Haber Respeto a los DDHH, EL UNIVERSAL, June 12, 2016, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/noticias/politica/kuczynski-venezuela-tiene-que-haber-respeto-los-ddhh\_314603.
608 Dilma Rousseff Cree que Nicolás Maduro Debe Librerar a los Presos Políticos, EL UNIVERSO, Apr. 9, 2015, available at http://www.eluniverso.com/noticias/2015/04/09/nota/4751106/dilma-rousseff-cree-que-nicolas-maduro-debe-liberar-presos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>609</sup> Mariano Rajoy, David Cameron, Thorbjørn Jagland, Felipe González & Ricardo Lagos, *Venezuela Grita Libertad*, EL PAÍS, Dec. 1, 2015, *available at* 

http://internacional.elpais.com/internacional/2015/11/30/actualidad/1448890473 971718.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>611</sup> Cardinal Pietro Parolin, *Official Letter To President Maduro*, CARACAS CHRONICLES, Dec. 1, 2016, *available at* https://www.caracaschronicles.com/2016/12/07/parolins-letter/.

"Freedom for Leopoldo López already!" – former French Prime Minister Manuel Valls<sup>612</sup>

"The unjust imprisonment of Leopoldo López and the unjust sentence that he has received, in violation of the most basic guarantees of due process, constitute an unquestionable example of what the current Venezuelan political regime represents: a system that has distorted democracy, violates human rights and the separation of powers, lacks judicial independence, lacks legal certainty and openly suppresses the freedom of the press and [uses] any other instrument of social control." – former Prime Ministers of Spain Felipe González and José María Aznar, as well as over 1,200 lawyers in 20 countries, in an open letter<sup>613</sup>

"We demand the immediate release of all of the political prisoners [in Venezuela], among them the democratic leader Leopoldo López and mayors Antonio Ledezma and Daniel Ceballos." – former Presidents: Nicolás Arbito Barletta (Panama), Oscar Arias (Costa Rica), Jose María Aznar (Spain), Belisario Betancur (Colombia), Armando Calderón Sol (El Salvador), Felipe Calderón (Mexico), Rafael Ángel Calderón (Costa Rica), Laura Chinchilla (Costa Rica), Alfredo Cristiani (El Salvador), Ernesto Duhalde (Argentina), Vincente Fox (Mexico), Felipe Gonzalez (Spain), Lucio Guitiérrez (Ecuador), Osvaldo Hurtado (Ecuador), Luis Alberto Lacalle (Uruguay), Luis Alberto Monge (Costa Rica), Mireya Moscoso (Panama), Andres Pastrana (Colombia), Sebastián Piñera (Chile), Jorge Quiroga (Bolivia), Miguel Ángel Rodríguez (Costa Rica), Alejandro Toledo (Peru), Álvaro Uribe (Colombia), and Juan Carlos Wasmosy (Paraguay)<sup>614</sup>

"Leopoldo López, leader of an opposition party, has been abruptly deprived of his freedom and indicted for various crimes, with a noticeably political bias...We condemn such acts and urge the Venezuelan Government and all parties and political actors to establish a constructive discussion, in accordance with the canons of democracy universally recognized and embodied in the Inter-American Democratic Charter." – Oscar Arias Sanchez (Costa Rica), Fernando Henrique Cardoso (Brazil), Ricardo Lagos (Chile), and Alejandro Toledo (Peru), Former Presidents on Behalf of the Club of Madrid<sup>615</sup>

"We have been witnessing with deep concern the ongoing weakening of the rule of law, the lack of independence of public institutions, and the criminalization of freedom of expression in Venezuela, all of which entail and represent serious human rights violations . . . On this occasion, we have decided to adhere to the opinion of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention of the UN dated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>612</sup> Tweet by French Prime Minister Manuel Valls (@manuelvalls), TWITTER, Dec. 17, 2015, 3:25 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/manuelvalls/status/677449529814360064.

<sup>613</sup> Manifiesto de Juristas por los Derechos Humanos en Venezuela: "Libertad para Leopoldo Lopez,", Mar. 3, 2016, available at http://ep00.epimg.net/descargables/2016/03/03/127a4afe9f7f6399d1f4c0f87eaf3376.pdf.

<sup>614</sup> Nicolas Arbito Barletta, Oscar Arias, Jose María Aznar, Belisario Betancur, Armando Calderón Sol, Felipe Calderón, Rafael Ángel Calderón, Laura Chinchilla, Alfredo Cristiani, Ernesto Duhalde, Vincente Fox, Felipe Gonzalez, Lucio Guitiérrez, Osvaldo Hurtado, Luis Alberto Lacalle, Luis Alberto Monge, Mireya Moscoso, Andres Pastrana, Sebastián Piñera, Jorge Quiroga, Miguel Ángel Rodríguez, Alejandro Toledo, Álvaro Uribe & Juan Carlos Wasmosy, *Declaration of Panama about Venezuela from the Former Heads of Government and State*, IDEA, Apr. 9, 2015, *available at* http://perseus-strategies.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Ex-Presidents-Declaration-of-Panama-about-Venezuela-1.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>615</sup> Oscar Arias Sánchez, Fernando Henrique Cardoso, Ricardo Lagos & Alejandro Toledo, *Declaración Conjunta*, CLUB OF MADRID, Mar. 10, 2014, *available at* 

http://www.clubmadrid.org/es/noticia/el\_club\_de\_madrid\_se\_adhiere\_a\_la\_declaracion\_sobre\_venezuela\_de\_sus\_mie mbros arias cardoso lagos y.

August 26, 2014, which recommends the immediate release of Mr. Leopoldo López, leader of the Venezuelan opposition party *Voluntad Popular*, by the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. The Working Group on Arbitrary Detention's opinion confirms our prior statements on the lack of compliance with democratic principles and the respect for human rights in Venezuela." – Alejandro Toledo (Peru), Andres Pastrana (Colombia), Luis Alberto LaCalle (Uruguay), Ricardo Lagos (Chile), Felipe Calderón (Mexico), Jorge Quiroga (Bolivia) and Osvaldo Hurtado (Ecuador), Former Presidents on Behalf of the Club of Madrid<sup>616</sup>

"We are very concerned by the use of the justice system to condemn leaders of the democratic opposition such as Leopoldo López." – former Presidents: Nicolás Ardito-Barletta (Panama), Oscar Arias (Costa Rica), José María Aznar (Spain), Belisario Betancur (Colombia), Armando Calderón Sol (El Salvador), Felipe Calderón (Mexico), Rafael Ángel Calderón F. (Costa Rica), Fernando Henrique Cardoso (Brazil), Laura Chinchilla Miranda (Costa Rica), Alfredo Cristiani (El Salvador), Fernando de la Rúa (Argentina), Eduardo Duhalde (Argentina), Vicente Fox (Mexico), Eduardo Frei (Chile), César Gaviria (Colombia), Felipe González (Spain), Lucio Guttiérrez (Ecuador), Osvaldo Hurtado L. (Ecuador), Luis Alberto Lacalle (Uruguay), Ricardo Lagos (Chile), Ricardo Martinelli (Panama), Luis Alberto Monge (Costa Rica), Mireya Moscoso (Panama), Andrés Pastrana (Colombia), Sebastián Piñera (Chile), Jorge Quiroga (Bolivia), Miguel Ángel Rodríguez (Costa Rica), Julio M. Sanguinetti (Uruguay), Alejandro Toledo (Peru), Álvaro Uribe (Colombia), and Juan Carlos Wasmosy (Paraguay)<sup>617</sup>

"Venezuela has become a de facto dictatorship. The President makes decisions for the Parliament and the Judiciary... [López] has been convicted by Nicolás Maduro, as have all the other political prisoners in Venezuela." – Felipe González, Former Prime Minister of Spain<sup>618</sup>

"We reject with deep, civic conviction, the sentence of Leopoldo López and his four companions and demand immediate freedom for them all." – Eduardo Frei Ruiz-Tagle & Ricardo Lagos, Former Presidents of Chile<sup>619</sup>

<sup>6</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>616</sup> Felipe Calderón, Osvaldo Hurtado, Luis Alberto LaCalle, Ricardo Lagos, Andrés Pastrana, Jorge Quiroga & Alejandro Toledo, *Declaración Conjunta*, CLUB OF MADRID, Nov. 25, 2014, *available at* http://www.voluntadpopular.com/index.php/ver-noticia/noticias/8-noticias/2128-expresidentes-del-mundo-piden-a-maduro-cumplir-resolucion-de-onu-y-liberar-inmediatamente-a-leopoldo-lopez.

<sup>617</sup> Nicolás Ardito-Barletta, Oscar Arias, José María Aznar, Belisario Betancur, Armando Calderón Sol, Felipe Calderón, Rafael Ángel Calderón F., Fernando Henrique Cardoso, Laura Chinchilla Miranda, Alfredo Cristiani, Fernando de la Rúa, Eduardo Duhalde, Vicente Fox, Eduardo Frei, César Gaviria, Felipe González, Lucio Guttiérrez, Osvaldo Hurtado L., Luis Alberto Lacalle, Ricardo Lagos, Ricardo Martinelli, Luis Alberto Monge, Mireya Moscoso, Andrés Pastrana, Sebastián Piñera, Jorge Quiroga, Miguel Ángel Rodríguez, Julio M. Sanguinetti, Alejandro Toledo, Álvaro Uribe & Juan Carlos Wasmosy, *Declaration of the Ex-Presidents on the Venezuelan Electoral Process*, IDEA, Sept. 23, 2015, available at

http://www.prensa.com/mundo/IDEAdeclaracionelecciones230915 LPRFIL20150923 0002.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>618</sup> Felipe González, Sobre la Condena a Leopoldo López, "Venezuela se ha Convertido en una Dicatudra de Facto," EL MUNDO, Sept. 11, 2015, available at

http://www.elmundo.es/internacional/2015/09/11/55f2a399ca4741c7168b457e.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>619</sup> Eduardo Frei Ruiza-Tagle & Ricardo Lagos, *Saludo a los Demócratas de Venezuela*, EL País, Sept. 14, 2105, *available at* http://internacional.elpais.com/internacional/2015/09/14/actualidad/1442249181 299570.html.

"Today a great Latin American democrat was unjustly sentenced. All our solidarity with Lilian Tintori and Antonieta López." – Andrés Pastrana, Former President of Colombia<sup>620</sup>

"Our hope for Leopoldo López's freedom lies in the knowledge that the Venezuelan tyranny has little time left." – Álvaro Uribe, Former President of Colombia<sup>621</sup>

"The world's democrats should all be fighting for the freedom of Leopoldo López." – Sebastian Piñera, Former President of Chile<sup>622</sup>

"Anger from democrats all over the world because of the conviction of Leopoldo López. Who can stay quiet?" – Felipe Calderón, Former President of Mexico<sup>623</sup>

"It is not acceptable that there are citizens convicted in Venezuela for reasons that are only due to political persecution. Those who defend freedom, democracy and human rights will not abandon this cause and we will continue to demand the release of Leopoldo López." – José María Aznar, Former Prime Minister of Spain<sup>624</sup>

"Whether Venezuela can return to being known as a democracy that respects human rights depends on the release of all political prisoners and the release of Leopoldo López." – Óscar Arias, Former President of Costa Rica and Nobel Peace Prize Laureate 625

"[The imprisonment of political prisoners,] an act that puts in question all that is righteous in our society and our country. Don't bring people to jail, get them to work...our wisdom depends on our being united." – Lech Walesa, former Prime Minister of Poland and Nobel Peace Prize Laureate<sup>626</sup>

"The International Advisory Board of the Forum 2000 Foundation considers the arrest against Mr. López to be arbitrary as it breaches his civil and political rights. We hold Mr. López to have purely exercised his right to freedom of expression and association during the protests in his homeland and advocated for peace and dialogue. We reiterate our firm conviction that such rights and guarantees should not be trampled on and we urge the Venezuelan authorities to abide by

<sup>620</sup> Tweet by Former President of Colombia Andrés Pastrana (@Andrew Pastrana ), TWITTER, Sept. 10, 2015, 8:15 pm, available at

https://twitter.com/AndresPastrana/status/642174514793705472?refsrc=twsrc%5Etfw&refurl=http%3A%2F%2Fc nnespanol.cnn.com%2F2015%2F09%2F11%2Freacciones-internacionales-sobre-la-condena-de-leopoldo-lopez%2F. 621 Tweet by Former President of Colombia Álvaro Uribe Vélez (@AlvaroUribeVel), TWITTER, Sept. 11, 2015, 4:36 am, available at https://twitter.com/AlvaroUribeVel.

<sup>622</sup> Tweet by the President of Chile Sebastián Piñera (@sebastianpinera), TWITTER, Sept. 11, 2015, 4:05 am, available at https://twitter.com/sebastianpinera/status/642292813711994880.

<sup>623</sup> Tweet by Former President of Mexico Felipe Calderón (@FelipeCalderon), TWITTER, Sept. 11, 2015, 5:53 am, available at https://twitter.com/FelipeCalderon/status/642320022816301056.

<sup>624</sup> Aznar Ve en la Condena a Leopoldo López "Un Atentado al Estado de Derecho" y Exige Observación Internacional Ya, EL DIARIO, Sept. 11, 2015, available at http://www.eldiario.es/politica/Aznar-Leopoldo-Lopez-Derecho-internacional 0 429757682.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>625</sup> Expresidente Oscar Árias Pide Liberación de Leopoldo López, LA PRENSA, Feb. 18, 2016, available at http://www.prensa.com/mundo/Expresidente-Arias-liberacion-Leopoldo-

Lopez 0 4418558249.html#sthash.4aPynw0l.59pVFqOA.dpuf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>626</sup> Óscar Arias y Lech Walesa Claman por libertad de Leopoldo López, NOTITARDE, Feb. 18, 2016, available at http://www.notitarde.com/Pais/Oscar-Arias-y-Lech-Walesa-claman-por-libertad-de-Leopoldo-Lopez/2016/02/18/889526/.

international law and safeguard the civil and political rights of Mr. López." – The International Advisory Board of the Forum 2000 Foundation, including Aung San Suu Kyi, Prince Hassan bin Talal, Frederik Willem de Klerk, Ivan M. Havel, Adam Michnik, Šimon Pánek, and Yohei Sasakawa<sup>627</sup>

"The free association of politicians, businessmen, and intellectuals expresses their full agreement and support for the request made to Venezuela by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein, to release arbitrarily arrested political opponents as well as the recent opinion of the UN Working Group urging Venezuela to free Leopoldo López, Enzo Scarano and Daniel Ceballos." –*Foro Iberoamérica*, including ex-presidents Felipe González (Spain), Fernando Henrique Cardoso (Brazil), Ricardo Lagos (Chile), Julio María Sanguinetti (Uruguay), and Belisario Betancur (Colombia)<sup>628</sup>

"We call for the immediate release of Leopoldo López, the cessation of harassment against the opposition and the restoration of plurality in the media and in electoral and judicial bodies." – Mario Vargas Llosa, Fernando Savater, Enrique Krauze, Rafael Cadenas, Moisés Naím, and 16 other public intellectuals<sup>629</sup>

"The Government repression against the legitimate exercise of the right to peaceful protest is unjustifiable, unacceptable and outrageous . . . In particular, it should be a demand of international democratic consciousness that the order of arrest for Leopoldo López is suspended. Freedom is indivisible, and one cannot be free in his own country, if others are not free in theirs." – Sergio Ramírez, writer and former Vice President of Nicaragua, and Edmundo Jarquín, Nicaraguan politician<sup>630</sup>

"Leopoldo López and the political prisoners in Venezuela should be released without delay." – Bill Clinton, former U.S. President<sup>631</sup>

"The United States is deeply troubled by the conviction and sentencing of opposition leader Leopoldo López. The decision by the court raises great concern about the political nature of the judicial process and verdict, and the use of the Venezuelan judicial system to suppress and punish government critics." – John Kerry, Former U.S. Secretary of State<sup>632</sup>

98

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>627</sup> Aung San Suu Kyi, Prince Hassan bin Talal, Frederik Willem de Klerk, Ivan M. Havel, Adam Michnik, Šimon Pánek & Yohei Sasakawa, *Forum 2000 International Advisory Board Calls for the Release of Leopoldo López*, FORUM 2000, Mar. 20, 2014, *available at* https://www.forum2000.cz/en/about-us/news-archive/detail/forum-2000-international-advisory-board-calls-for-the-release-of-leopoldo-lopez/?wWGoVWldXQVBFFm8=50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>628</sup> Líderes Políticos Reunidos en Foro Iberoamérica Piden liberación de Leopoldo López y Ceballos, NOTICIAS24 CARABOBO, July 11, 2014, available at http://noticias24carabobo.com/actualidad/noticia/56939/lideres-politicos-reunidos-en-foro-iberoamerica-piden-liberacion-de-leopoldo-lopez-exigida-por-onu/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>629</sup> Mario Vargas Llosa, Enrique Krauze, Antonio Muñoz Molina & 17 others, *Solidaridad con Venezuela*, EL PAIS, Aug. 6, 2014, *available at* http://elpais.com/elpais/2014/08/05/opinion/1407253359 026592.html.

<sup>630</sup> Edmundo Jarquín & Sergio Ramírez, Declaración de Personalidades Nicaragüenses Sergio Ramírez y Edmundo Jarquín Sobre Situación en Venezuela, FUNDACIÓN ARIAS PARA LA PAZ Y EL PROGRESO HUMANO, Feb. 18, 2014, available at http://arias.or.cr/declaracion-de-personalidades-nicaraguenses-sergio-ramirez-y-edmundo-jarquin-sobre-situacion-en-venezuela.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>631</sup> Tweet by Former U.S. President Bill Clinton (@BillClinton), TWITTER, Feb. 19, 2015, 7:00 pm, *available at* https://twitter.com/billclinton/status/568605975286026241.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>632</sup> Conviction of Leopoldo Lopez, U.S. DEP'T OF STATE, Sept. 11, 2015, available at http://m.state.gov/md246824.htm.

"Just last week, hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans converged on Caracas to demand their constitutional right to a presidential recall referendum. Their voices must not be ignored. A recall referendum should take place by the end of this year, and the Venezuelan constitution should be respected. And political prisoners should be released." – U.S. Former Vice President Joe Biden<sup>633</sup>

"It is unfortunate that the appeal hearing for jailed Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López, scheduled for today, has once again been postponed. It bears recalling that the United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention had determined his imprisonment was arbitrary and called for his release 'forthwith', and for 'full redress'...The single most tangible action President Nicolás Maduro can take to demonstrate his commitment to dialogue is to release his political opponents, including not only Leopoldo López but also Antonio Ledezma, Daniel Ceballos and others." – Stéphane Dion, Canadian Foreign Minister<sup>634</sup>

"Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López has today spent four months in custody. And there is still not even a trial." – Carl Bildt, Former Swedish Prime and Foreign Minister<sup>635</sup>

"They have Leopoldo López in a white tower, isolated and in deplorable and inhumane conditions. All this because he has a political view different from that of Nicolás Maduro. This is something that, as a democratic nation, we cannot tolerate. We demand his freedom and remain concerned about Venezuela, because a government that holds political prisoners is not democratic." – José Serra, Brazilian Foreign Minister<sup>636</sup>

"With regard to the sentencing in the case of Leopoldo López and four other Venezuelan citizens, the Government of Perú wishes to express its concern over the internal polarization that has affected its sister Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela...[Perú] calls for the prioritization of dialogue, urging the various political forces to maintain peace and tranquility at this time." – Foreign Ministry of Perú<sup>637</sup>

"[The Foreign Ministry of Paraguay] has carefully followed the developments concerning Leopoldo López who, along with other student leaders, has been sentenced in the court of first instance. With regard to this, [the Ministry] hopes that they are able to exercise the right of the defense in trial and the procedural rights in the higher court to which they can appeal, with full

99

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>633</sup> Remarks by Vice President Joe Biden at the 20<sup>th</sup> Annual CAF Conference, THE WHITE HOUSE, OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT, Sept. 7, 2016, available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2016/09/08/remarks-vice-president-joe-biden-20th-annual-caf-conference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>634</sup> Press Release, *Statement by Minister Dion on Venezuela*, GLOBAL AFFAIRS CANADA, July 7, 2016, *available at* http://news.gc.ca/web/article-en.do?nid=1095979.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>635</sup> Tweet by Swedish Foreign Minister Carl Bildt (@carlbildt), TWITTER, June 18, 2014, 3:02 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/carlbildt/status/479202454849208320.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>636</sup> Canciller Brasileño: Un Gobierno que Mantiene Presos Políticos no es Democrático, LA PATILLA, Aug. 18, 2016, available at https://www.lapatilla.com/site/2016/08/18/canciller-brasileno-un-gobierno-que-mantiene-presos-politicos-no-es-democratico/.

<sup>637</sup> María Elena Álvarez, *Perú y Paraguay se Suman a Preocupación por Condena de Leopoldo López*, LA TERCERA, Sept. 15, 2015, *available at* http://www.latercera.com/noticia/politica/2015/09/674-647349-9-peru-y-paraguay-se-suman-a-preocupacion-por-condena-de-leopoldo-lopez.shtml.

adherence to due process and adequate respect for the fundamental rights enshrined and universally recognized in international treaties." – Foreign Ministry of Paraguay<sup>638</sup>

"[The Foreign Ministry of Chile] has attentively followed the developments concerning Mr. Leopoldo López and four student leaders and, now, the condemning sentence imposed against them in the court of first instance...We hope that the judicial guarantees of due process and the available evidence are observed so that those affected can appeal the decision of the trial court. All of this in accordance with full respect of the fundamental human rights universally recognized and enshrined in the various international treaties that obligate our States." – Foreign Ministry of Chile<sup>639</sup>

"Whereas domestic and international human rights groups recognize more than 85 political prisoners in Venezuela, including...opposition leader and former Chacao mayor Leopoldo López...the House of Representatives...calls on the Government of Venezuela to immediately release all political prisoners, including United States citizens, to provide protections for freedom of expression and assembly, and to respect internationally recognized human rights." – U.S. House of Representatives<sup>640</sup>

"The only head of state in Latin America who has met with President Maduro and asked specifically for the release of Leopoldo López has been President Bachelet. She did this as a head of state, she made no fuss about it, but she did it. Now whether President Maduro will listen to anyone, that's another subject." – Jorge Tarud, Representative in the Parliament of Chile<sup>641</sup>

"[We] request the release of all political prisoners in Venezuela, all those who for representing democratic values or thinking differently have been deprived of their liberty...Our call for the release of Leopoldo López and Antonio Ledezma symbolizes this call for the release of everyone." – Senate of Chile<sup>642</sup>

"We demand the release of all political prisoners in Venezuela, who as members of the democratic opposition...have been captured by the government, as evident with opposition leaders Leopoldo López and Antonio Ledezma." – Senate of Colombia<sup>643</sup>

"[We] demand the immediate release of the leader of *Voluntad Popular*, Leopoldo López, the Mayor of Caracas, Antonio Ledezma, the Mayor of San Cristobal, Daniel Ceballos, and other

<sup>639</sup> Press Release, MINISTERIO DE RELACIONES EXTERIORES DE CHILE, Sept. 12, 2015, *available at* http://www.minrel.gob.cl/minrel/site/artic/20150912/pags/2015091223658.html.

100

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>638</sup> Press Release, MINISTERIO DE RELACIONES EXTERIORES DE PARAGUAY, Sept. 14, 2015, *available at* http://www.mre.gov.py/v2/Noticia/3177/comunicado-de-prensa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>640</sup> H.R. Res. 851 Expressing Profound Concern about the Ongoing Political, Economic, Social and Humanitarian Crisis in Venezuela, Urging the Release of Political Prisoners, and Calling for Respect of Constitutional and Democratic Processes, U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Sept. 27, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>641</sup> Mario José Vega, *Tarud Asegura que Bachelet Pidió a Maduro Liberar a Leopoldo López*, 24 HORAS.CL, Mar. 3, 2015, *available at* http://www.24horas.cl/politica/tarud-asegura-que-bachelet-pidio-a-maduro-liberar-a-leopoldo-lopez-1596680.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>642</sup> Senado Chileno Solicitó Liberación de Presos Politicos Venezoloanos, EL CARABOBEÑO, Apr. 14, 2015, available at http://m.el-carabobeno.com/articles/show/102589.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>643</sup> Senado Exige Liberación de Leopoldo López y Antonio Ledezma, EL TIEMPO, Apr. 15, 2105, available at http://www.eltiempo.com/politica/congreso/piden-liberacion-de-leopoldo-lopez-y-antonio-ledezma/15576317.

members of the oppositions, students and demonstrators arbitrarily imprisoned in Venezuela in the wake of the peaceful protests staged in January 2014." – Congress of Deputies of Spain<sup>644</sup>

"The imprisoning of the opposition is worrisome for when trying to strength the climate of democracy, which we need in Latin America and in South America, things like this generate much concern." – Foreign Minister of Uruguay, Rodolfo Nin Novoa<sup>645</sup>

"More than 100 Venezuelan political prisoners should be freed...Among them, leader of *Voluntad Popular*, Leopoldo López, [who has been] sentenced to thirteen years and nine months in prison in a farse of a trial." – Congress of Deputies of Spain<sup>646</sup>

"Be it resolved that the Subcommittee condemns the arbitrary and illegal detention and imprisonment of Mr. López and the violations of his fundamental freedoms and rights to a fair trial as guaranteed under international law and the Venezuelan constitution... [and] calls for the release of Leopoldo López . . . and all political prisoners in the country." – Subcommittee on International Human Rights of the House of Commons of Canada. 647

"The fight for amnesty is the first step towards national reconciliation." – Roberto Gil Zuarth, President of the Mexican Senate<sup>648</sup>

"The situation of Leopoldo López, other political leaders, and university students detained cannot pass unnoticed one minute more in the eyes of the international community. This case has become the symbol of those who believe that it is not acceptable, under any circumstances, to repress citizens for publicly expressing their political opinion, whatever it is, if it is consistent with the Supreme values of freedom and democracy" – José Antonio Kast and 63 Others, Representatives in the Parliament of Chile<sup>649</sup>

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>644</sup> El Congreso Pide la Liberación de los Opositores Venezolanos en Prisión, El País, Apr. 15, 2015, available at http://politica.elpais.com/politica/2015/04/14/actualidad/1429027060\_434534.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>645</sup> Encarcalamiento de Opositores en Venezuela es "Preocupante": Canciller Uruguay, REUTERS, Apr. 6, 2015, available at http://lta.reuters.com/article/domesticNews/idLTAKBN0MX13S20150406.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>646</sup> Proposición No de Ley: Sobre Apoyo a la Ley de Amnistía y Reconciliación de la República Bolivariana de Venezuela, No. 161/000419, EL CONGRESO DE DIPUTADOS DE ESPAÑA, Apr. 12, 2016, available at http://www.congreso.es/public oficiales/L11/CONG/DS/CO/DSCD-11-CO-44.PDF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>647</sup> House of Commons Subcommittee on International Human Rights Condemn Venezuela's Arbitrary and Illegal Detention and Imprisonment of Opposition Leader Leopoldo López, Subcommittee on International Human Rights of the House of Commons of Canada, adopted Nov. 27, 2014, available at http://www.ourcommons.ca/DocumentViewer/en/41-2/SDIR/news-release/6802251.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>648</sup> Press Release, SENADO DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS, Feb. 19, 2015, *available at* http://comunicacion.senado.gob.mx/index.php/informacion/boletines/26352-el-senado-mexicano-se-solidariza-convenezuela-su-lucha-es-la-lucha-de-muchos-roberto-gil-zuarth.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>649</sup> R. Alvarez, *UDI Entrega Carta a Bachelet para que Intervenga por Violaciones a DD.HH en Venezuela*, LA TERCERA, Aug. 22, 2014, *available at* http://www.latercera.com/noticia/politica/2014/08/674-592522-9-udi-entrega-carta-a-bachelet-para-que-intervenga-por-violaciones-a-ddhh-en.shtml.

"We demand the immediate, unconditional release of the prominent Venezuelan opposition leader, Leopoldo López." – Representatives from the Parliaments of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Nicaragua<sup>650</sup>

"Yesterday's sham trial is indicative of the corrupt judicial system in Venezuela that is being used to silence freedom-loving individuals who seek justice." – U.S. Congresswomen Debbie Wasserman-Schultz (D-FL) and Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R-FL)<sup>651</sup>

"1000 days since Venezuela unjustly jailed opposition leader Leopoldo López, routinely denied the right to see his wife, kids, and lawyer. He should be freed immediately." – Former U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, Samantha Power 652

"We are deeply concerned about the Maduro government's violent crackdown on protestors in Venezuela. President Maduro's disregard for the fundamental rights of his own people has heightened the political and economic crisis in the country. We are closely monitoring reports about the conditions of Venezuelan political prisoners, particularly Leopoldo Lopez, held on trumped up charges by the government since 2014. We call on the Venezuelan government to immediately release all those unjustly detained." – Nikki Haley, U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations<sup>653</sup>

"Leopoldo Lopez's arrest, incarceration, show trial and prison sentence have all been a sham. The Venezuelan regime is robbing Leopoldo of his freedom, his wife of a husband, his kids of a father and the Venezuelan people of a leader committed to their democratic aspirations. He deserves to be freed immediately and unconditionally." –Marco Rubio, U.S. Senator (R-FL)<sup>654</sup>

"We stand with Leopoldo López and his wife Lilian Tintori. Venezuela needs to act now and release him." – Rick Scott, Governor of Florida<sup>655</sup>

#### Media:

Top 100 Global Thinkers of 2014 for "upending the tactics of Venezuela's loyal opposition." – Foreign Policy<sup>656</sup>

656 Top 100 Global Thinkers of 2014, supra note 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>650</sup> Diputados Centroamericanos piden Liberación de López y Ledezma, EL UNIVERSAL, Mar. 9, 2015, available at http://www.eluniversal.com/nacional-y-politica/150309/diputados-centroamericanos-piden-liberacion-de-lopez-y-ledezma/.

<sup>651</sup> Press Release, *Joint Statement by U.S. Representatives Ileana Ros-Lehtinen and Debbie Wasserman Schultz on Continuing Human Rights Violations in Venezuela*, Office of Representative Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, July 24, 2014, *available at* http://ros-lehtinen.house.gov/press-release/joint-statement-us-reps-ileana-ros-lehtinen-and-debbie-wasserman-schultz-continuing.

<sup>652</sup> Tweet by the former U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Samantha Power (@AmbPower44), TWITTER, Nov. 14, 2016, 9:45 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/AmbassadorPower/status/798220202747887616.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>653</sup> Statement from Ambassador Nikki Haley on the Situation in Venezuela, U.S. MISSION TO THE U.N., May 6, 2017, available at https://usun.state.gov/remarks/7790.

<sup>654</sup> Press Release, *Rubio Condemns Sentencing of Venezuelan Political Prisoner Leopoldo Lopez*, Office of Senator Marco Rubio, Sept. 11, 2015, *available at* https://www.rubio.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/press-releases?ID=9D16614D-A100-4023-9BC2-47BB34472329.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>655</sup> Tweet by Governor of Florida Rick Scott (@FLGovScott), TWITTER, Mar. 7, 2017, 7:05 am, *available at* https://twitter.com/FLGovScott/status/839129767383187456.

"The charges against Mr. López, a Harvard-educated former mayor of one of the municipalities that make up Caracas, were scurrilous...The government, in its criminal complaint, preposterously claimed that Mr. López had incited Venezuelans to violence through subliminal messages...The Organization of American States, the Union of South American Nations and Latin American presidents all should be denouncing the imprisonment of an innocent man and demanding his release." – *New York Times* Editorial Board<sup>657</sup>

"Mr. López is jailed for inciting violence during 2014 antigovernment street protests. But his real crime is that he's a leader of the struggle to restore Venezuelan democracy and has shown no fear in confronting strongman Nicolás Maduro." – *Wall Street Journal* Editorial Board<sup>658</sup>

"We've often written about one-sided political trials...but for sheer brazenness, nothing quite matches Venezuela's prosecution of opposition leader Leopoldo López, who on Thursday was sentenced to nearly 14 years in prison...To call this case "a complete travesty of justice," as did Human Rights Watch, gives it more credit than it deserves. It was nothing more than a crude propaganda show and a device for shutting down an opponent the regime greatly fears...To deter more lawlessness, the United States should sanction every person who participated in the prosecution of Mr. López, starting with the judge and prosecutors. If Venezuela is to have a democratic exit from its mounting chaos, clear and concerted action by the United States and other outside powers will be essential in the coming months." – Washington Post Editorial Board<sup>659</sup>

"If President Nicolás Maduro believed that he could neutralize the Venezuelan opposition by arbitrarily imprisoning one of its leaders, Leopoldo López, the two years that have elapsed since then have done nothing but prove his error. The opposition won the massive support of its citizens in the legislative elections of December and López, far from fading into obscurity in Venezuelan and world opinion, has become the most well-known political prisoner in Latin America. No excuses of any kind can stand in the way of his immediate release. The prolongation of his detention is a flagrant violation of human rights." – *El País* (Spain)<sup>660</sup>

"In February of this year, the Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López was imprisoned by the Chavez regime, accused—without any foundation . . . In the middle of [his]. . . custody by an authoritarian Government, the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention of the United Nations made public a decision that not only expresses their objection to his detention, but also recommends his immediate release . . . It is true that, more than presenting new information, the decision confirms what we already knew: the trial of Leopoldo López is unfair and arbitrary . . . To the irregularities denounced by the UN we could add many more. Well, what is at stake in the case of Leopoldo López is now much more than the declaration of his innocence. López is perhaps the most visible but not the only victim of the Venezuelan regime. Mr. Nicolás Maduro and the rest of the ruling

<sup>657</sup> Free Venezuela's Leopoldo López, supra note 437.

<sup>658</sup> Venezuela's Descent, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, Aug. 14, 2016, available at http://www.wsj.com/articles/venezuelas-descent-1471213512.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>659</sup> A Venezuelan Opposition Leader's Absurd Sentence, THE WASHINGTON POST, Sept. 11, 2015, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/a-venezuelan-opposition-leaders-absurd-sentence/2015/09/11/5d277f2a-5897-11e5-abe9-27d53f250b11 story.html.

<sup>660</sup> López, Preso pero Libre, EL PAÍS, Mar. 15, 2016, available at http://elpais.com/elpais/2016/03/15/opinion/1458066312 243990.html.

party are proving that they have no qualms in violating the human rights of Venezuelans. We hope that, as the Working Group of Arbitrary Detention of the United Nations opened its eyes to the case of Venezuela, their neighbors in Latin America may do the same." – *El Comercio* (Peru)<sup>661</sup>

"Mr. Maduro and government media are trying to portray Mr. López as an extremist, calling him 'the face of fascism' and alleging he was plotting a coup. In fact, the 42-year-old former mayor is a left-leaning, Harvard-educated moderate who has proven over a decade that he is committed to peaceful and democratic change." – *Washington Post* Editorial Board<sup>662</sup>

"The only evident fact is that Mr. López was turned into a prisoner of conscience for having exercised his right to free speech in leading peaceful protests. The U.S. government should sanction everyone involved in this scandalous incident and lead a regional campaign to support fair elections in Venezuela later this year." – *Miami Herald* Editorial Board<sup>663</sup>

#### Conclusion

Leopoldo López's ongoing detention is punishment for exercising his fundamental rights to freedoms of opinion and expression, peaceful assembly, and to be elected and take part in political affairs. In addition, his detention has also failed to meet international standards for due process of law, including the right to be tried before an independent and impartial judiciary, the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty, and the right to have confidential attorney-client communications. Accordingly, and as confirmed by the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, his detention is arbitrary as established by international law and he should be immediately released from house arrest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>661</sup> Violación en Venezuela, EL COMERCIO, Oct. 10, 2014, available at http://elcomercio.pe/opinion/editorial/editorial-violacion-venezuela-noticia-1761947.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>662</sup> Venezuela's Neighbors Have to Work to Stem the Chaos, THE WASHINGTON POST, Feb. 18, 2014, available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/venezuelas-neighbors-have-to-work-to-stem-the-chaos/2014/02/18/f0fedae0-98cc-11e3-b931-0204122c514b story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>663</sup> *Venezuela Sinks Deeper*, THE MIAMI HERALD, Sept. 17, 2015, *available at* http://www.miamiherald.com/opinion/editorials/article35639268.html.

# Appendix I – Overview of Past Political Persecution Against Leopoldo López by Venezuelan Government: False Accusations and Disqualification to Exercise Political Rights Without Due Process of Law

As discussed in the three sections below, López has been the victim of political persecution at the hands of the Government. Its goal is to silence him for fear that his vision of a democratic Venezuela will undermine the status quo and strip away the current administration's political power.

Despite being hailed as running the most transparent municipality in Venezuela by Transparency International,<sup>664</sup> López was banned from running for and holding a political position for six years beginning in 2008. Though he acted appropriately, two administrative actions found López administratively responsible for acts of corruption. Because these were administrative proceedings, López was never tried nor convicted in any court of law. In 2011, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued a judgment finding that López's disqualification from political office was a violation of international law and ordered that he be allowed to hold and run for office. However, the Supreme Justice Tribunal of Venezuela refused to implement this ruling.

Furthermore, the Government filed charges against López in 2004 for an alleged involvement in the attempted 2002 coup. All of these proceedings are without merit, however, and are simply an attempt by the Government to remove López as an opposition figure.

Finally, in addition to these false court charges, there have been repeated attempts on López's life. In sum, these violent attacks against his person combined with the false charges and court proceedings demonstrate that the Government views López as a political threat. It is this past persecution which sets the context for the current charges.

#### 1. Leopoldo López Banned from Politics 2008–2014

Administrative Proceeding on Alleged "Conflict of Interest" while an Employee at Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. (2004)

In 2004, Leopoldo López was banned from running for public office for three years due to allegations that he improperly received public money in 1998. At the time in question, López was employed within the Office of the Chief Economist at *Petróleos de Venezuela S.A.* ("PDVSA") as an Analyst of the National Environment.<sup>665</sup> He was also a member of the Board of Directors for the non-profit civil association *Primero Justicia*.<sup>666</sup> López's mother, Antonieta Mendoza de López, was a Manager of Public Affairs at *División de PDVSA Petróleo y Gas, S.A.*, a subsidiary of PDVSA.<sup>667</sup>

It is common practice for PDVSA to donate money to local nonprofits. In 1998, the PDVSA Board of Directors held a contest for nonprofits to apply to receive donations. Around

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>664</sup> Oslo Freedom Forum, supra note 18.

<sup>665 2011</sup> Judgment of Inter-American Court, supra note 29, at ¶ 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>666</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>667</sup> See generally Id., at ¶ 42.

600 nonprofits applied, and PDVSA approved donations to over 200 organizations. Two donations made in 1998 by PDVSA to the civil association *Primero Justicia* were cited in the administrative action as reason for López's ban. These donations were executed under an agreement made between the Inter-American Foundation and PDVSA dated June 24, 1998. Both the board of the IAF and the board of PDVSA independently approved these donations; the donations were also integrated and reflected in the Social Investment Budget of both organizations.

No members of the PDVSA Board of Directors were ever investigated or punished for granting the funds. Neither was the President of *Primero Justicia* at the time, Dr. Alirio Breu Burelli. The only people accused of any wrongdoing were López and his mother, despite the fact that at no time did López personally receive any money donated to *Primero Justicia*, nor did Mrs. Mendoza de López have any role in selecting which non-profits received the grants.

The donations in question are as follows. On December 23, 1998, PDVSA donated Bs. 60,060,000 (U.S. \$117,764.00) to *Primero Justicia* to fund a project<sup>668</sup> aimed at increasing the number of justices of the peace and thereby increase Venezuelans' access to judicial services. A separate donation of Bs. 25,000,000 (U.S. \$49,019.00) was made to *Primero Justicia* on September 11, 1998 to support the project "*Educando Para La Justicia* 1998-1999" ("Educating for Justice 1998-1999").

In an audit conducted by the Internal Comptroller of PDVSA completed on May 22, 2001, it was specifically noted that the cash flow and use of donations demonstrated that the money was used for its intended purpose. López never personally received nor benefited from these donations. Nevertheless, an administrative proceeding was initiated against López on July 15, 2004 – despite no wrongdoing on his part – and in violation of a five-year statute of limitations to bring administrative actions in Venezuela.<sup>671</sup>

Despite López never receiving the money, and Mrs. Mendoza de López's lack of involvement in the selection of *Primero Justicia* as a recipient, the Office of the Determination of Responsibility of the Comptroller General of the Republic ("Office of the Determination of Responsibility") issued an order declaring López administratively responsible for a conflict of interest on October 29, 2004.<sup>672</sup> The order imposed a fine on both López and Mrs. Mendoza de López for Bs. 1,243,200 (U.S. \$647.50 at that time).<sup>673</sup>

Almost ten months later and without a new administrative hearing, the Comptroller General issued Resolution No. 01-00-000206 on August 24, 2005. This resolution banned López from holding public office for a period of three years.<sup>674</sup> The Comptroller General forwarded the

671 *Id.*, at ¶ 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>668</sup> The project was labeled "Expansión y consolidación de la justicia de paz en los Estados Monagas, Anzoátegui, Sucre y Delta Amacuro: una oportunidad para la equidad en un context de crecimiento económico Regional" ("Expansion and consolidation of justice for peace in the States of Monagas, Anzoátegui, Sucre, and Delta Amacuro: an opportunity for equity in a context of regional economic growth"). *See Id.*, at ¶ 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>670</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 41.

<sup>672</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>673</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>674</sup> See generally Id. at  $\P\P$  58–59.

administrative action to the Public Prosecutor's Office on December 2, 2004, for a simultaneous "corresponding criminal investigation." However, criminal charges were not brought against López until almost a decade later, 676 in February 2013 – again in violation of the five-year statute of limitations. These charges accuse López of "influence peddling" and are still ongoing. 677

#### Administrative Proceeding on Budget Decision as Mayor of Chacao (2004)

Legislation created the Metropolitan District of Caracas ("Metropolitan District") on March 8, 2000. The Metropolitan District officially came into existence on August 30, 2000, with the swearing in of the first Metropolitan Mayor.

Each year, municipalities that make up the Metropolitan District must transfer 10% of their tax revenue from two years earlier, along with 10% of funds granted by the federal government in the current fiscal year.<sup>678</sup> In the first year these payments were due under this new system, Chacao initially made a mistake in the amount of money it allocated to transfer to the Metropolitan District.

All municipalities must set their budgets for the following year by October 31. Chacao thus set its 2002 budget on October 31, 2001. After correctly budgeting 10% of its anticipated 2002 federal funds, Chacao mistakenly budgeted its estimated tax revenue for all of 2001, in addition to the last four months of 2000 (September through December, after the Metropolitan District was validly constituted). However, as mentioned above, Chacao did not need to transfer its 2001 tax revenue until 2003. The rationale for this approach is that municipalities do not know what their actual tax revenue for the current tax year in October, months before the remaining taxes for the municipality are actually collected.

Once Chacao realized this mistake, the money originally budgeted for the Metropolitan District of Caracas was returned to the general fund of the Chacao treasury at the directive of Mayor Leopoldo López, who confirmed the legality of conducting such a transaction with the independent Municipal Comptroller. As Chacao is one the wealthiest municipalities in Venezuela, total revenue dwarfs federal funds received. Therefore, removing 10% of its funds over an entire year greatly reduced the amount of money transferred to the Metropolitan District as compared to what had been originally budgeted.

Municipalities have the power to authorize additional allocations to their expense budgets by declaring total or partial annulments of excess budgetary funds not used in full.<sup>679</sup> In accordance with this law, Mayor López passed Resolution No. 14802 on October 25, 2002, which

\_

 $<sup>^{675}</sup>$  *Id.*, at ¶ 64 (external citations omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>676</sup> Chávez Opponent Charged With Influence Peddling, ASSOCIATED PRESS, Feb. 28, 2013, available at http://bigstory.ap.org/article/Chávez-opponent-charged-influence-peddling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>678</sup> Special Law on the Regime of the Metropolitan District of Caracas, art. 22, ordinal 4, 5; and *2011 Judgment of Inter-American Court*, *supra* note 29, at ¶ 65 (citing Judgment No. 912 of August 6, 2008, of the Political-Aministrative Chamber of the Supreme Tribunal of Justice, Case file No. 2005-5124).

<sup>679 2011</sup> Judgment of Inter-American Court, supra note 29, at ¶ 65.

"declared a partial shortage of some budget appropriations." The Chacao City Council then reallocated a portion of the money originally allocated for the Metropolitan District for things such as payment to the police and fire departments, teachers, and electricity, telephone, garbage, water and maintenance service. Mayor López publicly announced this reallocation of funds during a news conference.

As noted previously, prior to transferring the excess budgetary funds back into the Treasury, Mayor López consulted with the Municipal Comptroller of Chacao – which is an entity separate and independent from the Chacao Mayor's Office. The independent Chacao City Council also explicitly approved the reallocation of funds, which was required by law. Later, the Municipal Comptroller submitted a written report in support of these actions.<sup>681</sup>

Despite municipalities possessing the power to authorize additional allocations, and endorsement by two independent entities of this administrative decision to do so, the Office of Municipal Oversight within the Comptroller's Office ("Office of Municipal Oversight") – which is a Federal Executive agency – began an investigation on December 6, 2002, regarding the "use given to the resources [originally] destined for the Metropolitan Mayor[']s Office of Caracas."682 This investigation was commenced only when a member of the Chacao City Council made a complaint to the Office of Municipal Oversight. This council member was a member of the same political party as President Hugo Chávez and was politically opposed to Mayor López and his party.

On September 9, 2003, the Office of Municipal Oversight issued a report. 683 This report inaccurately stated that Chacao should have paid 10% of its tax revenue from 2001. Mayor López was not afforded the opportunity to give input or submit evidence that the correct procedure was followed. Subsequently, the Office of Municipal Oversight created administrative record No. 07-02-PI-2003-020 and ordered that legal notice be provided by López.<sup>684</sup> Six of the seven city council members were also investigated and declared administratively responsible, while the seventh member, who was a member of Chávez's party and called for the initial investigation, was never investigated.

On April 26, 2004, a Report on Results was issued by the Office of Municipal Oversight, which incorrectly found that the money originally allocated to the Metropolitan District "constitute[d] a legal obligation... by which they cannot be used for means distinct from those foreseen."685 The report also erroneously stated that Chacao should have paid 10% of their 2001 tax revenue in their 2002 budget to the Metropolitan District.

The administrative case was then transferred to another office within the Comptroller General, the Office of Determination of Responsibility of the General Office of Special Procedures

<sup>680</sup> Id., at ¶ 66 (citing Official Letter DA. 3255.10.2002 and DA. 3253.10.2022 signed by López Mendoza on October 28, 2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>681</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 171 (citing Note No. CMDC/GL/776, Nov. 18, 2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>682</sup> Id., at ¶ 67 (quoting Official Letter No. 07-02-4457 of December 6, 2002, from the Office of Municipal Oversight to the Municipality of Chacao).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>683</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 68.

 $<sup>^{684}</sup>$  *Id.*, at ¶ 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>685</sup> Id., at ¶ 71 (quoting Report on Results of April 26, 2004, issued by the Office of Municipal Oversight).

of the Comptroller General ("Office of Determination of Responsibility"), which is within the same Federal agency as the Office of Municipal Oversight. A public hearing was held on October 26, 2004. On November 2, 2004, the Office of Determination of Responsibility issued an order finding López administratively responsible for what it claimed was a flawed budget reallocation. The Office of Determination of Responsibility made no finding regarding the amount owed to the Metropolitan District. The order solely regarded the decision to reassign money to the general fund within the treasury and then reallocate this money for other purposes.

Consequently, López was fined Bs. 8,140,000, equivalent at the time to U.S. \$4,239.58.<sup>687</sup> López appealed the decision to the Venezuelan Supreme Court. Almost a year after the original order, on September 26, 2005, the Office of Determination of Responsibility, acting in response to a directive issued by the Comptroller General's Office imposed an "accessory sanction" on López, disqualifying him from public office for a period of six years.<sup>688</sup> López was also charged with embezzlement on May 8, 2005. This criminal case is still open and ongoing.

López appealed the fine, administrative responsibility, and political disqualification, and requested to have these suspended pending the Supreme Court's review and decision on his case. The Supreme Court denied this request and took two years to act on López's appeal, longer than is permissible under Venezuelan law. Ultimately, the Venezuelan Supreme Court upheld the decision by the Office of Determination of Responsibility on August 5 and 6, 2008.<sup>689</sup> Over the course of these events, López was never accused of receiving any of the reallocated money, nor was he ever charged or convicted in a court of law. López was only found to be administratively responsible on dubious administrative procedural grounds that were contrary to law.

As the 2008 election season approached, the Comptroller General forwarded a list of hundreds of people disqualified from holding public office for administrative reasons to the National Electoral Council (*Consejo Nacional Electoral* or CNE), the vast majority of whom were opposition politicians and office holders. As a result, on July 21, 2008, CNE approved a law barring citizens on this list from running for office in the November 2008 election. Leopoldo López was on this list. López's disqualification went into effect in 2008, allowing him to finish his term as mayor, but prohibiting him to run for office in the November 2008 elections. López had planned to run for Mayor of Caracas. Polling suggested that he stood to receive between 65 and 70% of the vote. As a result, on July 21, 2008, CNE approved a law barring citizens on this list. López's disqualification went into effect in 2008, allowing him to finish his term as mayor, but prohibiting him to run for office in the November 2008 elections. López had planned to run for Mayor of Caracas. Polling suggested that he stood to receive between 65 and 70% of the vote.

Despite reallocating the money in accordance with law, the facts clearly indicate that this action against Leopoldo López was politically motivated and designed to remove him as an opposition figure. This conclusion is evident from the following facts: López's decision to reallocate the money was legal and endorsed by two independent entities (Municipal Comptroller

 $^{688}$  *Id.*, at ¶ 81.

109

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>686</sup> *Id.*, at ¶¶ 66, 76.

 $<sup>^{687}</sup>$  *Id.*, at ¶ 78.

 $<sup>^{689}</sup>$  *Id.*, at ¶ 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>690</sup> See generally Id., at ¶ 91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>691</sup> *Id.*, at ¶ 91 (noting "Article 9 of the regulation stated: "[the] following may not run for a position through popular election...[t]hose who committed acts enshrined in Article 65 of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and the other laws of the Republic... [t]hose who are subject to civil interdiction or disqualification").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>692</sup> Inter-American Court Hears Leopoldo López Case, supra note 15.

and Chacao City Council); López was never found to have engaged in corruption or to have personally used the public money in question; López was never charged or found guilty in a court of law; the Supreme Court denied López's request to suspend his political disbarment until it made its ruling two years later, in violation of López's due process rights; the CNE passed a law barring López from running for office; and finally, the Supreme Court upheld López's political ban. These events thus all resulted in Leopoldo López not being able to run for office in 2008 for a position that polls show he would have won, and thus these actions are emblematic of the Venezuelan Government's relentless efforts to eliminate López as a political opponent.

### Decision of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (2011)

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights heard the case *Leopoldo López Mendoza v. the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela* on March 1-2, 2011.<sup>693</sup> Both administrative orders discussed above were under review.<sup>694</sup> On September 1, 2011, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACHR) ruled that Venezuela, "through its competent bodies, particularly the National Electoral Council (CNE), must ensure that the sanction of disqualification is not an impediment to Leopoldo López Mendoza in the election in which he wishes to register as a candidate."<sup>695</sup> The decision by the court was unanimous.<sup>696</sup>

The IACHR based its decision under Article 23(2) of the American Convention on Human Rights, which states that only the conviction of a crime may be used to disqualify someone from running for and holding public office.<sup>697</sup> The Court also found a violation of Article 8(1). This article reads: "Every person has the right to a hearing, with due guarantees and within a reasonable time, by a competent, independent, and impartial tribunal ... in the substantiation of any accusation of a criminal nature ... or for the determination of his rights and obligations." President Hugo Chávez immediately dismissed the ruling, stating, "What value can that Court have? For me, it means nothing, zero."

### Decision of the Supreme Justice Tribunal of Venezuela (2011)

On October 17, 2011, the Supreme Court held that the decision by the Inter-American Court on Human Rights was unenforceable.<sup>700</sup> Perplexingly, the Supreme Court claimed that technically López would be able to run for, but not hold office, and therefore was still allowed to

110

---

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>693</sup> *Id.* and Javier El-Hage, *Leopoldo López v. Venezuela: A Case Not About Venezuela*, AMERICAS QUARTERLY, Apr. 4, 2011, *available at* http://www.americasquarterly.org/node/2369.

<sup>694</sup> Leopoldo López v. Venezuela: A Case Not About Venezuela, supra note 693.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>695</sup> 2011 Judgment of Inter-American Court, supra note 29, at ¶ 217.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>696</sup> 2011 Judgment of Inter-American Court, supra note 29, at ¶ 249.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>697</sup> Inter-American Human Rights Court Hears Leopoldo López Case, supra note 15 and Leopoldo López v. Venezuela: A Case Not About Venezuela, supra note 693.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>698</sup> 2011 Judgment of Inter-American Court, supra note 29, at ¶ 217.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>699</sup> Carlos Camacho, *After Court Ruling, Lopez to Run for Venezuela President*, LATIN AMERICAN HERALD TRIBUNE, last visited Apr 29, 2014, *available at* http://www.laht.com/article.asp?CategoryId=10717&ArticleId=426123.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>700</sup> Lopez Vows to Challenge Chávez Despite Ban, AL JAZEERA, Oct. 19, 2011, available at http://www.aljazeera.com/news/americas/2011/10/2011101921536934227.html.

participate politically.<sup>701</sup> However, then-Comptroller General Adelina Gonzalez "warned that López might be committing fraud if he runs a presidential campaign given the measures against him."<sup>702</sup>

### 2. Court Proceedings on Alleged "Coup Plotting" (2004)

As discussed above in Section A(1), at no point was López a proponent of the 2002 attempted coup. The extent of his involvement was participating in public protests that occurred days before the attempted coup, and acting on a detention order and search warrant for the Minister of the Interior and Justice, Ramón Rodríguez Chacín, that was issued by Judge Monica Fernández of the 39th Control Court, in a way that also provided him with brief and temporary protection.

Nevertheless, the Government charged Leopoldo López for involvement in the coup, and he was charged in November 2004 with illegal detention and burglary. He was also charged with instigation, civil rebellion, and conspiracy for his alleged involvement with the coup. These charges were made as a consequence of the protests that took place at Plaza Altamira Square where more than 100 members of the military made public statements and speeches against the government. Though López had no involvement with the coup and had acted within his rights by following the detention order for the Minister of the Interior and Justice, the Government used the 2002 coup as an excuse to punish and silence political opposition leaders such as Mayor López.

However, on December 31, 2007, President Hugo Chávez approved an amnesty law for those involved in the detention of Minister of the Interior and Justice, and for those who were accused of instigation of crime and rebellion up until 2007. At this point all coup-based criminal charges against López were dropped. López, through his counsel, opposed the granting of amnesty to him and wished to continue with the case in court so he could be declared not guilty, but the court dismissed the charges against him.

### 3. Acts of Violence against Leopoldo López

In addition to these civil and criminal court actions aimed at disqualifying López as a political opponent, there have been a series of violent attacks against López. In at least some of these, the attackers used government equipment.

On May 24, 2002 López was attacked in *Puerto La Cruz*. One of his companions was injured and López's vehicle sustained damage. The attackers arrived in official City Hall vehicles. On June 25, 2002, members of *Primero Justicia* were attacked at the Central University of Venezuela. The attackers identified themselves as members of *Grupo M-28* of the *Coordinadora Simón Bolivar*, and *Grupo Utopia*. López's vehicle was shot 12 times. On June 6, 2003, López was at the University of Zulia in Maracaibo City when eight men broke into the room where López was present. These men were armed with automatic rifles, tear gas, and smoke bombs. Two students were shot and wounded. One of these students, Germán Petzol, was a member of *Primero* 

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>701</sup> *Id.* and Girish Gupta, *Chávez Opponent Can Run for President, but Can't Take Office, Says Court*, CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR, Oct. 19, 2011, *available at* http://www.csmonitor.com/World/Americas/2011/1019/Chávez-opponent-can-run-for-president-but-can-t-take-office-says-court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>702</sup> Lopez Vows to Challenge Chávez Despite Ban, supra note 700.

*Justicia*. Additionally, as previously mentioned in Section A(1) above, in April 2006, López's police escort was killed in an apparent attempt on López's life. Carlos Mendoza, a police officer from the Chacao police force was seated in a car seat where López typically sat. Carlos Mendoza was shot more than 12 times. Finally, on October 2, 2006, López was held as a hostage for several hours by a group of masked men. López had been with a group of students at the University of Carabobo when roughly 20 masked individuals with guns and tear gas entered the University. These men wore shirts that indicated they were members of the political party *Podemos*, and some of them were driving government vehicles.<sup>703</sup>

These violent attacks against his person – along with the false charges and court proceedings – clearly demonstrate that the Government views Leopoldo López as a political threat, and it is therefore attempting to undermine his opposition by all means possible.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>703</sup> Secuestrado por Varias Horas Alcalde Leopoldo López en la Universidad de Carabobo, GLOBOVISION, Feb. 10, 2006, available at http://globovision.com/articulo/secuestrado-por-varias-horas-alcalde-leopoldo-lopez-en-la-universidad-de-carabobo (translated from Spanish).

# <u>Appendix II – Leopoldo López's Speeches (January 23, 2014, February 12, 2014, February 16, 2014, and February 18, 2014; Opening Statement to Court, June 2, 2014)</u>

### Speech by Leopoldo López at Political Assembly in Plaza Brión – January 23, 2014

Thank you very, very much, thanks to all of the Venezuelans who are listening to us today, who are watching us, who are accompanying us, Venezuelans who are in all of the corners of national territory, Venezuelans who know that Venezuela needs a change, who know that we have to move through a change of system, not only a change of government, a change of model.

Today is January 23rd, every January 23rd we celebrate something. Today we want to remember the essence of the rebellion of a people, the essence that the peoples can rise up against oppression, the essence that the peoples have the right when faced with a government that seeks imposition, authoritarianism, anti-democracy, corruption, and inefficiency as a form of government.

Today, January 23rd, which the government claims to also be celebrating, we who are in the opposition also celebrate it. We must be clear about what it is that is being celebrated: in this way do we celebrate the beginning of democracy, but on January 23, 1958 what happened was that a people rose up, that a people revolted, that a people said 'enough, already!' that a people said 'from the streets we must go out to conquer democracy.'

Democracy in '58 was not conquered by itself, it was conquered after years of struggle, years of resistance, of political prisoners, of dead, of persecution, of deception, of militarism, and of anti-democracy and today, years later, more than 50 years later, Venezuela is also subjugated in the same ways it was subjugated in 1958: it is subjugated by a government that claims to hold the truth in its hands, it is subjugated by a government that utilizes power to subjugate, it is subjugated by a government that utilizes lies, it is subjugated by a government that utilizes intimidation, jail, authoritarianism, the police in seeking to quiet a people. We, from the windows where they are listening to us, from the media where they may be hearing us, from the radios, television, the print media that may come out tomorrow, we invite the Venezuelan people to lift up their fighting spirit.

To lift up our fighting spirit and today we say it as Venezuelans who are worried about what is happening and we want to say it very clearly: we are opposed to this government, we are opposed to this system, we are opposed to everything that represents anti-democracy, we are opposed to an economic model that has subjugated the people. We are opposed to the fact that in the years of the greatest petroleum boom – this year, 2014, is the 100<sup>th</sup> year of being an oil country and in the 100 years of being an oil country this has been the strongest boom – the price of petroleum has been above 90 dollars during the last eight years but paradoxically these years [have been the ones] with the highest inflation, highest rates of unemployment, of lines, of shortages, of unemployment, and of lack of opportunities. There are no adolescents in Venezuela today who are not thinking about the possibility of leaving Venezuela; there are no adolescents in Venezuela today who are not thinking about the uncertainty of their attending university in the future, and knowing that they will not have employment opportunities; there are no youth in Venezuela today who are thinking about the possibility of taking to the streets and being peaceful; and if the youth harbor these worries, then so do the parents, so do their grandparents, so does the people who know that there is no future with this government. We Venezuelans are committed to change, we are committed to democracy, to the Constitution, but above all we are committed to the people and we want to tell

the Venezuelans that the government will do what it wants to do, that the government will do everything it can at its disposal: utilize the oil resource that belongs to all Venezuelans to subjugate us, utilize its intimidation to seek to quiet the media, utilize the police to intimidate us. But they will not quiet our fighting spirit, they will not suppress our rebelliousness of wanting to change what today signifies a government of oppression, a government of anti-democracy, a government that is profoundly inefficient, and a government that is responsible for all of the ills that we are experiencing today.

The government is the one responsible for the economic crisis; the government is the one responsible for the insecurity; the government is the one responsible for the despair; the government is the one responsible for the lack of opportunities; and being as it is that the government – and we are not just talking about the executive [branch] but rather about the system – is the one responsible for all of the ills being endured by the Venezuelan people, we assume our responsibility, which is to make a call to struggle, to fight, to dream, to dream with optimism but also to dream with the strength of knowing that we are entering a new stage of risks because the government continues to take root through its aims of persecution.

We do not care how the government describes us, because they are not the owners of the truth. For us it is important that the people want change. Today we call on Venezuelans – women, men, adolescents, people of prolonged youth [i.e. senior citizens], indigenous people, creoles, whites, and blacks, women and men – to rise up in the face of the meaning of a government that wants to drive pressure on our people.

And what does rising up mean? So that they won't misinterpret us and say words that we are not saying, an 'uprising/revolt' ['alzamiento'] means first and foremost the rising to consciousness, the rising up of our fighting spirit, the rising up to our vocation for change. The uprising that today, January 23<sup>rd</sup>, we must demand, is that a people can take to the streets, ever since peoples have been peoples, ever since history has been history, this right has existed for peoples to say 'we want to change and we want to change.'

We do not agree with the economic model that is being driven by the government. Last week Nicolás Maduro said that he does not devalue [the currency], and yesterday they devalued the currency by practically 400%. The government says that the parties responsible for the violence are the television soap operas ['telenovelas'] and cartoons/comic strips ['comiquitas'], and the Attorney General of the Republic, in her presentation to the National Assembly, does not speak of impunity. The government says that the economic war is the responsibility of the businesspersons and producers, when the reality is that today we Venezuelans are suffering the worst [effects] of bad economic management because of the government, and it is for that reason that we invite the Venezuelan people, to all who desire change, to all who wish for Venezuela to be able to improve, to all who dream of a Venezuela in peace, of a Venezuela with wellbeing, of a Venezuela with progress, to all Venezuelans who know that we can be better off, to all Venezuelans who know that we can have a country of opportunities, a country of employment, of progress, a country of justice, of equality before the law, a country of justice, a country in which they kill a mother's son when she discovers that a public prosecutor has not found another criminal who has asked him for 15,000, 20,000 Bolivares to see if his child's case might be processed, a Venezuela in which the judges can treat everyone equally, a Venezuela in which democracy is the essence of all of the

rights for all persons, all of the rights for all of the people, not some of the rights for some of the people.

Today in Venezuela there is a corrupt upper echelon of leadership. Today in Venezuela there is an elite that has hijacked the Venezuelan State, an elite that has become multimillionaires, an elite that behind the backs of the people has robbed it of all of the wealth belonging to the Venezuelans, and that elite that today is governing and that refers to themselves as revolutionaries, that refers to themselves as the agents of change, are the ones responsible for the ills endured by our people, and it is for that reason that we are not going to renounce our right, our sacrosanct right to say 'enough, already!', our sacrosanct right to say, as Betancourt said back in the 1950s, that we will be in the streets, that we will converge with the people, that we will converge with the strength of a people that wants to change. And we know that this announcement will be presented by the government as a call to something different than the consolidation of democracy. But we tell Venezuelans, let's not get sucked into deceptions, that it does not matter to us how the government wants to interpret what we are saying, because for us what is important is the Venezuelan people, that people that wants change, that people that wants a better Venezuela, that people that today is frustrated, that has been driven to despair, that appears to not perceive a way out of the disaster to which we are subjected today. We tell Venezuelans that it is possible, it is possible to have a better Venezuela, it is possible but we need you, we need you, sister, we need you, brother, we need you, but first and foremost with the conviction, with the conviction of your soul, with the conviction of your actions.

And it is for that reason that we call on the Venezuelan people to say 'enough, already!' We do not know when change will come about, we do not know if it will be in one month, in one year, in two years – but what we do know is that if we do not begin today, that change will not come, that if we do not begin today that change will never come to the Venezuelans' doors of destiny. And it is for that reason, and it is for that reason, that we today on the 23<sup>rd</sup> of January, there is no date, there is no more appropriate date to make a statement such as this one, where today we celebrate the people's revolt, where today we celebrate the street as a space for struggle, where today we celebrate the strength of a people that was dominated, that was crushed, that was despairing over the imposition of a government that sold significant changes within the economic sphere, that sold a supposed stability, but that below at the level of the people the essence and liberty were lacking, the freedom to be able to say and do what we wanted, to be able to say and do what was on our consciences.

Today Venezuela is suppressed by the darkness, and the sunlight is in our hands, the sunlight of a better future is in the hands of the women and men who know that we can change, and that is why we are aware that there are different spaces for struggle, but that there is one which we will not renounce, and that is the street. And in this sense we want to tell Venezuelans that as of this moment we are going to initiate a cycle of street assemblies throughout national territory, street assemblies with one goal, with one topic to discuss: the exit.

What is the exit to this disaster? We believe that calling for a political exit is not only done with political organizations, it is not done only in a restricted space. That discussion regarding where Venezuela needs to go needs to convene a people, we have to listen to the Venezuelan people, we have to debate, we have to incorporate the Venezuelan people's sentiments of frustration and vocation for change. It is for that reason that we in these street assemblies that we want to hold

and which will be held on national territory, in the cities, in the towns, in the neighborhoods, in the developments, we are going to debate this, the exit.

And what is the exit that we are proposing? We are aware that the exit must be, first and foremost, popular, popular with the people, people, people, persons who want an exit, persons who want to be the strength of a people seeking change. Secondly, a democratic exit, and thirdly, an exit within the Constitution. The Constitution offers us various tools and we will debate with the people which of these tools is the most appropriate, which of those tools can channel us toward a change as soon as possible, toward the most profound kind of change, the most democratic, and that enable us to make progress toward a better Venezuela.

Next Sunday, the 2<sup>nd</sup> of February, we will have a national day of street assemblies, a national assembly that we want and that will be repeated throughout national territory, and in these street assembly days we will stimulate this debate, this dialogue, this encounter with the people that wants change, this encounter with a people that knows that we can be better off, the dialogue with the Venezuelans who want change, the dialogue with the Venezuelans who know that there is no justification for someone who goes to a hospital and is told that there are no supplies, the dialogue with a people that knows that there is no justification for having to stand in line for seven hours to get two chickens, the people that knows that there is no justification for having a family member killed and receiving no response, the people that knows that there is no justification for having a government that robs, robs, and robs, and nothing happens, the dialogue with a people that knows that we have an obligation to point out those who are at fault, to point out those who are responsible.

But we also have the obligation to lead toward a change, and that change must convene millions. And we are millions, there are millions of us Venezuelans who want change, there are millions of us Venezuelans who are conscious that today Venezuela is not on the right path, that today Venezuela needs to change, and thus Venezuela [sic].

Sisters, brothers throughout national territory who are listening to us, wherever you are listening to us: we invite you to go out onto the streets for this debate, for this encounter, for these street assemblies. Do not wait for us to convene you. Go out onto the streets for this debate, in your development, in your neighborhood, in your small village, with your people at work, in all corners, in the small car, in the metro, in the street: go out to speak about the exit. Venezuela needs an exit.

We do not know what chance we'll have in the future; what we do know is that today we have the chance to issue this statement; that today, January 23, 2014, we assume the responsibility for the fighting spirit that opened the doors to democracy in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century and that today we have that same responsibility to light the flame of the strength of the people that knows that we be much better off. Hand in hand with the people, hand in hand with the women and men of the struggle, hand in hand with the youth, with students, with workers, with the victims of violence, hand in hand with those who have been driven to despair but who want hope, hand in hand with those who do not see the change but who have in their hearts the vocation for things to change, we will proceed to create this strength, this strength for change to which we call the entire Venezuelan people.

Thank you so very much, brothers of Venezuela, sisters of Venezuela. Today more than ever, today more than ever, today more than ever we encourage the vocation for a peaceful struggle, popular, constitutional, and democratic. This is the message that we bring to Venezuelans and will replicate in all of the corners of national territory.

To the struggle, Venezuelan people! To the struggle, Venezuelan people, with strength and faith to affect the change that we Venezuelans know we deserve! Many, many thanks!

### Speech of Leopoldo López at the Rally at Plaza Venezuela – February 12, 2014

A very good day to you, a very good day to you Venezuela, a good day to those of us who are in Caracas and those who are throughout Venezuela. Today this demonstration is being held throughout national territory; we are tens of thousands, we will be hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans who seek political change in Venezuela. Long live Venezuela! Long live Venezuela! Long live the women and men who today are convinced that Venezuela must change!

And I would like to start by recognizing the Venezuelan youth who today are in the streets, but most especially those who have been suppressed, those who are imprisoned today, those who have been hurt by bullets, those who have been repressed by security officers, by the army, by the police, and by the government's irregular groups. We want to tell those youth that they are not alone: their parents, their grandparents, and all of Venezuela are with the Venezuelan youth.

Today, Youth Day, we should be celebrating a Venezuela full of opportunities, we should be celebrating the young people of the future, but unfortunately today our youth ask themselves: 'What will I do in the future here in this homeland?' And today we want to demand the right that the youth and all Venezuelans have to struggle, to be in the street, and to build a future that is being robbed from us today.

Unfortunately, today Venezuela is living through one of the worst moments in our history: shortages, lines, salaries are not sufficient [for people's needs], insecurity, impunity, drugtrafficking inside the government, corruption, the hand-over of our country to foreign interests. Today we are living through all of these problems during a dark moment of our country. The shortages, inflation, insecurity, lack of opportunities have a culprit: the government. It has a group that is responsible for everything that is happening. What a contradiction, brothers and sisters: in the midst of the biggest oil boom in the history of Venezuela, we have the highest inflation; in the midst of this oil boom we have the greatest shortages; in the midst of this oil boom we have the highest rate of unemployment of our youth. And do you know why? Because here in Venezuela, they intend to install – and an incorrect model has been making progress – a model that, it's not that it is getting us closer to a cliff but rather, Venezuela is falling over a cliff.

We are doing it first and foremost with a patriotic consciousness, nationalistic, we the parents who know that if things continue as they are, there will not be a future for our people, and we are doing it with the awareness that the Constitution provides various options for producing political change. I invite you to continue with us in the street convincing the people that it is indeed possible to change. There are options in the Constitution: there is resignation, there is the *revocatorio* [recall election], there is the *constituyente* [Constituent Assembly]. But, do you know what? All of these

mechanisms, which are vehicles that appear in the Constitution, are secondary, secondary to that which is of primary importance, to the individuals, to the people, to the street, to the street, and to the protest; because it is in the street, it is in the street and with the protest in the street that we will be able to activate an exit from this disaster.

Think about how we got here, the convening announcement traveling by word of mouth through social networks. But here we are, and we are in all of Venezuela, and this is going to continue to grow and grow and grow until we are millions in the street, millions of women and men in the streets of Venezuela demanding our rights and our commitment to political change. As we said in Chacaíto, each person will look for five and 10 [other people]. I tell each of you who are here today assembled that each one of you, that each of you who are here today and who are attending the rallies throughout Venezuela, let us understand that change is within you, let us understand that change is within each one of us, each of us must be an active conscience, an active medium for communication, an ongoing source of information, and when we grow and become millions in the street, we will achieve what we are seeking, which is political change in Venezuela. But that will happen because we are being very clear that we are not willing to give up on those who are being abused.

Today the young people have issued a call to go to the Office of the Attorney General with a very concrete goal of declaring that they will remain in the street with a protest that will grow ever more determined, ever more potent, until the prisoners of Táchira, Nueva Esparta, and Mérida are freed. I want, I want to celebrate the unity in the street, I want to celebrate and ratify that those of us whose second home is the 'alianza unitaria' [united alliance'] are united, we are all committed to change. Let's not get it wrong by seeking adversaries on the sides, let's not get it wrong by sowing noise where there is none; our adversary is the government, it is Maduro, and it is the government authorities that have been hijacked. This is a struggle by the people against the State. This is a struggle of millions against those who have hijacked the power that should belong to the Venezuelan people. It will not be easy. We are not inviting you [with the idea that] the exit will be generated in one or two days. We are inviting you to [join] the struggle, we are inviting you to take to the street, we are inviting you to be a part of this change, and I want to tell you that this invitation is not an invitation devoid of risks. We all are going to assume the necessary risks for confronting a government that intends to silence us.

Yesterday the government, which wants to disregard the fact that there are millions who are against them, attempted to belittle this convening announcement via national [television] channels by branding this convening announcement as violent, trying to sow fear so that the people would not come. But I am told that not only are we comprised of those of us who are here, but that the crowd reaches all the way to Sabana Grande and throughout Venezuela. And throughout Venezuela today the vocation for change is being given expression. This is a struggle of all Venezuelans, of the middle class and of the poorest, of those who suffer in the lines and do not receive an answer, of those who suffer from the insecurity and do not receive an answer, of those who suffer from the suffocation of not having a future and do not receive an answer. And as they say, 'We are not afraid.' We are not afraid of being in the street and remaining in the street. I ask you, brothers and brothers [sic], can this be done or not? Let it be heard: can this be done or not? Well, I would like, I would like . . . 'Yes it can be done, yes it can be done.' I would like to ask all of you who are here today that we assume a commitment to continue to multiply our numbers, to continue growing, to continue making progress in the conquest of this political change that belongs

to us. And I ask you, that we raise our right hands and say: "We, male and female Venezuelans, committed to our history of struggling for freedom, committed today, Youth Day, to the future of our children, we assume the commitment of having a vocation for change, the dedication and determination until we achieve political change, the social change that Venezuela deserves. Long live Venezuela! Long live the future of Venezuela! Long live our youth.'

And let us go out now, let us go out to walk with conviction, with strength, assuming [the path of] non-violence. Our territory is the street, our struggle is non-violent. May God bless you. Many, many thanks.

## Speech of Leopoldo López at Rally Outside Office of the Attorney General – February 12, 2014

Today there has been a massive response, and not only what we are seeing in Caracas, and not only what is going to be picked up by the regional media, but also the information that we have of what is happening in towns, in all of the cities, in neighborhoods, in small villages throughout Venezuela. Today Venezuela is in the street, today Venezuela is in the street asking for change, today Venezuela is in the street saying, 'enough, already!' Enough already with the abuse, enough already with the lies, enough already with the manipulation.

Today Venezuela, the youth convened, their parents joined, their siblings, their grandparents . . . We are in the street because we are convinced that we can have a much better country than the one we have. We want to say that one's struggle is everyone's struggle. We are here today to ask for the release of the students, there are more than 20 students who have been detained, who have been tortured, who have been manipulated, who have been forced to sign blank sheets of paper where they later pieced together accusations and fragments of information in an attempt to inculpate ['montar ollas'] them, and thus we issue the alert. And the chant that is being said today at the Office of the Attorney General is, "Maduro, you coward, free these student prisoners." Why is this being cried out at the Office of the Attorney General? Because no one has any doubt that the person who issues the orders here at the Office of the Attorney General is Maduro, because the governmental authorities here have no autonomy, because here the Attorney General, the comptroller, the Human Rights Ombudsman ['Defensora del Pueblo'], the CNE [National Electoral Council], and the courts depend on the government and on a political agenda of twisting [human] rights, twisting justice based on their interests.

This movement that is in the street today will continue to grow. I want to say that this doesn't, that this doesn't remain here. Last Sunday, February 2 we were thousands, today we are tens of thousands, I would dare say hundreds of thousands throughout the country, and this movement will continue to grow, this movement assumes that one's struggle is everyone's struggle.

Yesterday we were with press workers, today with the students. We will be with the workers of Guayana, with the victims of violence, with all those who are in the street protesting. We call on them, [saying] let's unite and create a single strength, a single voice, a single fighting spirit, and a single commitment: [to affect] change in Venezuela.

That is a demonstration of Maduro's cowardice and fear. I imagine that they are hurling that insinuation at me, no? I say now, look, Maduro, Diosdado, and to all of the people who accompanies him, because they are a small elite who see themselves as owners of the country. That does not scare us, nor do we lose sleep over it, among other things because this is not the moment for anyone's candidacy, this is the moment to struggle for political and social change in Venezuela. Despite the threats – look, bring out your uniforms, bring out your epaulettes, your planes, your submarines, your rifles, your pistols, bring out your drug-trafficking and bring out your corruption – we are not afraid, we will not retreat in conquering change in Venezuela, because it is Constitutional, because it belongs to us, because we are millions who are seeking it and are proceeding step by step. The commitment to freeing the students, the youth who have been tortured and imprisoned, is a commitment we will not renounce, and the government should know this. This protest will escalate, it will grow, and we will achieve the objectives we have set for ourselves.

Thus, today I want to highlight, I want to highlight that we have come peacefully, as you can see. Here there is no vocation for violence. There is irreverence, yes, there is also determination, but you in the media can emphasize that there has been no violence. Now then, when is there violence? When they bring out the law enforcement officers, when they bring out the police, the guards, and the army, and when they bring out the collective groups that take their orders from the government, as occurred yesterday in Mérida when the Tupamaros fell heavily on the students. Today we want to send a message to the soldiers, to the soldiers of the Bolivarian Armed Forces, we want to send a message to the National Guard, to the police officers, to the public prosecutors, and to the members of the collectives: Do not follow orders or instructions to destroy the people. You do not have to repress the people. You must wear that uniform with valor and in adherence to the Constitution and the law.

Look, nothing has happened here because no group has come from the government or from law enforcement. We leave here in peace, taking on the conquest of the objective that we are setting for ourselves. And this protest will continue to grow, every day it will grow. This is a national sentiment, a popular force. One's struggle is everyone's struggle.

Who are the collectives that are in the UCV [Central University of Venezuela] today kidnapping students at gunpoint? They are the ones that are violent. What is happening now is that the government brings out chains to criminalize us. What we have is this small window of communication with you to be able our message [sic]. We are committed to this massive, popular struggle. We are millions, this wave will continue to grow, let no one doubt that. This strength will continue to grow every day until we achieve the objective we have set for ourselves, which is political change.

The immediate release of those who have been tortured, of the prisoners, and the [lifting of the] repression that has occurred in recent days during the peaceful demonstrations of the students and the people who are in the street: this is the complete demand. And they should know that we are not going to leave the street, they should know that we are not going to rest until we achieve what we are proposing, which is political change in the country.

Up to now, the information we have is that there are tens of thousands, I would dare say hundreds of thousands, of Venezuelans in the streets. Just in Caracas alone there are more than 50,000, I

would dare say almost 60,000, 70,000 persons who came out today non-violently. That same thing is happening in the capitals, but also in the small villages. Venezuela woke up. What did Maduro expect? What did the government expect? What did the crooks expect who today are in charge of the governmental authorities? That the people would not awaken? The people has already awoken, it is in the street, we are determined, we are convinced that we are going to make progress toward conquering the change that Venezuela needs and deserves. Many thanks.

We will be announcing day by day which they are going to be, but what I can tell you is that what we are seeing here will grow, this is a wave that will grow, this is a wave that will grow every day, week by week, until we achieve what [the objective] we have set for ourselves, which is, with the Constitution in hand, with the people in the street, in a non-violent fashion, to open a door to guide the country toward an exit from the disaster that Nicolás Maduro and his government represent.

The next actions will be in the street, street, assemblies, it will entail accompanying all of the protests, it will be a coming together of all of the social movements that are in the street with the determination to create one strength from the strength of them all, a single strength with the same determination. Many thanks.

### Words from Press Conference Where Leopoldo López Denounces Violence February 12, 2014

First and foremost, our condolences to the family members of the two Venezuelans who died today, our most sincere condolences go out to them, to their family members, regardless of what their political ideology was. Today, despite all of the predictions, despite a brutal campaign mounted by the government over the last four days to sow fear, radio stations and television channels sowing fear in Venezuelans so that they would not take to the streets, lying, manipulating. Hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans took to the streets, hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans, because it wasn't only in Caracas; it was throughout Venezuela that women and men came out [to the streets], committed to the cause, a better country, a country that we know is being hijacked by a small group of women and men who are manipulating power, who manipulate the institutions, who manipulate the truth.

Those Venezuelans who came out throughout national territory did so courageously, knowing that there were threats on the part of the government, knowing that the call we put out was a call to convene peacefully, non-violently. And that is the way it was, the demonstration that today brought together thousands of individuals in Plaza Venezuela. In that way did we walk to the Office of the Attorney General: in peace, non-violently. We were there in that way at the Office of the Attorney General for several hours, protesting, demonstrating, but in peace and non-violently; and yes, with irreverence in our thoughts and in our hearts, but never with violence.

Now there are some questions that we believe are fundamental that remain today in the minds of Venezuelans. Why wasn't there a clear police presence, if they knew that we were going to go to the Office of the Attorney General? If the permit ran up to the Office of the Attorney General, why, in contrast to what has transpired for 15 years in various demonstrations, were there no law enforcement officers at the edges of the demonstration as established by the guidelines? Why, after one-and-one-half hours did a squad of hundreds of law enforcement officers appear one block

away from the Office of the Attorney General, but with armed groups behind them? Why do uniformed law enforcement officers attack those who are in front of them, when there are armed groups behind them? Why, if when we withdrew in a peaceful manner, as Mayor Ledezma has stated [and] was recorded in the media, we withdrew and the thousands of people who were there withdrew, and a small group of 30 people stayed there and began to throw rocks and bottles at the Office of the Attorney General, why, why if there was a squad of more than 300 law enforcement officers less than 50 meters away, did they do nothing? The answer to that is because it involved a plan, an orchestrated plan, a plan conceived in the communications being bombarded by the State for several days now that intensified yesterday with [television and/or radio] channels directly criminalizing us, [a plan] that was executed today.

Now then, times have changed and the truth will come out, and the truth is present in the tens, and I dare say hundreds, of photographs that the people took. There are photos of the armed groups, there are videos of the squads who looked on as mere spectators when they [persons] were destroying the Office of the Attorney General with rocks. There are photos of uniformed officers firing a mansalva [liberally, at close range, without fear of reprisal], there are photos that might be able to link those who had weapons with the ammunitions that today ended the lives of two Venezuelans. Times have changed and the truth is there. I call on the media who were here today, I call on the Venezuelans who recorded [the incidents] in photographs, in videos, to make them public, to present them, because therein exists the defense of the truth. This is a fragile government, and it is fragile because it is anti-democratic, corrupt, and inefficient. Its fragility is displayed through the [television] channels today, its fragility was displayed through the [television] channels yesterday, its fragility is displayed by Maduro when he tries to tell us Venezuelans that he is going to suspend guarantees through extrajudicial means and that we won't do anything. Today Maduro tells us Venezuelans that he is suspending the street demonstrations – on account of what? That is a suspension of a guarantee without openly declaring it as such, executing it in order to sow fear.

I would like to tell Nicolás Maduro and the head of governmental authority that we are not afraid: we are on the right side of history, we are on the right side of the truth, we are on the right side of justice, we are on the right side of the people, we are the side of the poor, of the excluded ones, of those who have to stand in line, of those who do not receive answers regarding so much insecurity, of those who are victims and are the recipients of more impunity, of the youth who have no future.

Today [is] the 12<sup>th</sup> of February, Youth Day; we have youth who not dream in Venezuela because the government has robbed them of their future. We are on the side of those who wish to dream of a better Venezuela. We are on the side of women and men who know that we can have a much better country than we have today, and being on the side of truth, of justice, of the future, we also have the strength of the people to not retreat in our conditions.

They may threaten us, they may threaten us with their uniforms and with all of their epaulettes and with all of their sashes and with all of their military collars, with all of their symbols of power. They may threaten us with their small tanks, with threats of raids, they may threaten us with imprisonment, they may threaten us with their weapons or their airplanes or their submarines, they may threaten us with all of their violent speech. They may threaten us with their drug-trafficking, with their corruption. They may threaten us with all of the communicational power that they intend to utilize to divert the truth, but we will not retreat.

We will not retreat in our conviction to fight for a better Venezuela, to fight for a Venezuela that we know that we can and want to conquer. And I issue this call, most especially to those of you who have come out to walk in the streets of Venezuela today and to those who wanted to walk but for reason did not come out: "Let us not lose hope, let us not permit them to vanquish us in the only space wherein we cannot be vanquished – in the heart, in hope. We can never lose that battle, we can never lose that space, the strength we derive from hope, truth, and justice."

We know that difficult times are coming, we knew this, we know that the threats may turn into actions, we know that the government may continue to manipulate the law, the Constitution, and the institutions, but that makes us stronger because they are the weak ones, those who manipulate, those who assembled that macabre plan which had to be completed, as Maduro said, because Maduro announced it yesterday: go look up Maduro's statements, Maduro declared yesterday that there someone was going to die during this demonstration. What a coincidence, someone did indeed die and later another one in this demonstration. In light of the fact that Maduro said that yesterday, what information did Maduro have yesterday? And I directly point the finger: you are responsible, you and yours are responsible. I point the finger directly at the hijacked governmental authorities.

But I issue a call, a call to civil servants: do not be complicit, do not place yourselves on the wrong side of history, do not place themselves on the side of those who wish to defend the indefensible. To the public prosecutors, to the judges, to the police, to those in uniform, to the Venezuelans, we tell them: that at this time let us seek the truth. That at this time let us be with [on the side of] hope, with [on the side of] the future of knowing that together we can construct a better Venezuela.

And I want to end by saying, as Antonio said so well, as María Corina said so well, that we continue forward. There will be more convening announcements. The wave that began on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of February and that today was multiplied by 100, that wave will grow. They may threaten us, they may even imprison us, but this wave will continue to grow, because this is a wave with a collective spirit that knows that we need to change. Know this, Mr. Maduro: regardless what you do, this that has begun will not stop until change is conquered in peace and democracy for all Venezuelans.

Thank you very, very much, good evening.

# YouTube Video Wherein Leopoldo López Declares his Intent to Turn Himself In – February 16, 2014

I would like to thank you for all of the messages of support and solidarity you have given me during recent days. As I have said, I continue in Venezuela and will continue to fight for a better country. Naturally, I have taken a few days to think, to share with my family, and be able to make the best decision in these moments. As I have confirmed, I will continue to struggle in the streets and hand-in-hand with the Venezuelan people, and it is for that reason that this coming 18<sup>th</sup> [of February] I want to call on all of you: let us walk together from Plaza Venezuela where on February 12<sup>th</sup> a flame of hope for change awoke in favor of all Venezuelans, and that from there we walk to the Ministry of Interior and Justice, the place that has become the symbol of repression,

of persecution, of torture, and of lies, the place where we will bring very concrete demands: 1) clarification of the responsibility of the State in the homicides that occurred on 12 February; there are photos, videos, irrefutable evidence of what happened that day; 2) the immediate release of all citizens, especially the youth who have been persecuted and who are still imprisoned and continue to be tortured; 3) the ceasing of the repression and persecution of what is a right of all Venezuelans: the right to protest; [and] 4) the disarming once and for all of the paramilitary groups and collective groups that have been responsible for homicides, insecurity, the devastation and intimidation of the people while under the protection and hand of impunity of the Venezuelan State.

And lastly, I will be there to show my face. It has been said in recent days that they want to see me imprisoned. I will be there to show my face. I have nothing to fear, I have committed no crime. I have been a Venezuelan who is committed to our country, to our people, to the Constitution, and to our future. If there is a decision made to illegally imprison me, well I will be there to take on that persecution and that despicable decision by the State.

I want to tell all of you who want to accompany us that that day we must go out as we have always done, peacefully. I ask that this time let us go dressed in white so as to symbolize our commitment to peace. I will invite you to walk [with me] up to a certain point, and from there on I will go alone to deliver these demands to the Ministry of Interior and Justice. I do not want you to risk your lives or integrity, nor [do I want] the insecurity of any compatriot; but together we must show our faces in these moments. Sister, brother, today more than ever let us assume that the future belongs to us, that it is our responsibility to construct in this dark moment the window that can open onto a better future for our children, for all Venezuelans. We are on the right side of history, we are on the right side of justice, we are on the right side of the truth.

Strength and faith.	
We will see each other on Tuesday.	

# Speech Leopoldo López at Plaza Brión Just Prior to Arrest and Detainment – February 18, 2014

As you know, today in Venezuela, we're living dark times, where criminals are rewarded by the government, and those of us in Venezuela who want peaceful, democratic change following the constitution, are threatened with jail.

Today, I show my face before an unjust justice system, before a corrupt judiciary and before a justice system that does not pass judgments in accordance with the constitution and the laws. But today, I also offer you, Venezuelans, our deepest commitment that, if my imprisonment helps awaken our people, if it is good enough to finally make Venezuela wake up so that the majority of those of us who want change are able to affect that change peacefully and democratically, then this infamous imprisonment that Nicolás Maduro wants, so openly and so cowardly, then for me it will have been worth it. This is the biggest example of how there is no separation of powers in Venezuela. How many times did Maduro say he wanted me in jail? How many times did he say he was giving instructions for our arrests? What is a president doing giving instructions to a district attorney, or to a court? Those actions are the best examples of how there is no justice in Venezuela.

Nevertheless, I do not want to take this step, perhaps into silence for awhile, without stating very clearly the reasons behind this struggle: This struggle is indeed for our youth, this struggle is for our students. This struggle is for those who have been repressed, this struggle is for those who are imprisoned. This fight, brothers and sisters, is for all the people of Venezuela, who are suffering today. Our people have to endure long lines and shortages; there are no jobs and there is no future for young people because of a failed model, a model that is not our own, but exported by other countries, one that has nothing to do with the brave people of Venezuela. Brothers and sisters, we have to find our way out of this disaster together. While our solution has to be peaceful and constitutional, it also needs to be on the streets because we no longer have any free media to express ourselves in Venezuela. If the media remain silent, then let the streets speak out! Let the streets speak out with people! Let the streets speak out peacefully! And let the streets speak out in democracy!

Now, I will go to the police and National Guard's barricade. Believe me, I put a lot of thought into this. I want to tell you that in the past few days I had a lot of time to think things over and to analyze things, to listen to the radio and watch TV, read things I had not read in a while and to talk to my family. One of the options I had was to leave the country, but I am never leaving Venezuela! The other option I was faced with was to stay in hiding, in the shadows; but that choice may have made some people doubt – even some of those present here today – that we had something to hide. But we have nothing to hide! I have not committed any crime! I am not a criminal! I simply have no reason to hide! So the only option left for me is to show my face. And so I ask you, from the bottom of my heart, that when I go to the other side and turn myself in, you remain peaceful. We have no choice. I do not want violence. This is why I ask for your understanding; I ask you to organize yourselves and to be disciplined.

I want to thank everyone, but someone in particular, someone who has given me much strength and who is my greatest pillar that allows me to be here with you: My wife Lilian, who is right here with us.

Well, brothers and sisters, I ask you to continue this struggle and stay on the streets, to embrace our right to protest. But do so peacefully and without resorting to violence. I ask that all of us here today, all Venezuelans who want change, to get informed, educated and organized, and to carry out a non-violent protest, a massive demonstration of freewill, hearts and souls of the people who want change. But without hurting thy neighbor. I ask you not to lose faith, and I am sure that in the name of my children, my daughter Manuela, my son Leopoldo – and like Andres Eloy Blanco once said, "He who has fathered one child, becomes a father for every child."

In the name of every child in Venezuela, I swear we will prevail and soon we will have a free and democratic Venezuela.

# Opening Statement, Leopoldo López, Preliminary Hearing, Court of Justice, Caracas, June 2, 2014

I am a political prisoner, a prisoner of conscience. These are my words in the face of an unjust imprisonment on the occasion of the preliminary hearing of my case, which has taken away my freedom for seventy (70) days. I write these lines from my confinement cell in the "Ramo Verde" military prison.

I have been politically prosecuted by the regime for more than ten (10) years. I have undergone more than twenty (20) accusations, political trials, attempted murders duly denounced

but never answered; moral assassination by the communication means of the State and two (2) political bans that, even after having obtained a favorable sentence from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights for the violation of my rights to the defense and to the political participation, I have been prevented from exercising posts of popular election.

For more than a year, since January 2013, Nicolás Maduro has publicly expressed his desire of putting me in jail. In more than ten (10) opportunities during his national TV and radio networks, Maduro announced that I should be put in jail based on the opinions issued against his Government.

Based on the above context of permanent and explicit prosecution and threats by Maduro, an arrest warrant is issued against me on February 12 of this year. I understand that this new attack is a political one and having my conscience at peace for those claims; I decided to voluntarily present myself before an unworthy justice on February 18 of this year from which date I have been in prison.

I am in jail because I have denounced the Venezuelan State and its main responsible representatives for being corrupt, inefficient, repressive and anti-democratic. I am in jail for having denounced in person that there is no democracy in Venezuela, that the public authorities have been seized by a corrupted elite, inefficient and anti-democratic which has resulted in a deep social, economical and political crisis that all the Venezuelan people undergo today. I am in jail, for denouncing that in Venezuela we are living under a dictatorship. I am in jail for proposing a deep change, which must go through the substitution of those who are in charge of all the political authorities. I am in jail for demanding the resignation or substitution – through the constitutional way – of Nicolás Maduro as President of Venezuela.

I am in jail for having called the Venezuelan people to go out to the streets to protest, exercising our historical and constitutional right to protest until we achieve a political change that guarantees the peace, wellbeing and the progress for the Venezuelan people. I am in jail for exposing ideas, opinions, and proposals that today are shared by the majority of Venezuelans that from their outrage and patriotic heart ask for a deep change which shall allow setting out the route of our nation.

I am physically in jail, I am isolated with severe restriction to visits but neither today nor ever can they jail my deep conviction that we have the right to fight to conquer democracy and freedom for Venezuela. Thank God I am not alone in these ideas, in this conviction to fight. We are millions; we are that majority who are ready to fight for a change towards democracy in Venezuela. They might imprison me and thousand others but they may never imprison the fighting spirit that with the young people in the vanguard walk along the streets of the cities, the quarters and shantytowns of all Venezuela. Today Venezuela decided to change, my imprisonment and that of many others is only the face of a dictatorship weaker and weaker and with less popular support which pretends to hold onto the power, refraining, silencing the critical voices and criminalizing the protest.

The political reasons of my imprisonment are clearly exposed in the accusation presented by the <u>provisory</u> prosecutors, Franklin Nieves Capace, Nardin Sanabria Bernatte, Juan Canelón Marín, Guendy Duque Carvajal and José Foti González before the 16<sup>th</sup> Court of Control of the

Metropolitan Area of Caracas. From the document presented by the Office of the Public Prosecutor before the Court of Control there are four resulting accusations or offenses against me. My best defense before to denounce this case and as a political prisoner is precisely the accusations made by such prosecutors against me.

There are four accusations made against me by the Office of the Public Prosecutor:

#### **First Accusation:**

"Leopoldo López . . . made calls for violence, there was disregard of the legitimate authorities and disobedience of the laws which triggered the excessive attack by a group of persons who acted individually but determined by the speeches of the mentioned citizen against the headquarters of the Office of the Public Prosecutor." p. 2.

### **Second Accusation:**

The reason was for denouncing the State as corrupt, inefficient and anti-democratic. (Leopoldo López) intensified his speech and began a public and aggressive campaign against the President of the Republic and the Institutions of the State, making aware to the public with his speech that this government has ties with drug dealers, besides being corrupt, oppressing, inefficient, and anti-democratic and that it was necessary to go out to conquer the democracy and that in order to reach this objective the change or results only would be possible with the people in the streets." p. 3.

<u>Third Accusation</u>, made by the Office of the Public Prosecutor against me for having called the Venezuelan people to conquer democracy:

"It is so that in a conclusive way (Leopoldo López) affirmed "WE HAVE TO GO OUT TO CONQUER DEMOCRACY" (capital letters by the Office of the Public Prosecutor, that is, that his purpose was no other than to sow the idea among his followers that only in the street he may generate a change, inviting them to be actors, with the purpose of ignoring the legitimacy of the National Executive as well as the heads of the Public Authorities. (p. 3) . . . Which objective was to carry out a deep and total change with the purpose of substituting the authorities from their posts, since in its criteria the problem (of the country and of the Venezuelans) was not only Nicolás Maduro, but also all the heads of the public authorities which have been seized. (p. 4) . . . All of these (making reference to the damages caused to the headquarters of the Office of the Public Prosecutor) were executed as a consequence of the persuasion and induction carried out by citizen Leopoldo López, who exercised a strong influence, not only in their way of thinking, but also in the potential actions of their targets who fully acted and complied his message." (p. 5).

<u>Fourth Accusation</u>, made by the Office of the Public Prosecutor, pretends to extend the scope of responsibility in a vague manner to other persons arguing the existence of a "criminal structure" with a criminal plan to promote the resignation or way out of Nicolás Maduro.

"It is evident that all the instrumentation employed by citizen Leopoldo López, was not carried out per se, necessarily he counted with a criminal structure . . . to develop his criminal plan, which was no other than to persuade or induce a group of persons not to recognize the legitimate authorities and the laws in order to promote the dismissal of the President of the Republic." (p. 5.)

These four accusations are based, according to the prosecutors of the Office of the Prosecution Office in the testimony of 115 witnesses out of which 110 are officers from the Office of the prosecution Office and from the Government; the presentation and analysis of 4 videos with political speeches that I really made and of which I assume what I said, from the beginning to the end of each one; and in a report issued by the prosecutors. It is important to emphasize that the prosecutors denied all our requests; the presentation of 30 eyewitnesses was denied as well; the promotion of a balanced team and of mutual agreement for the analysis of the speeches was also denied.

The conclusion of the Office of the Public Prosecutor is to implicate me four offenses for these facts, such as: damages, fire, incitement and association to commit crime.

Without sound evidence, since there are none, preventing a just defense and following a political guideline, the prosecutors are accusing me of crimes in which I did not participate, manipulating the events and keeping me as a prisoner of the dictatorship.

Following is my answer to each one of the four charges that the prosecutors are making against me:

The first charge made by the Office of the Public Prosecutor is calling for violence. False. I reject totally and absolutely the claim of the prosecutors to conclude that our speech, before, during and after February 12, had a subliminal message to call violence. I clearly state: "subliminal message" for two reasons: First, the technical report submitted by the Office of the Public Prosecutor, which was prepared by an obedient professional and member of the ruling party concludes that the link between my words and the actions by dozens of protesters is of such magnitude that without calling the violence, the interpretation of the protesters is that in fact there was a call for violence. That is, because a subliminal, message which was not said but that it was in fact understood? And the second reason, why all the videos, photographs recorded by the Office of the Public Prosecutor are a clearly and unquestionably call to a NON VIOLENT protest in the streets (See speeches 23E, 2F, 12F).

The following paragraph of the indictment summarizes the heart of the argument logic of the Prosecutors is summarized as follows:

"Leopoldo López has a discursive ethos that dominates and impacts on the ethos of its recipients; as a result, everything that the sender tells the receivers, would exert a strong influence, not only in their way of thinking but also in the potential actions that the receivers may carry out as a consequence. At this point, the discursive force and the ascendancy of the citizen Leopoldo López as a political leader is unquestionable because it has served as a catalyst of discomfort felt by a significant part of the Venezuelan population; from this point whatever he says may transmit to his audience is transferred so effectively that his recipients feel encouraged to follow, in actions, what he is indicating they should do, although he does not clearly explains it (that is, subliminal)."

It is clear, explicitly clear, that the accusation against me is based on criminalization, the prohibition of my ideas, proposals and actions, which it is worth to say, are now supported by the majority of Venezuelans.

The accusation against me, based on several speeches I made between January 23 and February 12 has, as a best defense, the same speeches, either read or seen from the beginning to the end, without editing, without manipulation. In these speeches I clearly explain our political proposal that, based on a critical analysis of the present crisis, we propose a way out, a deep political change activated from the street, with non-violent actions and materialized through the popular call to one of the four alternatives offered by the Constitution to bring about a political change.

On January 23 we made a call to the rise of our consciousness, the uprising of the optimistic spirit of the Venezuelan people that we really can have a better Venezuela. A call to the streets made in commemoration of the "23 January 1958," celebrated by government and opposition, when the Venezuelan people rose in the streets against the dictatorship of Marcos Pérez Jiménez. That day we called upon the celebration of Popular Assemblies throughout Venezuela to discuss the options to a way out of the social, economic and political crisis which we live, which assemblies were to be held on February 2<sup>nd</sup>.

On February 2nd hundreds of assemblies were held throughout Venezuela, some large, some smaller, ones were held in squares, others in houses or streets. Different organizations, individuals and political parties participated with different protests. The conclusion was to assume the commitment of peacefully protesting in the street for a better country.

During my presentation (the video with the full intervention was presented by the Office of the Public Prosecutor as evidence), explains the non-violent character of our call:

"These struggles, brothers and sisters, must have a clear conduction and methodology, based on non-violence." Non-violence has been the most effective method of struggle that was invented by the oppressed people. Non-violence does not mean passivity, non-violence does not mean bowing our heads, non-violence does not mean to move backwards. Non-violence is not to be afraid, it means challenging; non-violence means to be on the streets, non-violence means to have a state of awareness where we do not allow anyone to manipulate us."

Our vocation is the change, our space is the street, our strategy is non-violence. Our commitment is the way out, which is in the Constitution (see speech 2F, Plaza Brion).

The February 2 assemblies were a major step in the performance of non-violent protest. Despite the peaceful attitude of the protesters, that day the repressive face of the regime was shown. An announcement of what would come. Several students and young people were prisoners. Six in Nueva Esparta and six in Táchira. In both cases these detainees were treated as terrorists of highest risk. Moved with a disproportionately deployment of police: helicopters, boats, vans outside of their States. This repressive action generated a great discomfort, especially in young people, which in the case of Táchira were also protesting the rape of a female student.

On February 9, when I was about to board a Conviasa flight via Santo Domingo, Táchira, I was pulled from the aircraft violently and unjustified, by the State security bodies which did not offer any type of explanations and who simply said: "we have orders not to let you take this flight." I mention this incident, since the words that I said upon leaving the plane in Maiquetia are part of the evidence submitted by the Office of the Public Prosecutor. In these words, I rejected such

abuse since it is a reflex of what happens every day to millions of Venezuelans who are victims of abuse and indifference by public officers.

On February 12, as we had called, thousands of people took the streets in all Venezuela. In Caracas, the demonstration began in Plaza Venezuela. There, once more we explained our proposal to the disaster that we live in Venezuela, to the fact that we don't live in a democracy, that there is a dictatorship in Venezuela. We proposed to activate the protest, the right to protest in the street as a popular impulse driven to build the democratic and constitutional road to a political change. The way out from the disaster, the output of the dictatorship and the conquest of democracy (see the 12F speech video in Plaza Venezuela, Caracas).

From Plaza Venezuela we left heading to the headquarters of the Office of the Public Prosecutor, as reported to the authorities. The demonstration arrived to the Office of the Public Prosecutor, massively, in peace and without violence.

There, we protested in peace and without violence, for more than two hours. We massively left in peace and without violence. We finished our protest without any type of violent demonstration. As a support of these declarations you may see the videos recording the declarations made to the media always calling to non-violence.

The description in detail of what happened that day was given at a press conference the same February 12 at night (see statements 12F night).

After thousands and thousands of protesters retired from the site, the vandalism events in front of the headquarters of Office of the Public Prosecutor took place. As we previously explained the intention of the prosecutors is to establish a criminal link between my words and the actions of young people, who threw objects at the headquarters of the MP.

What calls the attention with regard to the narrative of the facts by the Office of the Public Prosecutor MP, is that it ignores completely the more relevant facts occurred that day which is the murder of Juan Montoya and Bassil Da Costa by SEBIN officials.

In a twisted way, the Public Prosecutors establish a line of causality between my words and the stones thrown at the building, ignoring the fact that the violent reaction of the demonstrators was caused by these two murders carried out by the SEBIN officials; SEBIN officials are credited as bodyguards of the Minister Rodríguez Torres, accompanied by armed civilians, who fired against the protestors "Avenida Urdaneta." Two persons were killed. SEBIN returned to the site of the murders, manipulated the scene of the crime withdrawing evidence and never providing any kind of explanations. Nobody ever explained what the SEBIN was doing in the march if Maduro confessed that he had given orders to keep the military force quartered in their corresponding places. Who gave the order to get out of the barracks? Who gave the order to shoot? To shoot against the protesters in a command way action, as shown in the videos, is not a spontaneous action. Someone gave the order. Was it Manuel Bernal, Director of the SEBIN which was removed the same 14F and sent to another administrative position without any explanation? Perhaps the order was given by Minister Rodríguez Torres, former head of the SEBIN and Minister in charge of the present police entity? Why Manuel Bernal or Rodriguez Torres have not clarified to the justice what kind of responsibility they had in these murders? They must have

given the order to SEBIN to go to the march and shoot, if they were not the responsible, who was? Who gave the order?

The other element about the responsibility of the security forces in the events, is the passive presence of the "Policía Nacional Bolivariana" and the "Guardia Nacional Bolivariana" before the facts against the headquarters of the Office of the Public Prosecutor MP who during 45 minutes, and being only 20 meters away from the site of the incident did not act, they did nothing. Who gave the order to the "Guardia Nacional Bolivariana" to prevent these attacks against the site of the Office of the Public Prosecutor? Obviously, it is more than clear that there is a clear intention behind the passivity of the "Policía Nacional Bolivariana" the "Guardia Nacional"? To allow the actions and then to accuse the protesters and their conveners as violent people. It was a plan, a trap that Maduro himself announced the night before when he said: "tomorrow there will be a dead man." How did Maduro know? Why there are so many questions before the actions and omissions of the Institutions of the State?

On this first charge, of having instigated vandalism acts as a result of my speech, we may conclude that there are no elements that establish this relation and that rather the violent events that took place on such a date are the responsibility, by action and omission, of the Venezuelan State (see note on the direct call made by Ameliach to violence).

The second accusation brought by the Office of the Public Prosecutor against me is having denounced as corrupt, inefficient, oppressive and anti-democratic Maduro's Government and the Venezuelan State. I fully assume my responsibility on the above accusations. I not only take responsibility for having made such accusations but I take this opportunity to ratify each and every one of them as these is the pure and inviolable truth.

If it is a crime to denounce corruption, inefficiency, loss of freedom and the antidemocratic vocation of those who run the government, I assume my responsibility.

Taking into consideration that the Office of the Public Prosecutor questions and criminalizes my complaint about the presence of a corrupt State, inefficient, oppressive and anti-democratic which has been the result of the progressive dismantling of the democratic State and the unconstitutional installation and contrary to the national spirit, of a dictatorship, it is appropriate to make a balance of the present situation of the nation.

This balance is confirmed into two interdependent blocks. The cause and effects of the disaster. The responsible ones and the affected people. The cause of the current crisis in all its dimensions, is the installation of a model of an authoritarian Government, the dictatorship. The effects, the consequences of this dictatorship model are the hardships now suffered by our people in the economic, social and political. That is, the problems we Venezuelans suffer today, shortages, queues, inflation, insecurity, impunity, injustice and loss of freedom are not consequences of external factors, of an economic war or much less an action from the citizens. The problems, all of them, have their origin in the lack of democracy and the asphyxia of freedom. They are the result of a State kidnapped by sectarian political and economic interests of a small elite that manipulates the institutions, derogating the Constitution by way of the facts, which have been placed from origin and performance outside of the Constitution and the national interest,

which has made all public authorities assume a condition of illegitimacy. Unlawful in origin and in performance.

Democratic legitimacy is what differentiates the democracy from another system, it is recognized when evaluating the legitimacy of origin and legitimacy of the performance in a democratic system.

The legitimacy of origin refers to the fact that it was the person who, as set out in the Constitution, has elected its representatives. In this sense the elections on April 14, 2013, when Nicolás Maduro was proclaimed President, represent a contested and non-resolved chapter about the legitimacy of the democratic origin. In the first place, on January 2013 the Supreme Court of Justice issued a ruling allowing, contrary to the provisions of the Constitution, that a Vice President in charge of the Presidency is a presidential candidate as well. In the second place, the electoral results of April 14, leave a reasonable doubt on the validity of more than 200,000 contested ballots that might have made a difference in the outcome of the elections. Despite having reported with sufficient evidence the irregular usurpation of identities, double or triple votes, and violence at the polls that could have generated the cancellation of enough votes to change the outcome of the election, there was never a full audit of the congruence between the electoral notebooks, the results of the voting machines and the ballots deposited in the boxes. Despite the fact that this audit was requested to Maduro who readily accepted on the night of April 14 and being this also an express request by the UNASUR, this audit was never conducted. Upon the non-successful closing of this doubt, for more than half of the Venezuelan population Maduro simply seized the elections just as the "Mesa de la Unidad" and Henrique Capriles denounced in the days after the election of April 14.

In addition to this severe questioning of the electoral legitimacy of the triumph of Maduro, weeks after the election there emerged evidence that allegedly questioned the Venezuelan nationality of Maduro. These signs indicate that Nicolás Maduro is presumably of Colombian nationality, which would prevent him, by constitutional provision, to exercise the Presidency of the Republic. This doubt has not been satisfactorily clarified.

On the legitimacy of the origin of the other public authorities we may point out the fact of several designations of people openly militant of the governing party, which would also constitutionally prevent them from exercising the maximum responsibilities of the Public Powers. In addition to this questioning, there is the fact that several officials exercise the highest positions in the public powers having their period expired. Such is the case of the sub-comptroller who has been performing illegally the post of Comptroller for more than four years. Three principals of the CNE (National Election Council) have their posts already expired and eleven members of the Supreme Court of Justice have also expired periods. This situation has been recently recognized by the regime who has been announcing the constitution of commissions to propose the replacement of these officials.

About the legitimacy of democratic performance of the Venezuelan State, that is, full compliance with the Constitution, the autonomy of the public powers, the rule of law, the guarantee of fundamental freedoms, the politicization of the national armed forces and the respect of all rights for all people, we can make a long and detailed balance concluding in the sad statement that in Venezuela systematically and permanently the conditions which make of a State a

democratic system are being violated. For limitation of space, we shall refer only to some of these violations to the democratic system.

The justice system made up by the courts, the Office of the Public Prosecutor and the Office of the Ombudsman, are highly corrupted and kidnapped by political manipulation. Most of the judges are provisional, temporary, or substituted judges who are removed to convenience of political interests. Upon the absence of the judge's autonomy and by not having stability, the decisions issued are highly permeable to political manipulation. The same provisional situation is present in the Prosecutor's Office. Example of this situation, is our own case. All the prosecutors who are charging me are provisional and the female judge who was to serve as alternate, was recently removed and replaced. This unstable situation has been denounced on a permanent basis by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and various non-governmental organizations as one of the main causes of the breakdown of Justice in Venezuela

On the performance of the powers that includes the system of justice, the result is regrettable. Today Venezuela has the country's highest rates of insecurity in South America. Just 2% of homicides are resolved by the Public Prosecutor. Every year the number of homicides, kidnappings, and crime in general increases. Despite having announced 14 security plans, each year is more violent than the previous one. During 2012, 21,000 homicides were recorded; during 2013 more than 25,000 were recorded, and 2014 is projected with an increase in this figure. In addition to the increase of crimes, increasing impunity and the procedural delay, the prison crisis, a prolonged crisis that worsens every year with higher levels of overcrowding and killings in prisons, closing the cycle of a dysfunctional, corrupt and anti-democratic system of security and justice dysfunctional, corrupt and anti-democratic in the absence of prevention, the organization of the police force, the Office of the Public Prosecutor, courts and prison system. A system of justice that suffers from permanent violations of human rights of thousands of Venezuelans who upon coming into contact with the Venezuelan Justice are encountered with chronic inefficiencies, corruption checkpoints and politicization preventing the exercise of justice.

To these permanent violations of human rights, the institution that was conceived by the 1999 Constitution to be the voice of the oppressed, the Office of the Ombudsman, has become an institution accomplice of the power, which has not had a single case of exemplary justice against abuses of power and violations of human rights by the Venezuelan State.

In regard to the Office of the General Comptroller of the Republic, the responsible entity for ensuring the healthy and transparent administration of the State, it also has become an appendage of the political structure taken by assault by the Venezuelan State. In addition to more than four years of unlawful exercising the responsibility as General Comptroller in the permanent absence of Clodosbaldo Russian, the Comptroller has not achieved a firm and transparent work against corruption.

In regard to the cases of corruption reported to the Office of the Comptroller and the public opinion we could draw up a long list, but with the intention of presenting the complicity of the Office of the Comptroller with corruption and, as an example, I can mention the largest embezzlement case of corruption in the history of Venezuela. Even in times of Guzmán Blanco there had never been a fraud of such magnitude which was the theft of more than 30 billion dollars handed over by CADIVI to fake companies, those call "briefcase companies" linked with the

senior leaders of the ruling party. This case is emblematic by the magnitude and the direct impact that has had on the well-being of the Venezuelan people.

During 2013, several State officials reported the loss of tens of billions of dollars in fraudulent assignments and "briefcase companies." The President of the Venezuelan Central Bank, Ms. Edmme Betancourt, made the first announcement, followed by the announcement from the Finance Minister Jorge Giordani, Minister of Internal Affairs and Justice Miguel Rodriguez Torres, and finally by the President of PDVSA and Economy, Rafael Ramírez. All agreed in reporting the fraud of 30 billion dollars equivalent to 50% of the annual income resulting from the sale of oil or 130% of international reserves of the Republic.

Thirty billion dollars were stolen and, with a baring face and under the most absolute impunity, they denounced this fact and simply "nothings happens." No one is guilty, no one knows where the dollars are, or who, or why the delivery of this amount was authorized to phantom companies. The Office of the Comptroller has not investigated. In recent statements, the lady Comptroller in charge said that in 2007 she had reported that there were "Briefcases Companies" but nothing happened. Why nothing happens? Because this fraud is the best example of the installation of a true criminal structure within the Venezuelan State, from which its highest level has been enriched with the resources of all Venezuelans with impunity. Why Manuel Barroso, former President of CADIVI has not been brought to Justice? Why has Rafael Ramírez not explained to the country what happened with those dollars that necessarily passed through PDVSA? Why the President of the Central Bank, Nelson Merentes has not explained to the justice and to the country the fate of a number of dollars greater than its international reserves? The answer is clear: those dollars were handed out to a cast of "entrepreneurs" that far from being productive entrepreneurs had the function of figureheads of the high hierarchy of power. Each company that irregularly received US dollars is linked to some patron that at a given time transacted with a telephone call or with a prior agreement the approval of delivering preferential dollars without complying with the appropriate requirements.

To open the black box of the CADIVI dollars, is to open a complex network of influences, extortion, and abuse of power that would compromise a good part of the high hierarchy of the official power.

This corruption scandal is of such magnitude that today the Venezuelan people are paying the consequences with shortages, inflation, and with the destruction of the national production apparatus that in addition to not receiving timely dollars to operate, its activities have been subjected to an economic model that has been a grind of regulations, threats and corruption that have destroyed the domestic production.

We could extend our statements on the magnitude and impact of corruption acts, which have counted with the indispensable ally and complicity of the Office of the General Comptroller of the Republic.

About the illegitimacy of the performance of the Electoral Power, there is "plenty of fabric to be cut." Political coercion and manipulation of processes, laws, and decisions are in place long ago. The first element is the public and notorious fact that two of the Principals of the Electoral Power were enrolled militants of the Government (PSUV) and that 4 of the 5 Principals have shown their open political inclination towards the ruling party.

Lack of transparency, dark contractual documents, permissiveness of unfair and unbalanced campaigns in favor of the regime, politicization of the electoral technical structure, change of electoral circuit in favor of the ruling party, and the negative of having done an audit of the voting papers on April 14 after the disputed presidential election set a very negative and anti-democratic the CNE's picture as electoral referee.

Finally, the performance of the National Assembly was also contrary to the spirit of the constitutional and democratic performance. To name some examples, the National Assembly making use of an illegitimate simple majority, approved the so called "Plan of the Homeland" as a law, being this document a clear expression of authoritarianism and violative of the Constitution as it was clearly and accurately denounced by the Venezuelan Episcopal Conference. In addition to approving laws contrary to the Constitution, the handling of the Assembly reached the level of democratic outrage that, to date, three deputies from the opposition, elected under the alliance of the Democratic Unit, have been removed illegally from their parliamentary seat. Such is the case of Nora Bracho, Richard Nardo and Maria Corina Machado.

This brief diagnosis of the legitimacy of origin and performance of public powers in Venezuela leads us to conclude that in Venezuela, we cannot speak of the entry into force of a Democratic System. In Venezuela there is no democracy, there is a dictatorship installed by the ruling party.

Besides the poor democratic performance of the institutions of the State, there are some other signs of the anti-democratic vocation of the regime that are worthwhile to point out. The loss of strategic and territorial sovereignty also represents a side of the anti-democracy installed in the Venezuelan State.

In the first place, the loss of sovereignty or irresponsible management of territorial sovereignty. This issue highlights the case of virtual delivery of the Essequibo to the Republic of Guyana by the Maduro Government. Since 2011 the Government of the Republic of Guyana has acted in a hostile manner against Venezuela. In September 2011 Guyana notifies the United Nations a unilateral issue about its continental platform in 300 nautical miles. Venezuela was not notified. Venezuela answered but obviously in accommodating terms because on September of 2013 some maps were published giving account of concessions for the exploration and exploitation of oil which include Venezuelan territory. In October of the same year, a Panamanian-flagged vessel contracted by the company Anadarko, franchised by Guyana was identified on Venezuelan territorial waters. The diplomatic and military response by the Venezuelan State has not been at the level of the threat, which constitutes a risk to give a Venezuelan territory back by the negligence of the State as it occurred during the Governments of Guzmán Blanco, Cipriano Castro and Juan Vicente Gómez.

The problem of territorial integrity is also present in the western border with Colombia where due to the negligence of the authorities and the installation of a system of corrupted interests has allowed a large scale smuggling and the presence of criminal organizations and irregular groups that terrorize and have subjected the people of the border with criminal practices such as kidnapping, the generalized "vaccination" and the penetration of these groups in the handling of some State institutions as well as the presence of drug trafficking in the Venezuelan territory.

The loss of sovereignty in the strategic field has been demonstrated by the presence of representatives of the Cuban regime on such sensitive and strategic issues as the policy of importation of food, handling of State intelligence, and the conduction of military affairs. One of the pieces of evidence of the Cuban presence in the military field was the reverence to the Cuban flag at the military installation of the Paramacay Fort at the State of Carabobo.

Finally, another area where it is evident the anti-democratic vocation and contrary to the Constitution is the management of the national armed forces.

According to Article 338 of the Constitution "the armed force constitutes an institution essentially professional without political militancy . . . in the execution of their duties is the exclusive service to the nation and in no case to any political partiality." Unfortunately, the facts show otherwise; it is worrying the progressive penetration of the politics in the national armed forces. It is public and notorious the subordination of the National Armed Forces to the political partiality that represents the ruling party. Political acts where the staff and especially the military officials are forced to politically subordinate to the ruling party. Military harassment conditioned by political loyalty, not merit as laid down in Article 330 of the Constitution. The installation of political slogans as part of the day-to-day organization of military life. Unjustified and untimely promotions of political components outside of the military institution with the intention of merging more and more the National Armed Forces with the Political Party of the Government – PSUV following the style and example of the behavior of the Cuban National Armed Forces and the Cuban Communist party.

These are some of the features of the anti-democratic and unconstitutional handling of the Venezuelan State. Which clarification is relevant to our case since our calling out of the disaster, the dictatorship, is based on specific facts that deserve a strong and patriotic response of all Venezuelan democrats

Although the causes that lead us to say that today we do not live in democracy in Venezuela and that we are rather subject to a dictatorship, have been exhibited, its effects on the population are not just political. The cause, the origin of the crisis in Venezuela is installing a non-democratic system, which is corrupt, repressive and inefficient, the effects are economic, social and political affecting the entire population.

As it has been argued and demonstrated empirically by visionaries like Amartya Sen, Roberto Unger and William Stanley, among many others, there can be no progress, i.e. welfare, overcoming poverty, if there is no freedom.

Freedom is an indivisible concept, you cannot have partial freedom. Without freedom of expression, there can be no freedom, without freedom there can be no freedom to protest, and so on.

The democratic well-being is the coexistence of successful and efficient public policies with the guarantee of freedom for citizens. Social democracy as it emerges from the text of the Constitution is the conquest of all rights for all Venezuelans without anyone being excluded.

The effects of a corrupt, inefficient system, repressive and anti-democratic touch the lives of all Venezuelans, with the exception of the small ruling elite.

### The effects of the dictatorship:

The economic collapse. Today in Venezuela, we are experiencing an economic crisis due to the installation of a failed economic model that the regime insists on keeping. Controls of unsustainable prices, exaggerated regulations, expropriations, absence of legal certainty, addiction to imports and the progressive destruction of the national productive apparatus are the characteristics of an economic model that despite living the largest oil boom cycle in 100 years, has generated the highest levels of inflation in Latin America: 56% in 2013 and 80% by 2014 projections. The highest shortage of the continent, which is approaching 30%, evident every day everywhere with long queues to purchase commodities. An exaggerated and non-transparent debt to the nation. The collapse of the oil industry which has lost production capacity more than 700 tbd of conventional crudes from 2008, the highest rate of labor and environmental accidents and an increase in the debt of PDVSA's \$50 billion to \$2.9 billion (between 2006-2013). They are all effects, consequences of the application of an anti-democratic and contrary to the Constitution.

The consequence of the anti-democratic system is that in Venezuela we have an education system that does not educate, of health that does not heal the sick, of social security that does not cover the unprotected, of justice that is not fair, of citizen security that does not protect, and of defense that does not defend our sovereignty.

It is in the face of this collapse of democracy, the kidnapping of democratic institutions and the progressive installation of a dictatorship that we have pronounced ourselves in favor of the conquest of democracy.

Being this the third accusation of the Office of the Public Prosecutor against me, the call to the street to enable the constitutional options that allow by way of popular initiative, it was necessary to expose in a detailed and schematic way the reasons that have led us to affirm that in Venezuela we don't live under a democratic regime.

To the conclusion that in Venezuela we don't live in a democracy, and following our patriotic, democratic and nationalist responsibility, we have made a concrete proposal to articulate an exit to the crisis, an output of dictatorship by popular, democratic and constitutional way. This proposal, has been called the "The way out."

As it is clearly shown in all videos and records evidenced by the Office of the Public Prosecutor, the proposal that we made to the Venezuelan people and why today I am prisoner in *Ramo Verde* and probably subjected to a political trial, is specific, popular, and framed in the Constitution.

Taking into account the progressive advancement of the installation of a dictatorship in Venezuela we have made a call to nonviolent protest in the streets with the intention of activating any of the four constitutional options that can trigger political change for the restoration of democracy and freedom in Venezuela by way of popular initiative.

The present Constitution contemplates as options to achieve a political change the following:

- a) The resignation of the President of the Republic. Article 233 of the Constitution.
- b) The reform or amendment with the intention to reduce, shorten, constitutional period, and proceed to a replacement of those responsible for the Government as it contemplates the Constitution. Article 340, 341 and 342 of the Constitution. The call for a national constituent Assembly with the intention of transforming the State and restore democracy and freedom in Venezuela. Article 347 and 348 of the Constitution.
- c) The call for a national constituent Assembly with the intention of transforming the State and restore democracy and freedom in Venezuela. Article 347 and 348 of the Constitution.

In all public and private presentations which we have made about the "way out" of the dictatorship, we have based them on the activation of the popular protest as the common denominator to achieve the popular organization and the enabled critical mass that allows the desire of the majority of the Venezuelan people wanting a political change (more than 60% according to various opinion polls), can be carried out by activating a popular initiative from one of the options contemplated in the Constitution to produce the necessary political change for Venezuela.

The street, the popular protest which has been criminalized by the State apparatus that has used all its power, economic, diplomatic, law enforcement, and communication to disqualify this initiative of protest and to protesters as terrorists covering a fascist plan for Venezuela. Nothing is further from reality calling our proposal fascist.

So, it is clear that our proposal of the "way out" is not a call to the violent insurrection or a coup d'etat as it relates to our own history, which only the military forces can carry out. Our proposal is then the call for a national protest in the streets, based in the sacred concept of the self-determination of people and endorsed by the Constitution, which allows the realization of a political change as the way out to the deep crisis which we live today in Venezuela.

In this context, I fully assume my responsibility to be summoned to protest, to the street, with the intention to win democracy and freedom for all Venezuelans.

It is important to stress that our proposal is not an empty change of content, it is not a leap into the dark as it has tried to point out the Office of the Public Prosecutor, as the interlocutors of the dictatorship, in its accusation against me. Our proposal is a way out from the dictatorship of the corrupt, inefficient, and anti-democratic system that we have in Venezuela, so that once and for all after conquering the political change we can build together the path towards a strong, inclusive democracy as guarantor of freedom for all which can be materialized in the collective desire that all rights are a reality for all people. A true social democracy centered in each Venezuelan and the materialization of all and each one of the rights that are enshrined in the Constitution.

To achieve this step from dictatorship to democracy, driven by the popular initiative and the implementation of the Constitution it is required the transformation of the State in three dimensions.

The first is to have a Government and an efficient state that can make rights a reality: an education that educate, a health system that heals the sick, a social security system that protect the unprotected, an economic system that produces jobs and prosperity, a system of citizen security that protect and provide security for all.

The second is a system of justice that is really fair. To avoid anyone being outside in the realization of their rights. That all Venezuelans are equal before the law.

And the third is active conscience, permanently active, of every Venezuelan in function of the defense and conquest of their rights.

Yes, it is possible to achieve a better Venezuela, much better than what we have today, but that country that we want cannot arrive by itself. It is imperative that every Venezuelan assumes its responsibility to the present situation of generalized crisis and to become an active and permanent factor in the construction of the road that will take us out from the dictatorship and allows us to conquer freedom and democracy.

All the options that we propose as a constitutional "way out" to the political and economic crisis, social and democratic legitimacy, must be activated by way of the popular initiative. This means that one of the responsibilities which should take this movement of non-violent struggle is the organization of popular support for the back-up of any of the alternatives: reform, amendment or call to a national constituent Assembly in the mandate to replace the powers that have been kidnapped.

Not only they are proposals activated by popular initiative, they all lead to a process of electoral legitimacy.

Just as all constitutional ways out of the crisis have to rely on an active street movement, all of them also lead to a process of electoral legitimacy.

A process of political change as we are proposing, from the bottom and upwards, starting with the people, the common citizen, ordinary people and for the people, is not a simple process, but it is not only possible since such roads are clearly defined in the Constitution. Our proposal for political change, the way out of the dictatorship begins and ends in the exercise of the sovereignty of the Venezuelan people.

To take the street as the ground of our struggle is a right that we Venezuelans have. Since the history is history and the people are people in the face of the oppression of the people have raised its voice. In democracy it is precisely the idea that it is the people who defines its authorities.

The right to protest is part of the essence of a democracy but if there is no right to the free expression and protest the alternative of power cannot be materialized. In Venezuela the protest is a constitutionally guaranteed right. In Article 68 of the Constitution it is clear that there are no limitations to a peaceful and non-violent protest.

Since February 12 the regime has continued its policy of criminalizing the protests. As Marino Alvarado from Provea, has said: "It cannot be said that it is only a strategy of the Government, it is a State policy because all judicial and political structure is used to criminalize the protest."

Up to April 28, the State/regime has arrested 2,500 persons of which, 1,406 are kept under precautionary measures and 106 have been deprived of their freedom. This balance represents the face of judicial repression that accompanied by police repression and of armed groups from the regime set a sophisticated apparatus of social repression.

According to COFAVIC Liliana Ortega, the situation lived in Venezuela since February 12 is a situation similar to that of the Caracazo in 1989: "The same answer that we had from the Government of Carlos Andrés Pérez with respect to the Caracazo, we have had it as well from the Maduro Government in regard to the protesters. The victims have been criminalized, serious violations to human rights have been disregarded and the actions of the protests have been minimized."

The bravery of the people into the street and the consolidation of a sense of change expressing in a same movement the various reasons that have generated the outrage of millions, the response of the regime has been the desire of imposing a curfew by way of an accommodative and anti-democratic ruling of the TSJ. It is our right, the right of the Venezuelan people, to disregard such illegal and anti-democratic ruling. While that sentence is another authoritative expression, it also represents a positive sign since, historically, it is when regimes are being cornered that they engage in the use of tools such as the pretension of prohibiting pacific and peaceful demonstrations by way of a Decree. That was the great mistake of the British Empire before the father of non-violent struggle in current times, Mahatma Ghandi.

The fourth accusation from the Office of the Public Prosecutor represents the pretension of the regime of not limiting its accusation against me, aims to expand this accusation to our organization "Voluntad Popular."

Our party "Voluntad Popular" has been victim of a permanent persecution and at all levels of our leadership. A raid at our national headquarters, raids to regional headquarters, detention of more than 100 activists, order of arrest of Antonio Rivero national leaders and of our Political Coordinator, Carlos Vecchio, the imprisonment of our Mayor of San Cristóbal Daniel Ceballos and the imprisonment of my person. It is evident the systematic persecution to "Voluntad Popular."

It is pertinent to point out that "Voluntad Popular" has been the only party undergoing elections to choose the parish, municipal, regional, and national authorities of the political organization. Voluntad Popular is a social democratic organization linked to the family of the Socialist International. We organize ourselves in popular networks, teams democratically-elected and social movements.

In the face of the present deep crisis going through the country and after several days of deliberation at regional and national level, "Voluntad Popular" democratically decided to assume the proposal of a "Way Out" as an organizational and political route to face the reality imposed by the dictatorship of Nicolás Maduro.

In the face of the events occurred since February 2, the permanent harassment by various bodies and at all levels has been a State policy.

The last episode of this judicial persecution was the request made by the political collective "Tupamaro", an organization with a public and notorious violent record, to the TSJ to outlaw "Voluntad Popular". The appeal was delivered to Francisco Carrasquero as rapporteur.

To all who are reading this document, I hereby confirm the above contents. In the face of the accusations made against me by the Office of the Public Prosecutor about:

- 1. Having made calls to violence.
- 2. Having denounced the State and the Government as corrupt, inefficient and antidemocratic
- 3. Having made a call to go out to exercise the right to protest to conquer democracy in Venezuela.
- 4. To link alleged organizations and persons as part of a criminal structure with a criminal plan.

I reject, specifically, that we have done before, during, and after the February 12 was a call to violence.

I assume my responsibility for having denounced the Venezuelan Sate and government as corrupt, inefficient, repressive and anti-democratic.

I assume my responsibility in making a call to the streets to protest in a non violent way.

I assume my responsibility in making a call to consolidate a route to political and constitutional change of the structure of the Venezuelan State.

I declare myself innocent from the charges made by the Office of the Public Prosecutor on having committed criminal actions like damages, fires, instigation, and association to commit crimes.

I am innocent from the crimes charged against me and responsible for having call protests as an impulse for a political change in Venezuela.

I hereby deliver this testimony from my own handwriting and I confirm my denounce of having been prevented from my right to participate in all the phases of the process and being able to give my defense arguments.

In my condition of political and conscience prisoner.

Justice for all political prisoners and persecuted people.

Strength and faith!

Appendix III – Opeds in Washington Post, Huffington Post, Foreign Policy, New York Times, El País, Wall Street Journal, Miami Herald, La Prensa (Panama), and Profiles in Foreign Policy and The Atlantic.

# The New York Times Magazine

March 1, 2018

### Can Venezuela Be Saved?

As a nation unwinds, Leopoldo López, the opposition's most prominent leader, sits under house arrest and contemplates what might still be possible.

### Wil S. Hylton

There's a page in a book in a stack on the floor at the house of Leopoldo López that I think about sometimes. It's a page that López revisits often; one to which he has returned so many times these past few years, scribbling new ideas in the margin and underlining words and phrases in three different colors of ink and pencil, that studying it today can give you the impression of counting the tree rings in his political life.

The book is not set out in a way to invite this kind of attention. Almost nobody is allowed to enter the López house, for one thing, being surrounded all day and night by the Venezuelan secret police; but also, for all his flaws and shortcomings, López just isn't the sort to dress up his library for show. Pretty much every book in the house is piled up in a stack like this one — row upon row of stacked-up books rising six to eight feet from the dark wood floors, these gangly towers of dogeared tomes, some of them teetering so precariously that when you see one of the López children run past, you might involuntarily flinch.

The particular book I have in mind is a collection of political essays and speeches. It was compiled by the Mexican politician Liébano Sáenz, with entries on the Mayan prince Nakuk Pech and the French activist Olympe de Gouges. The chapter that means the most to López begins on Page 211, under the header "Carta a los Clérigos de Alabama." This is a mixed-up version of the title you know as "Letter From Birmingham Jail," which was written by the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. in 1963. King was in Birmingham to lead nonviolent protests of the sort that everybody praises now, but it's helpful to remember that in 1963, he was catching hell from every quarter. It wasn't just the slithering goons of the F.B.I. wiretapping his home and office or the ascendant blacknationalist movement rolling its eyes at his peaceful piety, but a caucus of his own would-be allies who were happy to talk about civil rights just as long as it didn't cause any ruckus. A handful of clergymen in Birmingham had recently issued a statement disparaging King as an outside agitator

whose marches and civil disobedience were "technically peaceful" but still broke the law and were likely "to incite to hatred and violence."

On the page in the book at the López house, King fires back. Writing from a cramped cell without a mattress or electric light, he scrawled a response on scraps of paper for his cellmate to smuggle out. Near the top of the page, López has underlined a passage in pencil where King condemns the complacency of "the white moderate" and the suggestion that peaceful protesters are responsible for the violent response of others. "We who engage in nonviolent direct action are not the creators of tension," he writes in a passage López marked with green: "We merely bring to the surface the hidden tension that is already alive." King then compares civil disobedience to the lancing of a boil, before culminating in a passage that López has flagged at least half a dozen times — with some words underlined in red, others highlighted pink, a handful of phrases boxed in green and three large arrows drawn into the margin beside the words: "Injustice must be exposed, with all the tension its exposure creates."

At a certain level, it's unremarkable when a politician studies King, and among the people López tries to emulate, I wouldn't put King at the top. He is more directly influenced by the former Venezuelan president Rómulo Betancourt or, for that matter, by his own grandfather Eduardo Mendoza, who was Betancourt's adviser. But when you consider the path that López has followed these past few years in prison, the choices he's made, the compromises and blunders, the price he has paid to speak his mind and the price now of his silence, if you want to understand the impact of four years in captivity and nine months in solitary confinement, the message from King in Birmingham is a very good place to start.

López was arrested in February 2014 after leading a public protest that turned violent. Prosecutors acknowledged in court that López was technically peaceful, but they accused him of inciting others to hatred and violence. Before his arrest, he was among the most prominent and popular opposition leaders in Venezuela. Polling suggested that he could defeat President Nicolás Maduro, the unpopular successor to Hugo Chávez, in a free election. At trial, he was sentenced to 13 years and nine months in prison. Since then, he has become the most prominent political prisoner in Latin America, if not the world. His case has been championed by just about every human rights organization on earth, and he is represented by the attorney Jared Genser, who is known as "the extractor" for his work with political prisoners like Liu Xiaobo, Mohamed Nasheed and Aung San Suu Kyi. The list of world leaders who have called on the Venezuelan government to release López includes Angela Merkel of Germany, Emmanuel Macron of France, Theresa May of Britain and Justin Trudeau of Canada; it is that rarest of political causes on which Barack Obama and Donald Trump are in agreement. In Venezuela, López has become a kind of symbol. His name and face are emblazoned on billboards, T-shirts and banners — but there's widespread disagreement on precisely what he represents. The Venezuelan government routinely disparages him as a right-wing reactionary from the ruling class who wants to reverse the social progress of *chavismo* and restore the landed aristocracy; the Venezuelan right, meanwhile, considers López a neo-Marxist, whose proposal to distribute the country's oil wealth among the people would only deepen the chavista agenda.

For three and a half years in prison, López refused to let anyone speak for him. Though he was prohibited from granting interviews or issuing public statements and was often denied access to books, paper, pens and pencils, he managed to scribble messages on scraps of paper for his family

to smuggle out, and he recorded a handful of covert audio and video messages denouncing the Maduro government. From time to time, he could even be heard screaming political slogans through the bars of the concrete tower in the military prison where he was kept in isolation.

López was released to house arrest last July on the condition that he fall silent. He promptly climbed the fence behind his house to rally a gathering crowd, then issued a video message asking his followers to resist the government. Three weeks later, he was back in prison; after four days, he was released again. Ever since, to the great bewilderment of his supporters, he has vanished from public view. While the country descends into an unprecedented crisis — with the world's highest rate of inflation, extreme shortages of food and medicine, constant electrical blackouts, thousands of children dying of malnutrition, rampant crime in every province, looting and rioting in the streets — López has said nothing.

Today his critics include not just the hard-line left and right but much of the Venezuelan majority that once saw him as a future president. They don't understand what López is doing inside that house, tucked away on that leafy street in the wealthy suburbs of Caracas, but they suspect that he has grown comfortable there, reunited with his wife and children; that his family's wealth insulates him from the economic crisis; that the secret police who surround his home protect him from rising crime; and they can't help wondering if Leopoldo López has finally given up. They know, as he knows, that if he issued a public statement or released another video message, if he climbed the fence behind his house to address his followers again, the secret police would swoop in to haul him back to prison. But López never let the risk of prison stop him before. He would have at least one chance to speak, and they wonder why he hasn't.

**There's a flicker** onscreen whenever López connects, then a blur of pixelated color as his face comes into view. On different days, at different times, he can look very different. There are mornings when he turns up in an old sweatshirt with unkempt hair and a weary smile, and others when he appears in a pressed oxford shirt with parted hair and black-rimmed glasses that do nothing whatsoever to obscure the haunted air of a sleepless night.

I think of a Saturday in October. It was a few minutes after noon. I was out for a walk with my kids when a message from López popped on my phone. "The situation is very delicate," he wrote. "I may be on the borderline of going back to prison." Scrambling home, I opened my laptop and after a minute, he appeared onscreen. López is 46, 5-foot-10 and fit. He was sitting at the desk in his living room with his hair poking off at angles, and the cast of his expression was an admixture of fear, fatigue and fury.

When the audio clicked on, I asked what was happening. López took a deep breath. He propped an elbow on the desk and rested his head in his hand. "Last night around 7:30, they came to my house — more than 30 officers of the political police," he said. "They had more than 10 cars. They closed the entire street. And then they came into my house." For more than a decade, López has employed a private security detail, as political opponents stormed his events with masks and guns, sprayed his car with bullets and murdered one of his bodyguards. Under house arrest, he is allowed to maintain a small guard outside. During the raid, López said, the police took his chief of security into custody, and no one had heard from him since. "There was absolutely no reason, legally, they could take him, and they have not allowed any lawyers to go in to see him," López said. He looked

down at his desk and shook his head. "So that's the situation," he said quietly. "And I wanted to tell you that I'm willing to go forward with this, what we're doing."

At that point, we had a very different sense of what we might be doing. We had been in contact for only a few weeks. I first reached out to López through an intermediary in August, not long after his return to house arrest, and by September we were talking a couple of times a week, usually for a couple of hours at a time. This was a clear violation of his release. An order from the Venezuelan Supreme Court specifically forbid him to speak with the news media, and we didn't expect to get away with it. At a minimum, it was safe to assume that his house was bugged for sound, but there were probably hidden cameras as well, and his computer was surely hacked and his internet activity monitored.

The world is full of byzantine methods to communicate through encrypted channels, but most of them are obviated for a person who is trapped in a digital glass house and surrounded by the state security of an authoritarian regime. We did what little we could to be discreet, knowing it wasn't much. Rather than connect on Skype or FaceTime, we used an obscure video service, which seemed at least marginally less likely to be a platform the police had practice hacking. Whenever we spoke, López wore a pair of headphones, so a conventional audio bug would only pick up his side of the conversation, and we adopted the general posture of old friends catching up. This was not as much of a stretch as it may seem. López is three years older than I am and a graduate of Kenyon College, where we briefly overlapped but never met. From time to time, one of us would mention the school or someone we both knew there, and our kids would periodically wander onscreen to wave hello.

All of this seemed hopelessly primitive in the face of state surveillance, but then again, it seemed as if it might be working. Once in a while a big white van would appear in front of his house and the connection would go dark, but within an hour or two, the van would leave and we'd get back online. Neither of us could explain why, if government agents were listening, they hadn't shut us down or come inside to arrest him. There was every reason to believe that they would. In our conversation that day in October, López mentioned that the agents raiding his home had given just one reason: They believed he was talking to a reporter and recording a video message. This led to a curious moment in our conversation, as the recording system on my end of the interview captured his denial. "It's not true," he said to anyone listening. "I've had no contact with any journalist!"

I don't mean to make light of the situation, but the truth is, we often did. Venezuela was coming off a summer that promised change. In July, the opposition movement sponsored a nonbinding referendum on the government's plan to rewrite the Constitution. With more than seven million ballots cast, 98 percent of voters opposed the government. Soon after that, emissaries from the government approached opposition leaders to begin a formal negotiation, with a primary focus on the release of political prisoners. Even as we spoke that day in October, the country was preparing for regional elections in which opposition candidates were expected to win by a landslide.

There were contradictory signals, of course, but the trajectory was toward transition. This was a time when headlines everywhere predicted a "<u>turning point</u>" for Venezuela, and I think on some unspoken level, López was counting on it. It was a gamble to speak publicly, but it wasn't crazy to imagine that by the time this article appeared, the political landscape could be transformed — that the opposition, which already held a supermajority in Congress, could win a similar proportion of

governorships; that a regional victory would carry into the municipal campaign in December; that they would enter this year's presidential race with momentum against a deeply unpopular president, polling at 25 percent; that the negotiation for political prisoners might even allow López to challenge Maduro himself. Polls at one point suggested he could win the presidency by a margin of 30 percent.

This was the conversation that I think we expected to have last summer: a look at the next chapter for Venezuela and the role he might play. Instead, with each passing day, the possibilities grew more slender. When voting began on the morning after that October call, nothing went as expected. The polling places for more than 700,000 citizens had mysteriously moved, in some cases so far that it would take hours for them to travel on crowded buses. Even so, by evening, officials were reporting overwhelming turnout and a breathtaking upset: Candidates from the ruling party had swept all but five of the governor's races. Amid a global <u>outcry of fraud</u>, several opposition parties withdrew from the municipal elections — and the government responded by invalidating those parties. The scheduled negotiation with opposition leaders began in November. In January, the talks collapsed. In February, officials dissolved the whole coalition of opposition parties.

Political leaders of every stripe were being detained by the secret police. The second-ranking member of Congress went into hiding at the Chilean Embassy, and about a dozen mayors fled the country. The state, the economy and the social fabric were unraveling all at once. Everywhere, people were leaving Venezuela any way they could. They piled into ramshackle boats and died at sea. They walked the highway toward Brazil, collapsing in heat and sun. They poured into Colombia, tens of thousands each day, a refugee crisis comparable in number to the flight of the Rohingya to Bangladesh. It seemed that every time I spoke with López, another friend had taken sanctuary in an embassy, gone to prison or fled.

I asked him one day recently how he was managing the pressure. The secret police had just returned to his house with another order to arrest him, and he was saying goodbye to his wife, Lilian, who was eight months pregnant, when one of the agents received a phone call to suspend the arrest. It wasn't clear when they might return.

"How are you feeling?" I asked.

"It's tough," he said. "It's tough after what happened. Every day I think is the last day I have to be with my kids."

I asked if he ever thought about trying to escape. "Most people tell me that I should," he said. "But I believe a commitment to the cause means that I need to take the risk." As he spoke, I realized that what we had actually been talking about all these months, what he had been trying to communicate through this portal from his silence, was never really about the future of Venezuela or the role he hoped to play, and it wasn't about political ambition or the next chapter in history. It was something fundamental that kept coming up in offhand remarks. It was something that he learned in prison about the history we're always in.

**The line of people** waiting to leave Venezuela begins to form an hour before dawn. Migrants drift through unlit streets in the border town of San Antonio to gather at the foot of the Simon Bolívar Bridge, where they wait beneath a huge red banner that reads, "Don't speak ill of Chávez." When the checkpoint opens at 6 a.m., they push forward, moving shoulder to shoulder down the two-lane

highway into Colombia. There will be no tapering off through the day; there is no end to the people coming. Some have traveled more than a week to get here. It only takes a glance to see what sort of people they are: every sort, of every age, from every profession and social stratum — young families and older couples and clusters of itinerant boys and solitary young women looking several weeks overdue. If you stop for a moment on your way across the bridge, you can almost feel the deflating wind of the Venezuelan exodus at your back.

Historians have come up with all sorts of arguments about the arc of Venezuelan history and how things went so wrong. A couple of points strike me as indispensable to any case: Venezuela is the birthplace of Latin American independence and sits on the largest proven reserves of oil in the world. How you interpret the role of these factors in any given historic event is a matter of personal politics and granular debate, but you can't have a serious discussion about Venezuela without taking both into account. For most of the past century, the country has whipsawed between political movements that court and reflect and sometimes renounce the legacy of anti-imperialism and the towering glut of riches.

Like most of its neighbors, Venezuela endured a succession of *caudillo*strongmen in the early 20th century and responded with a radical leftist movement in the 1950s and '60s. Unlike its counterparts in neighboring countries, the Venezuelan left didn't get very far. Some of them took up arms in the mountains and limped through a series of gunfights, but by the end of the '60s, most had returned to a marginal place in conventional politics. One of the few who stayed in the fight was a guerrilla named Douglas Bravo, who called his nationalist political ideology "Bolívarianism." Bravo finally settled in Caracas in the 1980s, where he developed inroads with disaffected citizens and soldiers in the Venezuelan Army. Two of the acolytes he courted were the brothers Adán and Hugo Chávez, who welcomed the idea of leading a Bolívarian coup.

It took about a decade of recruitment and planning, during which time the Venezuelan establishment seemed to be doing everything possible to help them. For decades, the two major parties essentially passed the presidency back and forth in a power-sharing agreement that gave little heed to the country's swollen underclass. Venezuela's economic fault lines had become so fraught that in 1989 a rise in bus fares helped trigger deadly riots. By the time the Chávez brothers were ready to attempt their coup in 1992, a lot of Venezuelans were just happy to see the establishment take a hit. Although the coup failed and Chávez spent two years in prison, he emerged as a minor celebrity. By 1998, he was running for president.

It's easy now, with the country in turmoil, to dismiss the whole project of *chavismo*. But the election of Chávez in 1998 coincided with a groundswell of social and political movements for whom Chávez, with his energy and outrage, pledging to crack down on corruption and raise the minimum wage, seemed a natural ally. Whatever else Chávez became, he delivered on many of his promises. During his tenure, unemployment fell by half, the gross domestic product more than doubled, infant mortality dropped by almost a third and the poverty rate was nearly halved. You can chalk this up to other factors, like a tenfold increase in the price of oil that showered his administration with revenue, and you can argue that Chávez failed miserably to anticipate the next downturn in oil prices, but you can't really accuse him of making promises to the poor and then delivering to the rich, or keeping all the money for himself. Under his watch, income inequality dropped to one of the lowest levels in the Western Hemisphere. Chávez didn't have to steal elections. He was wildly popular among the poor and put his proposals up for election almost

every year. He introduced touch-screen voting, with thumbprint recognition and a printed receipt, an electoral system that Jimmy Carter described as being, among all the countries he had monitored, "the best in the world."

Chávez also possessed an autocratic impulse that was jarring from the start. Over the course of 14 years in office, he dismantled the country's democratic institutions one by one. There's an interesting debate among political theorists about what to call a leader who destroys a democracy with democratic support. It's possible to think of Chávez as a totalitarian or a tyrant for suppressing his opponents while rejecting the term "dictator" to describe a popular president. Chávez made no secret of his contempt for the country's extant political system; he couldn't even get through his first inauguration without ad-libbing, in the middle of the swearing-in ceremony, a promise to rewrite the Constitution — which he promptly did, consolidating power over the Legislature and the courts.

Any limit that Chávez might have been willing to accept on his power vanished in April 2002, when a junta of military officers and right-wing leaders tried to oust him in a coup. For about 36 hours, they installed as president a man named Pedro Carmona, who was the director of Venezuela's primary business consortium. Carmona's government proceeded to undermine institutions at a clip that would make even Chávez blush. In the single day of his presidency, he dissolved the Legislature, the Supreme Court and the Constitution and began to cleanse the Venezuelan military of anyone loyal to Chávez. This was too much even for critics of *chavismo*. The streets of Caracas exploded in protest, and crowds descended on the presidential palace. Soon Chávez was back in office, consolidating power more quickly than ever. He persecuted rivals and stacked the courts and levied so many restrictions on industry that the private sector essentially disappeared.

You can think of the decade between the coup and his death in 2013 as a gradual process of bleeding out public resources for public consumption. At a basic level, Chávez just wasn't very good at managing an economy. His budget spent the revenue from skyrocketing oil prices, and his control of the state oil company proved disastrous. Chávez believed that because oil reserves are a finite resource, it made sense to limit production and drive up the price of every barrel. This way of thinking is widely disputed, if not debunked. Producers are constantly developing new ways to find and access oil; between the American shale revolution and rising competition from alternative energy, most oil companies today want to pump as much oil as quickly as they can.

When Chávez took power in Venezuela, the state oil company was producing about 3.4 million barrels per day. Its leadership planned to almost double the volume. Instead, through a combination of Chávez's misguided theories and a general failure to invest in the company and installing his personal henchmen to run it, production of Venezuelan oil has fallen by nearly half. Oil prices have also dropped considerably over the past few years, but the country has little else to sell. According to the most recent data, oil accounts for about 95 percent of Venezuelan export earnings. Much of that oil is being shipped to Russia and China in exchange for help with the national debt, giving both countries expansive claims on Venezuelan production. The more desperate the Maduro regime becomes, the more these countries stand to gain.

What you've got then is a domino cascade: less and less oil, at lower and lower prices, with nothing else to sell, and a dependence on foreign money at the expense of future income. The final

chip in the cascade has been Venezuela's currency. As national revenue plunged, leaving a gap in the annual budget, Chávez and Maduro turned to the central bank to print more money. The number of Venezuelan bolívars has grown exponentially in recent years. When Maduro took power in 2013, the country's monetary base was about 250 billion bolívars. Today, it's more than 60 trillion. For a sense of scale, imagine if you had \$5,000 yesterday, and today it was \$1.2 million. I don't mean to suggest any meaningful comparison between your savings account and a national economy, but it's not difficult to imagine how a huge increase in money distorts the way people spend it.

Most countries around the world produce official inflation reports. The Venezuelan government has essentially stopped. One of the world's leading experts on hyperinflation is a professor at Johns Hopkins University named Steve Hanke, who has advised governments around the world on runaway inflation, including Venezuela in 1995 and '96. Hanke has been tracking the Venezuelan economy closely for the past five years, producing a daily estimate of the country's annual inflation. As I write this, his most recent estimate was 5,220 percent. The International Monetary Fund has predicted that inflation in Venezuela will reach 13,000 percent this year.

This is what you see in the faces of the people on the bridge leaving Venezuela. You see people trying to escape a country where basic supplies are nearly impossible to find and prohibitively expensive, where the price you paid for a car a few years ago won't buy a loaf of bread today. You see families with roll-aboard luggage and no plans to go back, and children who are crossing just for the day with nothing but a bunch of bananas. They will sell the bananas for a pittance in Colombian pesos, then return home to convert the cash into a small fortune of Venezuelan currency — at least for a few days, when their money will be worthless again.

**López was born** to privilege in the wealthy enclaves of northeastern Caracas. His father, Leopoldo López Gil, was the head of an international scholarship program who sat on the editorial board of a center-left newspaper. His mother, Antonieta Mendoza, was a distant relative of the first president of Venezuela, Cristóbal Mendoza, and of Simon Bolívar. Each side of the family had long traditions of political activism and dissent. López grew up hearing about his great-grandfather's 17 years in prison and his grandfather's role in the underground resistance. "We always heard those stories," his sister Diana told me. "I think it was always in Leo's memory." López said he relished the history in part because it felt so alien, snapshots of a country that he couldn't quite imagine. "I saw it as a faraway past of black-and-white pictures," he told me. "I never thought that in the 21st century, my own reality could be similar."

The Venezuela that López inhabited was the wealthiest country in Latin America. It welcomed tens of thousands of immigrants every year and had been a democracy since 1958. Skateboarding, swimming, crazy for girls, López at 13 was largely removed from the country's systemic inequities. He was on a school trip to the rural state of Zulia, passing through the region's oil fields, when he found himself unexpectedly moved by the destitution around him. "I was shocked by the poverty level," he recalled, "and the fact that below these very humble barrios and dramatic poverty, we had huge potential." Diana told me that López began making trips into western Caracas "to try to understand the dynamics of the city." At school, he immersed himself in student leadership, becoming vice president of the student government and captain of the swimming team.

After college, López briefly enrolled at Harvard Divinity School but left after one semester to enroll in the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard. He completed a master's thesis on the legal and economic framework of oil production in Venezuela and traveled through Nicaragua and Bolivia to study the impact of microloans. In 1996, he returned home for a job in the strategic planning office of the state oil company.

Watching the ascent of Chávez in 1998, López was unimpressed. "Ever since the establishment of the Venezuelan republic in 1830, for the most part we've had military in the government," he told me. "And that has created a militaristic way of governing." I asked López if there was ever a point when he reconsidered his opinion of Chávez. "For one day," he said with a laugh. "When he spoke about microcredits to the poor."

As Chávez took office and began making plans to rewrite the Constitution, López campaigned for a seat in the constitutional convention. He lost that election but rallied with two other failed candidates to create a new political party, then he entered the 2000 campaign for mayor of the city's most affluent borough. He won with 51 percent of the vote.

Over the next eight years, López gained international attention as mayor of Chacao. He began by raising business taxes while offering incentives for companies to move into the district. With revenue up, he commenced a series of public works, building health clinics and schools, a theater, a public market and a recreation center. Still unmarried and in his early 30s, he was comically hands on, forever rolling up his sleeves at groundbreaking ceremonies and appearing, in Cory Booker fashion, at predawn crime scenes to consult with detectives in the blinking red light. In a city notorious for crime, he implemented policing measures that were popular in the United States—think "zero tolerance" and "broken windows" and "compstat" multivariate analysis. His platform, then, was a heterodox mash-up of initiatives that span the political spectrum, from lefty measures like raising corporate taxes to conservative models of policing. Residents loved it. In 2004, he was re-elected with 81 percent of the vote, and during his second term he met and married a prominent television personality named Lilian Tintori. In 2008, López left office with 92 percent approval and a ranking from the City Mayors Foundation as the third-best mayor in the world.

Skimming this résumé, you can see why people often regard López in a gauzy half-light, but even as he thrived as mayor of Chacao, he was becoming a polarizing figure. By the end of his second term, he was one of the most promising young politicians in Venezuela and one of the least capable of getting along with others. Within the opposition movement, López represented a radical wing. The word "radical" is often used about López in a misleading way. He favors a mixed economic model of expansive social services in health care, education and housing, offset by a large private sector of manufacturing and industry. On the spectrum of American politics, he would probably land in the progressive wing of the Democratic Party.

Where you can describe López as a radical is the way he approaches political activity. He believes that a relentless campaign of street demonstrations and civil disobedience is essential to challenge an authoritarian government. On any given day in 2002, a person walking through Caracas had a good chance of spotting the mayor of Chacao standing on a bench in some public park, bellowing at a crowd through a megaphone. How useful this was to the project of building a mature political party with governing potential was a matter of opinion, but López believed that the movement would get nowhere by relying on decorous party mechanics.

One way to measure the success of a strategy is to study its response. López became a frequent target of physical and administrative attacks. From 2002 to 2006, there were three major attempts on his life, one of which left him cradling a bodyguard dying of a gunshot meant for López. During his tenure as mayor, he was accused by the comptroller's office of paying municipal expenses from the wrong part of his budget and barred from seeking public office until 2014. López appealed the decision and prepared to run for mayor of Caracas. He was leading with 65 percent of the vote when the Supreme Court upheld the comptroller's decision. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights ruled the ban illegal and ordered Venezuela to let López run, but the government ignored the order and López has been forbidden to hold public office ever since.

By 2008, he was also clashing with other opposition leaders. He left the party he helped found, joined another and soon had issues with its leadership as well. In August, that party expelled him, and he began making plans to create yet another. American diplomats in Caracas weren't sure what to make of López. A classified cable to Washington described his "much-publicized rebelliousness," noting that López "will not hesitate to break with his opposition colleagues to get his way." Another referred to him as "a divisive figure" who was "often described as arrogant, vindictive and power-hungry."

In 2012, still barred from running for office, López threw his support behind an opposition candidate in the presidential election. Chávez beat that candidate by a 10-point margin but died soon after, opening the door for a repeat campaign against Maduro. When the electoral board announced that Maduro had won by a single percentage point, López suspected fraud. He pushed for the opposition movement to stage a public demonstration. Most of the other opposition leaders dismissed the idea, but in January 2014, López called for his supporters to take the streets.

By February, protests were springing up in every province. On Feb. 12, López rallied thousands of students at the edge of a park in Caracas. After his speech, they marched to the office of the attorney general a mile away. Some of the protesters began throwing rocks at the building. Security officers emerged, and two protesters were shot. Though López was gone before the violence began, officials accused him of being the "intellectual author" of the skirmish, and the attorney general issued a warrant for his arrest.

López and Tintori took refuge that night in a friend's apartment. They recorded a video message for the public. "I want to say to all Venezuelans that I do not repent," López said. He spent a few days in hiding, then recorded another video asking his supporters to gather at a downtown plaza on Feb. 18, dressed in white as a sign of peace, to bear witness as he turned himself in.

That morning, he climbed on a motorcycle and rode into the city. A large crowd was gathering, and the police had set up checkpoints to intercept him. López tried to find a way around the checkpoints but couldn't. He finally rode up to a cluster of police officers from the Chacao district and removed his helmet. The officers recognized him, saluted and waved him through. López saw the crowd extending in every direction. Thousands upon thousands of people had come dressed in white. He waded through them to a statue of the Cuban independence hero José Martí and climbed the pedestal to look over the sea of faces. Someone handed him a megaphone, and he raised it. "If my imprisonment helps to awaken a people," he called out, "then it will be worth the infamous imprisonment imposed on me."

After a short speech, he climbed down from the pedestal, where soldiers were waiting to arrest him. They pulled him inside an armored vehicle, but the crowd pressed in, rocking it. Minutes passed, then half an hour. The truck was trapped by the crowd. Someone gave López a handset connected to the vehicle's outside speakers. He called to the crowd that he was safe and that they should clear a way for the truck to get through. Slowly, almost grudgingly, they parted the path for López to prison.

Officials placed López in a concrete tower on a military base outside the city, charging him with terrorism, arson and homicide. Amnesty International condemned his prosecution as "an affront to justice" and "a politically motivated attempt to silence dissent." For his initial arraignment, he was taken from his cell in the middle of the night and marched outside to face a judge on a bus. The rest of the proceedings took place at the Palace of Justice in Caracas, a five-story edifice that sprawls across 1.5 million square feet downtown. Over the next 19 months, he traveled there nearly 100 times, in a motorcade of armored S.U.V.s, wearing a bulletproof vest, with his hands shackled together, wedged between two guards armed with machine guns and two more behind him. Each time López appeared in court, the Palace of Justice shut down.

The trial hinged on speech. No one accused López of being violent himself. Prosecutors scaled back the charges, arguing that he inspired violence in others. They brought in a linguistic expert to examine transcripts of his speeches and claimed that his message of peaceful protest disguised a "subliminal" call to violence. They introduced more than 100 witnesses, some of whom testified that they had received the subliminal messages. López tried to introduce his own witnesses, but the judge wouldn't allow it.

A few words about the judge who signed his arrest warrant and a lead prosecutor and attorney general: They all repent. The judge who signed the warrant later admitted that she had been forced to do so. The lead prosecutor, after fleeing the country, denounced the case against López as "a farce," saying "100 percent of the investigation was invented." The attorney general, Luisa Ortega, escaped to Colombia last summer and says that the vice president of Maduro's party instructed her to pursue López. I tracked Ortega down a few weeks ago, and we met for coffee in Bogotá. When I asked her about the criminal charges against López, she shook her head in dismay. "Without a doubt," she said, "Leopoldo López is a political prisoner."

Ortega told me it had been illegal to hold a civilian like López in a military prison. Over the course of three years, his conditions grew progressively worse. In the early stage, he was allowed to read and write, and a local university devised a program of study. He read Venezuelan poets, Ralph Waldo Emerson, the diary of Ho Chi Minh and a biography of Nguyen Van Thuan. He was consuming several volumes a week, until officials began to restrict what he could read. Eventually, they prohibited everything except the Bible. He read it from Genesis to Revelation. Then they took the Bible, too.

He was moved to a new cell, then another. He spent months in solitary confinement in a room that was six feet by 10 feet. He would sit in silence trying to pray or meditate and summon any possible reason for gratitude: that he could feel himself breathing; that his wife and children were safe; that through the window he could hear the commotion of the outside world — a passing truck, a twisting wind, some emphatic bird.

Without his books, he reflected on those he had read. He remembered biographies of nonviolent leaders and the Birmingham letter from King, and he began to wonder if what they had in common wasn't just a commitment to resistance but some deeper observation about the character of history. This came through most clearly in King. His goal was never just to provoke or confront. It was to locate the elusive fulcrum between conflict and mediation — to produce an onslaught of pressure that forced officials to react while preserving an almost irrational faith in their capacity for good will.

"I had an illumination moment," López recalled. "One night, I couldn't sleep, and I was tossing from one side of the bed to the other, thinking about the son-of-a-bitch director of the prison. I was very, very angry, and I woke up the next morning, and I said: 'What am I doing? This guy is taking away my tranquillity, my sleep.'" He realized that the buildup of anger threatened to distort his thinking. He began trying to separate his outrage from his fury. He continued to defy the arbitrary rules of prison — composing and smuggling a stream of subversive messages to the outside — but when the guards would charge into his cell to look for contraband, shouting and tearing through his things, he searched for calm. He would stand back, lifting his hands in a posture of self-defense and say in the most measured tone he could muster that he would protect himself if necessary. In the hours between, the interminable stretches of solitude, he tried to be honest with himself about what anger had cost him. It wasn't just a threat to his state of mind but to his politics, his movement and the way he conceived the future.

"In the past, I was in confrontation with different views," he told me. "Now I understand that everybody is needed in order to reach a way out of this disaster." He thought of books he had read on postwar Europe and the South African emergence from apartheid, and he realized that Venezuela would never find stability if it were cleaved into disparate sectors. It would be necessary to forge, like Mandela with F.W. de Klerk or King with Lyndon Johnson, some tentative *confianza* between the opposition and supporters of *chavismo*. "A lot of people in the opposition have resentment, and I understand that," he told me. "But I think our responsibility is to move beyond the personal resentment. Four years in prison have given me the possibility of seeing things a different way, of putting rage in its perspective."

A few nights ago, I was speaking with López a little before midnight. His family was asleep, and in the quiet hours he was bracing for the possibility that appearing in these pages could trigger his return to prison. This was something we had talked about many times. His eldest daughter was a toddler when he first went to prison and is now a little girl. His son had been less than a year old and was just now getting to know his father. At the end of January, López and Tintori had a second daughter, and it troubled him to think that years could pass before he saw any of his children again.

"It's not easy," he said quietly. "It's not easy, but I have the responsibility to speak my mind. I've been in prison four years now because of speaking my mind, and if I self-censor, I'm beaten by the dictatorship." López said he still believed that with the right leadership, Venezuela could rebound. He thought of postwar Japan and South Korea and Europe. He knew that stabilizing the bolívar could be accomplished by attaching its value to a foreign currency, and that under a new government, the private sector would return. He believed the country's oil production would recover under good management, and he had been working for nearly a decade on a plan to convert the national oil company into a kind of Social Security trust, with investment shares assigned to the public for retirement, education and emergencies.

The challenge was to reach a point where any of that work could begin. As the crisis in Venezuela deepened, the path to a transition seemed more obscure than ever. Politicians, historians, think-tank pontificators — everyone had some sort of proposal, but the problem, if you studied each of them, was that none had very much chance of happening, or of working.

Start with the Trump administration, which has lately suggested a military coup. Speaking in February, Secretary of State Rex Tillerson mused that in a situation like Venezuela's, "it's the military that handles that," to which Senator Marco Rubio later added on Twitter that the Venezuelan military should "restore democracy by removing a dictator." Apart from the obvious fact that removing a dictator is no guarantee of democracy, there aren't many people in Venezuela who consider a coup likely. A few weeks ago, I met up with the leader installed by the last military coup, Pedro Carmona, who told me the military has been purged of dissent, with senior officers monitored for ideological purity by the Cuban intelligence service. "The G2 has a facility in Caracas, spying on the Venezuelan military," he said. "So on the military side, the best I could hope would be for them not to repress the people."

Pressure from outside Venezuela has also been slow to coalesce. Critics accuse the members of the Organization of American States of failing to constrain the Maduro government, which showers oil bounty on several member nations. A smaller coalition of Latin American countries has joined with Canada to create the Lima Group, whose vociferous condemnation of the political repression has not converted to much concrete action. American sanctions have been steadily tightening in recent years. After a protracted debate between the National Security Council and the State Department, the Obama administration imposed limited sanctions in 2015, primarily targeting the financial assets of individual Venezuelan leaders. Mark Feierstein, who assumed responsibility for N.S.C. policy in the Western Hemisphere later that year, told me the administration had missed a critical opportunity to influence a 2016 negotiation between the Maduro government and the opposition. "The N.S.C., or at least I, was inclined to move more quickly," he said, "and I think the negotiations largely failed because pressure was taken off." The Trump administration has expanded the sanctions program, but how far to deepen sanctions, or expand them, or restrict the import of Venezuelan oil, is a brutal calculation about how much of the burden would be carried by the Venezuelan people, and whether adding to their misery is more likely to inspire an uprising or simply worsen the humanitarian disaster.

In recent months, there has also been rumbling about war. Trump has made oblique suggestions of a "military option" in Caracas, and even relatively moderate voices have begun to fantasize about cavalry. In January, the Harvard scholar Ricardo Hausmann, who served as Venezuela's minister of planning from 1992 to 1993, published a proposal suggesting that the Legislature invite a multilateral invasion force to help support a new government, making a comparison to the liberation of Europe. I spoke with several opposition leaders who welcome this idea, but this might say more about the country's desperation than the wisdom of the proposal. It's difficult to imagine Russia and China, after years of propping up the Venezuelan economy in exchange for oil, allowing a foreign invasion to threaten their investment. An even greater concern is internal: Maduro is polling at about 30 percent approval in a devastated economy, but nothing would rally former *chavistas* to his side like an occupying army. Venezuela is a heavily armed society and increasingly violent. To invite a military intervention is to welcome civil war.

A few months ago, it was possible to imagine an electoral path to change, but today nearly all the opposition parties have been disqualified from running. On the evening of Feb. 15, Maduro took this a step further, interrupting television and radio broadcasts to announce that the party López founded in 2009 is not a political organization but a "violent fascist group" operating "outside the law." When I spoke with López the next morning, he said that 87 party leaders were already in prison. Those who remained were preparing to convert the party into a "clandestine organization." Soon, he said, they could be reduced to secret meetings and tossing pamphlets on street corners from unmarked vans.

But even as conditions spiraled down, I watched López try to incorporate what he learned in prison to daily life. Unable to speak publicly, he developed a network of private channels — reconnecting with leaders of the political parties from which he'd split, making inroads with members of the Maduro government and with foreign ministers and heads of state. During the recent negotiation between opposition leaders and the government, López was in contact with all sides; even after his party withdrew from the dialogue, he continued to consult with leaders who remained at the table. When disputes spilled over among them, he provided a back channel, an invisible hub to which it seemed as if all spokes connected.

López was also flexible in his thinking about transition. Through most of our conversations, he strongly opposed the idea of military action, but when we spoke late the other night, he said he was beginning to think differently. An unwelcome mechanism can bring welcome change.

"In 1958, there was a military coup that began the transition to democracy," he said. "And in other Latin American countries, there have been coups that called elections. So I don't want to rule anything out, because the electoral window has been closed. We need to go forward on many different levels. One is street demonstrations; a second is coordination with the international community. But this is how I'm thinking now: We need to increase all forms of pressure. Anything, anything that needs to happen to produce a free and fair election."

If it was jarring to hear this from López, it was matched by another development. For several months, the secret police had been coming to his front door about four times a day to photograph him with a copy of the day's newspaper. Lately, López had begun to invite the agents in. He had recently spoken with one for more than two hours, offering him a slice of cake from his daughter's birthday and talking about the inflation crisis and the recent massacre of a small rebel group. "We've developed — I wouldn't say a good relationship, but a relationship," he said.

Thinking about these developments together, it seemed to me that López was trying to strike an increasingly difficult balance. He was willing to entertain proposals that he found abhorrent six months ago, but he was also making a greater effort to open the door for dialogue. The struggle he faced was a heightened version of the tension in all history. It was to locate the elusive fulcrum between his rage and faith.

# EL PAÍS

Dec. 12, 2017

#### We Will Rescue Venezuela

In receiving the Sakharov Prize, the Venezuelan opposition reinforces its commitment to democratize the country.

#### By Lilian Tintori

In Soviet dissident Andrei Sakharov's 1975 Nobel Peace Prize speech, delivered by his wife Yelena Bonner, he wrote "It is unbearable to consider that at this very moment that we are gathered together in this hall on this festive occasion, hundreds and thousands of prisoners of conscience are suffering from undernourishment." As the European Parliament honors the Venezuelan Democratic Opposition, and all of the country's political prisoners, with the 2017 Sakharov Prize for Freedom of Thought, we could say these very same words.

While Venezuelans all over the world celebrate this prestigious honor, our merriment is bittersweet. For while the recognition of our struggle and this show of solidarity heartens us, we know that this award only comes from great tragedy. Today in Venezuela, there are over 300 political prisoners, experiencing what Sakahrov called "the ceaseless struggle for their human dignity." They are subject to cruel acts of degrading treatment and torture; locked in windowless cells, nicknamed 'the Tomb', and threatened with immolation unless they confess to crimes they did not commit. Current 'crimes' of the detained including: sending a tweet critical of the government, using a megaphone to call for freedom, and criticizing the government as a public official. These prisoners, in their fight for dignity, embody the courage of our people; despite knowing the atrocities that the regime is capable of, they have demanded tirelessly the freedom of our people, peacefully and in accordance with our constitutional rights.

This mistreatment of political prisoners is only part of a broader pattern of suppressing basic rights and subjecting the population to deprivation conditions in order to try and weaken dissent. The current humanitarian crisis in Venezuela today is the realization of our worst nightmares. Our hospitals lack 85% of medicines and our grocery stores have no food. Three-fourth of Venezuelans have lost an average weight of 9 kilograms. Currently as many as 300.000 children are at risk of dying from malnutrition and the resurgence of malaria and diphtheria in 2017. And in the last forty days alone, 11 children have died from malnutrition. But the Venezuelan government refuses to accept any humanitarian aid. What kind of government chooses to let its own children starve?

Almost four years ago, my husband Leopoldo López, one of the political prisoners being honored today, began his protest against this injustice. Angered by the arrest of our youth students, and anticipating the coming humanitarian disaster, he asked that Venezuelans peacefully take to the streets to begin a fight. After three years and ten months of unjust imprisonment in a military prison, he continues his arbitrary detention under house arrest. While we can now better protect his physical integrity at home with his family, he has become subject to more censorship than ever before. Even with an ankle monitor to track his every move, he must have his picture taken by security guards four times a day. He is forbidden to speak publicly.

But though the regime tries so desperately to silence us, they cannot hide their disaster anymore. Leaders all over the world have been upfront in their demands for the total restoration of democracy in Venezuela. And Secretary Almagro of the Organization of the American States has initiated a special commission to potentially refer Venezuelans officials to the International Criminal Court for crimes against humanity. Here in Venezuela, we are working to support this effort by hosting public hearings where victims of the government's abuses can properly document these violations. We know that we cannot overcome this dictatorship on our own and so we are grateful for the work of our allies around the world.

But the awarding of the prize today should cause a moment for reflection. Those who deserve most to attend this ceremony, instead, will spend the night in a damp prison cell. The Sakharov Prize is one of the highest distinctions in the fight for human rights; however, we must not take it for granted. 2002 Prize winner, Oswaldo Paya, never did get to see a free Cuba. This award today should encourage all our allies to remain steadfast in supporting our struggle. Specifically, the European Union must ensure that the Venezuelan Government accept the opposition's demand for the release of all political prisoners. Additionally, we need the help of the international community in securing our three other main demands: the restoration of an independent national electoral council, the opening of a United Nations-led humanitarian channel, and the reinstatement of the democratically-elected National Assembly.

Similar to Sakahrov and his 1975 speech, I write these words worlds away from the ceremony in Strasbourg. While the Venezuelan government has robbed me of my ability to leave the country, they will not stop me from being heard. Despite our struggle, I am more inspired than ever before. Eight months into my pregnancy, people ask me how I feel about bringing a child into this world. I fear for my children, like any mother would, but I believe in the future of my country. And as I watch the countless brave Venezuelans taking to the streets daily and waving our flag high, I know that we will rescue Venezuela.

Lilian Tintori is a human-rights activist and the wife of Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López



June 18, 2017

# The Venezuelan Humanitarian Crisis in Perspective

#### By Lilian Tintori

On May 8th, a Trinidadian newspaper reported that three Venezuelan doctors had drowned at sea. They had travelled to Trinidad in desperate search for medical supplies unavailable in Venezuela. Their deaths occurred on their trip home. Three more deaths added to the lists of too many avoidable deaths caused by the dire economic situation in Venezuela.

Despite having the world's biggest crude oil reserves, our economy has a hyperinflation estimated at 700 percent, while people are left digging through piles of trash for scraps of food. But rather than relieve our suffering, the Maduro Government has compounded our misery with a dialogue, the results were clearly skewed. Over the course of the dialogue, there was an increase in the number of political prisoners jailed, a cancellation of a constitutionally-mandated recall referendum, and the unconstitutional postponement of regional elections. Without any concessions from the government, dialogue is only a maneuver by the regime to stay in power. Lately an unconstitutional and failed attempt was led by the Supreme Court to dissolve the opposition-led National Assembly, and that is why Venezuelans, like myself, took to the streets to exercise our constitutional right to peaceful protest and demand the return of democracy.

Since April 1st, we have been protesting every single day the most repressive regime in our nation's history. But the regime's response to our cries for help has been to unleash their brutal force to disperse our peaceful demonstrations. Government armed forces throw tear gas from helicopters, run over protestors with armed tanks, and now due to lack of ammunitions shoot buckshot directly at protesters. At least 67 have been killed.

The deaths directly linked to the Armed Forces or colectivos, government-armed gangs that act with complete impunity. Organizations on the ground have identified almost 3,000 arbitrary detentions, over 1300 wounded that include 10 assemblymen, numerous reports of torture, and

more than 303 political prisoners. Now, Maduro has turned to military courts to, prosecute civilians in tribunals that the government closely controls. One of those political prisoners is my husband, Leopoldo López, an opposition leader and prisoner of conscience, who has been in jail for three years for challenging, through non-violent protest, the anti-democratic acts of the Venezuelan government.

Having broken free from the chains of our colonizers, both Venezuela and Jamaica flourished into democracies with robust economic relations and a special relationship. Indeed, Jamaica along other countries of the Caribbean benefited in the 1980s from the San Jose accord, which provided oil from Venezuela to participating countries a significant discount market rates. But I also believe that such a special relationship comes with important responsibilities, as laid out and identified in the Inter-American Democratic Charter.

I know that the Maduro regime sells an attractive narrative that their anti-democratic actions are justified in the interest of mitigating social inequality, but the reality is that we have arrived at the inevitable collapse of a failed model where power is corrupted and held in the hands of an elite few. With 90% of Venezuelans wanting a change in government, this narrative is a lie. The only equality achieved by this regime has been the universal increase in our suffering.

With these acts, the regime has reneged on its international commitments to human rights and democracy. And now this government is starting to renege on its bilateral commitments as well. Indeed, Venezuela caused a \$1 billion gap in Russia's budget as a result of its inability to pay its debts to Moscow. This instability will have consequences felt internally in the country and in the region. Already, we see the regional impact of 150,000 Venezuelans fleeing the country in 2016. And as the Maduro regime gets more desperate, we can expect many more Venezuelans fleeing abroad. And we are not the only ones who realize the irreversible effects of this instability. Major fractures are occurring within the government, as we see shifts in public officials, who in the past were complicit with rights abuses, now changing their tune.

The Attorney General has distanced herself from Maduro in recent weeks, openly criticizing the ruling of the Supreme Court to take over the National Assembly's legislative powers, and the attempt by the Maduro government to rewrite the country's Constitution and strip Venezuelans from their right to chose with this manoeuvre, that rearranged districts where the government still enjoys some support and will wipe democracy with the appearance of legitimacy. Indeed Datanalisis polling firm found that 85 percent of Venezuelan respondents were opposed to Maduro's plan.

With Maduro becoming isolated both domestically and internationally, now is the time for the region to hold the Maduro regime accountable. We have arrived at the inevitable collapse of a failed model where power is corrupted and held in the hands of an elite few. There are other ways to end our suffering beyond never-ending dialogue that are enshrined in our constitutional petition,

they include: the opening of a humanitarian channel to allow food and medicines, elections at the national and regional level, respect for he National Assembly autonomy and the release of all political prisoners.

Venezuela's political unrest is testing the loyalties of many who benefited in the past from the assistance of the government. When the foreign ministers of the region meet again later this month, I trust that these leaders in the OAS will identify solutions that should not be interpreted as an affront to our country's sovereignty, but rather as a sign to the adherence to individual rights and recognition of human dignity, enshrined in the Inter-American Democratic Charter. I implore Jamaica to take a stand in support of human dignity in the case of Venezuela.

Lilian Tintori is a Venezuelan athlete, television and radio host, human rights activist and the wife of jailed opposition politician Leopoldo López.



June 1, 2017

#### If the World Lets Venezuela Fall, Democracy in the Region Will Fall with It

This is morally indefensible.

#### By Lilian Tintori

CARACAS, Venezuela — For the past two months, Venezuelans like myself have taken to the streets to exercise our constitutional right to peaceful protest against the most repressive regime in our nation's history. While the unconstitutional and failed attempt by the Supreme Court to dissolve the Venezuelan National Assembly on March 29 sparked these protests, we have sustained them for so long because we know we are in the fight of our lives. We protest amidst an immense humanitarian crisis. Our failing economy has led to a scarcity of food and medicine, which has in turn led to an increase in the prevalence of malnutrition and other preventable diseases in the country.

Our suffering is gravely compounded by the government's despotic response to our cries for help. Since the start of the protests, there have been at least 60 deaths and about 1,000 people have been wounded, many a result of the indiscriminate violence used by the armed forces. Organizations on the ground have identified almost 3,000 arbitrary detentions, numerous reports of torture and some 303 political prisoners. One of those political prisoners is my husband, Leopoldo López, the opposition leader and a prisoner of conscience.

Leopoldo has been in prison for over three years because he publicly challenged the regime by calling for peaceful protests as a way out of our suffering. Three years later, and the people of Venezuela still hear his call. He remains in jail because the Nicolás Maduro government fears him.

The repression against us becomes more violent every day. Violence, as Mahatma Gandhi once roughly said, is the fear of the ideals of others, and the regime fears our ideals of peace and freedom. There already have been deaths due to tear gas thrown directly at crowds, and armed

tanks have rolled over protesters. Now, facing a shortage of ammunitions, the regime has begun shooting buckshot.

The government's violence has only strengthened our resolve and increased the international support for our cause. But the Maduro regime is now taking illegal and unconstitutional action in an attempt to dismantle that support. When the governments of the region tried to hold President Maduro to account in the Organization of American States for his anti-democratic acts, he responded by choosing to begin the process for withdrawing Venezuela from the organization rather than face his international and legal commitments. When our people gathered signatures for a recall referendum, Maduro stopped it from happening. Now, when we have called for early elections, Maduro says he is going to rewrite the constitution to redistribute political representation in the country so that the voice of the majority will be silenced in favor of dictatorship.

These acts isolate Maduro internationally and draw comparisons to some of the worst dictatorships in the region's past. His control over the country is unsustainable, as is the overall crisis. We have arrived at the inevitable collapse of a failed model where power is corrupted and held in the hands of an elite few. This reality to which we are subjected is inhumane, and it does not correspond to the promises of the 21st century.

We organize in defense of our fundamental rights. We have released our strategy very publicly, a strategy first stated by Leopoldo three years ago. Our method is non-violence, our terrain is the street and our purpose is all rights for all people.

Venezuelans have resisted on the streets, and we will be there for as long as is necessary. But the international community should also recognize that we understand the need to identify constitutional means to usher in a democratic transition.

To start, we have identified four demands for a path forward: the release of all political prisoners, the opening of a United Nations-led humanitarian channel, the immediate scheduling of general elections and the respect for the autonomy of the National Assembly.

We are under immense pressure because what is at stake is not only the survival of Venezuelans, but the survival of democracy in the region as well. How is it possible that a nation with the resources of Venezuela cannot feed its citizens? That our children die of curable diseases for lack of basic medicines? That mothers do not know if their children will return home each day or succumb to the violence on the street? This is morally indefensible.

And the sad truth is that a corrupt few benefit from this chaos, including the government officials who profit off of our misery on the black market and the narco-traffickers who often don't faces any foreseeable consequences. But there are many who help prop up this regime who do not benefit from this situation — and they are not happy. Those National Guard members under orders

to shoot at us protesters fear that their family members and friends may be on the receiving ends of their bullets. They, too, have no way to properly feed their families and no hope if a family member gets sick.

I have talked at length with Leopoldo about the need to build bridges with those factions of the government that want to detach from the dictator and his criminal actions. We know there are very few people who support this instability, which has generated a massive exodus of Venezuelans, skyrocketing rates of crime and rolling blackouts that have come to define life in this energy-rich country. This regime, whose highest officials are accused of drug trafficking, is a stain on the country and the continent. But this dark hour serves as an opportunity. With around 90 percent of Venezuelans expressing a desire for change in the country's current situation, now is the time for us reconcile our differences and come together for the greater good of the country. Reconciliation will bring us peace, and our diversity of thought and background will make us a stronger nation.

Venezuelans have shown that we have the commitment and the courage to rescue our democracy. Despite our differences, we are more united than ever. And we ask that the international community share our solidarity.

As May drew to a close, foreign ministers of the OAS nations met to discuss Venezuela. They deferred taking action this week because they couldn't come to a consensus on a way forward. While this was disappointing, the collapse of Venezuela is only going to accelerate as the humanitarian crisis grows and Maduro is forced to take more extreme measures to maintain his grip on power. When the foreign ministers meet again later this month, I trust that the OAS will take the necessary action, in line with the values enshrined in the Inter-American Democratic Charter, to stand on the right side of history.

Lilian Tintori is a human rights activist and wife of imprisoned Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López.



May 15, 2017

# Canada Can Help Save Venezuela's Democracy

#### By Lilian Tintori

Since April 4, hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans have taken to the street to peacefully advocate for change. President Nicolas Maduro's government has responded to the exercising of our constitutional rights by dropping tear gas from helicopters, sending military and armed gangs to shoot at crowds and arresting more than 1,900 of us. The violent response of the regime has left at least 37 protesters dead – one of them only 14 years old – but we remain undeterred. Our demands are as clear as they are compelling: the unconditional release of all political prisoners; the holding of general elections; the opening of a United Nations-run humanitarian effort; and a full restoration of the rights of governance for our National Assembly, which has been substantially stripped of its powers by Mr. Maduro's Supreme Court.

We protest amid a humanitarian crisis unseen in Venezuelan history. Hyperinflation, expected to be 1,600 per cent in 2017, has caused severe shortages of food and medicine. Half of Venezuelan children do not get three meals a day and more than a million students have dropped out of school because of hunger. Hospitals are unable to treat curable diseases and both infant and maternal mortality are skyrocketing. Our desperation has led to more than 150,000 people fleeing the country in 2016. As our conditions worsen, we can expect that number to increase.

With the world's largest oil reserves, Venezuela should be prosperous. But today, more than 80 per cent of Venezuelan households live in poverty. This paradox weighs heavily on me. While we used to be the richest country in Latin America, poor governance has led to the implosion of our economy – a collapse mirrored by the demise of our democracy. Political mismanagement and corruption have bankrupted our country and killed our democratic institutions.

To further sow fear and quell dissent in face of this democratic breakdown, this repressive dictatorship has arbitrarily imprisoned more than 180 political prisoners. One of them is my

husband Leopoldo Lopez, who has been wrongly detained for the past three years for calling for a change in our current government through peaceful, democratic means enshrined in our constitution. He is serving 14 years in prison after a sham trial, which even the lead prosecutor admitted was a farce. Though a civilian, Leopoldo is being kept in a military prison where he is routinely denied his legal right to see his family and lawyers. Just recently, he was held incommunicado for more than a month.

Many other civilian political prisoners are being detained in military prisons and tried in military tribunals – after the Attorney-General, Luisa Ortega, announced that her office would no longer prosecute cases against protesters. As more government leaders publicly critique Mr. Maduro's actions, the reliance on the military as a tool for repression highlights the current fractures in the government that worsen our institutional crisis.

In the face of these crises, more than 80 per cent of Venezuelans say they want a new government. But the current government has undermined any attempts for change. After elections in late 2015 resulted in an opposition supermajority in the National Assembly, the government declared a state of emergency and started ruling through decree, further eroding any separation of powers. Since then, it has refused to schedule any elections and, in the past month, it has led two self-coups to invalidate and undermine the National Assembly. With no domestic political recourse, we have taken to the streets

But our efforts in the streets must be amplified by our international allies' efforts to rebuke the Maduro regime's anti-democratic acts. Canada has been a strong supporter of our cause. Together with other leaders in the region, the Canadian government has helped move the Organization of American States toward invoking its Inter-American Democratic Charter in the case of Venezuela. Even as the Maduro regime seeks a way out by initiating its withdrawal from the OAS, Canada has not allowed it to escape its responsibilities. I am in Ottawa this week to publicly thank the Canadian government and its citizens for their support. I am also here to ask Prime Minister Justin Trudeau to call for the release of political prisoners and to spearhead an international effort to open a humanitarian channel to Venezuela. Given the regime's openness to humanitarian aid from the UN, I call on Canada to mobilize its significant resources to work with the UN to conduct an independent needs assessment in Venezuela and then develop a plan for aid distribution that focuses on those in most need.

As we continue to protest peacefully in the streets for our rights, the international community must move swiftly and beyond diplomatic statements to help us. And we need their support in alleviating our country's suffering and keeping our most vulnerable alive.

Lilian Tintori is a Caracas-based human-rights activist and wife of Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo Lopez.

### **EL PAÍS**

September 1, 2016

#### Venezuela and Its Liberating Spirit

A large and solid majority has risen and seeks political change through democratic means

By Leopoldo Lopez

I have been in the military prison Ramo Verde, close to Caracas, since February 18, 2014. My crime was protesting peacefully against the corrupt, inefficient, repressive, and anti-democratic government of Nicolas Maduro, and promoting a political – electoral – change envisioned in the Constitution of Venezuela. For this, I have been condemned to fourteen years of prison. But I am not alone. There are hundreds of citizens incarcerated for the same cause: the political leaders Antonio Ledezma, Manuel Rosales y Daniel Ceballos, the dozens of activists and students, and the millions who suffer daily abuses from the authoritarian regime that has governed our country since 1999.

The judgment to which I was subjected, together with four students – in which we were condemned without any proof and in violation of due process – and the abuses to which we have been objected to in this prison since day one, are only one example of a politic that systematically denies Venezuelans the plain exercise of their fundamental rights.

This has been the chosen strategy of the dictatorship of Nicolas Maduro to try to impede the rebirth of our democracy. There have been millions affected, but it is obvious that they have failed in their intent to subdue the Venezuelans. The overwhelming defeat that they suffered in the parliamentary elections last December, despite their obscene advantage, demonstrates this. It is a large and solid majority that has risen and looks for a political change in the path to democracy, attached to the Constitution.

This majority chose the route of a presidential recall referendum, provided in our Magna Carta as the appropriate mechanism to give way to that which now is an acute political necessity. The obstacles that the regime has put in front of this mechanism have been many, including the seizure of media and the persecution of dissidence.

While I write this note – which only in fragments can outwit the zeal of the prison guards – a great day of national civil protest is prepared for the first of September, the Great Takeover of Caracas. We are convinced that millions will participate and that with this peaceful public pressure and the

accompaniment of democrats of the world, a step will be achieved toward the electoral mechanisms provided for in the Constitution, and we will achieve political change.

The economic crisis devolved into a great humanitarian crisis which has ended in an initiation of a great political mobilization that will inevitably drive the revocation of the mandate of Nicolas Maduro. But, while removing the government is an immediate and important goal, it is not the only one. This long tragedy of almost 18 years, whose cost is immeasurable at all levels, should lead us to re-found a democracy on more just, and therefore more solid, bases. The conceptual failures and the human errors that carried us to this sad situation cannot be re-edited.

This demand drives us to the necessity of a Great National Accord, that includes all democratic sectors. The proposition is to form a civil government in national unit, that will go farther than a simple coalition of parties. A government that will be representative of the diversity and plurality of the liberating and republican spirit of the Venezuelans. A government committed to the objective of consolidating democratic institutionalism, to be able to reach the end that has animated our struggle throughout these years: that all rights are for all people, that all Venezuelans, without exclusion, receive the benefits of democracy and rule of law.

Only a government that arises from this agreement will be able to commit with energy and coherence to the priorities that Venezuela demands: to attend the humanitarian crisis provoked by Maduro and his crazy policies, to stabilize the economy, overcome the shortages and inflation, and to promote the economic growth based on national production and social inclusion.

A government thus conceived will also have as a priority and will spare no force to overcome the insecurity that today terrorizes the Venezuelan public. The insecurity, apart from being ignored has also been promoted by the narrative of this regime, which coupled with the economic crisis, has forced the exodus of millions of compatriots. As a corollary to these policies, there will be policies that encourage the return of all those who felt obligated to emigrate. Venezuela will need all of us.

Finally, and no less importantly, is the situation of the Venezuelan military. We recognize the importance of the Armed National Forces, however, we reject the pretension that this sector is erected as a conductor of the the Venezuelan society nor should invade spaces that correspond to the civil sectors. It is enough that there is an Armed Force that functions in the strictest manner to that established in the Constitution and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

I have no doubt that the noble people of Venezuela are on the verge of a great democratic victory and will rise to the demands of this crucial moment in our history.

### The Washington Post

February 26, 2016

# Three Steps Venezuela must Take to Turn the Country Around

By Leopoldo López

Leopoldo López was mayor of the Chacao district of Caracas, Venezuela, from 2000 to 2008 and the leader of the Popular Will opposition party.

Two years ago I was imprisoned for calling for a constitutional, democratic and peaceful change in the Venezuelan government. Our plan was called La Salida, "the exit." Not everyone agreed with our approach, and some called it radical. Today, the consensus is much stronger – President Nicolás Maduro must step down to save Venezuela from one of the greatest calamities in our history.

The people of Venezuela want this change to be peaceful and swift. The harder and more important question is: What comes next?

Venezuela faces a long and difficult road to recovery. Things may get worse before they get better. Deep damage has been inflicted on the pillars of our economy, the fabric of our society and the soul of our country. Deciding where to begin will be overwhelming. When everything must be fixed – at once – where does one start?

A hundred things must be done with urgency. I will single out three that rise above the rest.

First, we must restore our democratic and governance institutions. Those who believe that ideology alone caused the crisis in Venezuela are deeply mistaken. It was the systematic dismantling of checks and balances, oversight mechanisms and civil rights protections that led us to this collapse. Without strong institutional protections, no system of government can succeed, and the country will cease to function. Repairing this area cannot wait. It must be our first and highest priority.

Key steps that must be taken include restoring the impartiality and effectiveness of the judicial system – from the criminal courts to the Supreme Tribunal of Justice. We need a return to due process and equal rights under the law so that people can only be charged based on real evidence and judges must rule according to the legal code and not political orders. We must restore the independence of the National Electoral Council as an effective referee of the election process. We must end the practice of arbitrarily disqualifying candidates for political reasons and unwind the myriad ways in which elections are secretly stacked in favor of the ruling party. We must protect

and encourage freedom of speech – especially for news organizations, which must be allowed to pursue the truth with freedom and independence.

Second, we must heal the divisions in our society through a concrete program of reconciliation. This government leaves as its legacy a deeply divided country. Its practice has been to encourage Venezuelans to treat other Venezuelans as enemies. The poor are pitted against the wealthy; progovernment against anti-government; capitalist against socialist. Our recovery has no chance as long as we are divided against ourselves.

The formation of the next government provides a perfect starting point to begin this healing process. We need a government that provides all rights for all people – not just those who support one political party or ideology. While some must be held accountable for serious crimes, we should not be in a rush to punish or exclude all Chavistas, many of whom also are victims. And there can be no more *caudillos* – we need leaders who can govern responsibly and make decisions for the long-term benefit of everyone. Lastly, we must restore term limits to our top political positions and disavow the concept of life-long rule.

The third area of focus must be to rebuild the trust and confidence of the global community, which has been deeply damaged in recent years. Why is this important? Because we desperately need the world's help. Extreme shortages of food and medicine, hyperinflation, deteriorating infrastructure and a broken health-care system are merely symptoms of the humanitarian crisis we face. Addressing this will require one of the greatest reconstruction projects of this century, with outside investment of all kinds – in capital, expertise and partnerships.

To this end, we must restore global confidence in Venezuela as a nation that respects the law, human rights and its obligations to others. We must rebuild burned bridges with some of the world's most respected institutions, including the World Bank, the Organization of American States and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights – not to mention dozens of countries in this hemisphere and elsewhere. Our practice of aligning primarily with the pariah states of the world must end.

Furthermore, we must demonstrate that we will stand by our agreements with other nations, foreign investors and anyone else who does business with us. The practices of arbitrarily seizing assets, reneging on agreements and withholding promised payments have turned Venezuela into the world's most unreliable partner; changing this reality and this perception must be a top priority.

We have great assets to assist us in the many thousands of Venezuela's best and brightest citizens who now live elsewhere in the world. We must earn their confidence, too, and engage them in the rebuilding project to come.

These three priorities alone will not solve our crisis, but they set a foundation for countless other critical actions and hard choices to put food on shelves, grow the economy, increase exports, reduce crime, improve health care and give Venezuelans a chance to build their future.

As I view these challenges from my cell, I know how hard this road will be, but we can reach our destination. The soul of Venezuela is hurting, but it is strong, and it will prevail.



A PARTNERSHIP OF THE HUFFINGTON POST AND BERGGRUEN INSTITUTE

April 13, 2016

# By Blocking the Release of Political Prisoners, Maduro Is Eroding Venezuela's Democracy

By Jared Genser and Juan Carlos Gutiérrez

CARACAS, Venezuela – On March 30, the Venezuelan National Assembly passed a law that would extend amnesty to more than 70 political prisoners in Venezuela and set the country on a path toward justice and reconciliation. Upon the proposed law's passing, President Nicolas Maduro promised to block it, claiming that it is unconstitutional. On April 7, he referred the proposed law to the Supreme Court, urging them to overturn it.

Four days later, the Supreme Court followed Maduro's orders: the law is unconstitutional. The decision is no surprise: Maduro stacked the court with party sympathizers last year and the Chavez-era court never once ruled against the government during Chavez's tenure from 2004 to 2013. Thus, in addition to demonstrating the lack of judicial independence in the country, the Supreme Court and Maduro's actions are undermining Venezuelan democracy and dismissing any hopes for a reunification of its fragmented society.

It is clear Maduro sees no need to respect his country's democratic institutions.

The proposed amnesty law is, in fact, constitutional, and it builds on a well-established precedent for amnesties in Venezuela stretching back 200 years. Historically, many instances of political and social conflict have been resolved through the conferral of amnesty. In 1811, the Venezuelan First Republic granted amnesty to rebels in Valencia and in 1827, the Cosiata separatists received amnesty as well. In the 19th century, presidents such as Carlos Soublette, Juan Crisostomo Falcon, Joaquin Crespo, and Rojas Paul also enacted amnesties in support of the unification of Venezuelans. In the 20th century, additional amnesties were granted by Cipriano Castro and Eleazar Lopez Contreras, further establishing a precedent of reconciliation and peace through amnesty in Venezuela.

More recently, in 2000, an amnesty law was passed by the legislative assembly of that era that allowed for the definitive closure of grave crimes that had been committed during the Chavez-led coup in 1992. That time, cases of murder – killings that could have been considered crimes against

humanity – were summarily dismissed and soldiers who had been discharged for having taken part in the coup were even reinstated in their former positions as military personnel.

Then in 2007, Chavez signed an edict terminating criminal proceedings that stemmed from the attempted coup against him in 2002. These amnesty rulings were motivated by a need for national reconciliation and national pacification – just like today.

The current amnesty law is constitutional because it categorically prohibits the excusal of criminal acts that bear relation to crimes against humanity, war crimes and grave violations of human rights, as is required by Article 29 of the constitution. The law also stipulates that under no circumstance will amnesty be extended to people linked to drug trafficking or corruption, and especially those linked to crimes of terrorism.

The secretary general of the Organization of American States, Luis Almagro, called on Maduro to pass the law. And the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights publicly stated that the law was in line with international law and expressed disappointment at the law's rejection.

The amnesty law aimed to restore a type of justice that has long been absent in Venezuela.

The court's acceptance of this law would have been an impartial act that could have served as the first step in rebuilding recovering public confidence in an independent judiciary. Our client, the wrongly imprisoned Venezuelan opposition leader and prisoner of conscience, Leopoldo Lopez, has explained that amnesty is about justice, not impunity, for the persecuted political prisoners and their families. The law aimed to restore a type of justice that has long been absent in Venezuela, wherein no judge acts in a political manner, there are no political prisoners, there is no illegal alteration of evidence and no one can be judged on the basis of lies.

With his rejection of this law, President Maduro has denied any hope for peace and reconciliation in Venezuela. It is clear he sees no need to respect his country's democratic institutions. As Venezuela falls deeper into its humanitarian and economic crisis, we expect President Maduro to continue to disregard the autonomy of Venezuela's branches of government in order to maintain power. Last week, he threatened to cut the opposition-led National Assembly's term from 5 years to 60 days.

Venezuela is currently experiencing the biggest threat to its democratic order in recent memory. Countries around the world must stand with the Venezuelan people in defense of democracy and human rights, both in bilateral and multilateral settings. While some call for dialogue, there can be no meaningful dialogue without first releasing the political prisoners that are currently unjustly detained.

As has often been the case in Venezuela's history, amnesty is the only way forward. Maduro has the power to release the country's political prisoners and for the country to heal – and he must.



A PARTNERSHIP OF THE HUFFINGTON POST AND BERGGRUEN INSTITUTE

February 10, 2016

### I'll Keep Fighting for Venezuelans' Rights, Despite the Regime's Attacks on My Family

By Lilian Tintori

CARACAS, Venezuela -- The moment the tragic situation in Venezuela becomes normal, they have won. I tell myself this every time I enter Ramo Verde military prison to visit my husband, the Venezuelan opposition leader and prisoner of conscience Leopoldo López. At the prison, the guards regularly humiliate me, either by refusing my entrance, making me wait hours for no reason or conducting strip searches.

I know I am not alone in this embarrassment; countless women have allowed this routine, an indignity that must be silently endured to see our loved ones. However, in January, the degradation reached a new level, and so now I raise my voice on behalf of all women who are humiliated each time they visit a relative in Venezuela's prisons.

During this visit, the colonel in charge of Ramo Verde prison forced me to wait two hours before seeing Leopoldo, while other visitors were allowed to pass through. As I waited, he started to yell at me very loudly, discussing my marriage and insulting me in front of all the other soldiers. I asked him to lower his voice and he told me that if I continued talking back to him, I would be banned from visiting Leopoldo for months.

Eventually, I was moved to a separate area in the prison, a small room with two soldiers in it, who ordered me to remove my shoes, pants, t-shirt, undergarments and even my underwear. They later did the same thing to my mother-in-law, Antonieta Mendoza de López. But in her case, she was also forced to open her legs, while the soldiers repeatedly yelled at her, "Open them more!" in front of my children -- her grandchildren -- six-year-old Manuela and three-year-old Leopoldo Santiago.

The United Nations Committee Against Torture and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights set standards for acceptable conditions of detention and have judged that invasive visiting procedures violate the physical and psychological integrity of prisoners and their visiting relatives and qualify as cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment. Yet the Venezuelan government continues to employ these tactics as a way to demean and break us.

To my fellow women who are victims of such abuse: we must not allow ourselves to become

accustomed to it. We must never allow ourselves to become accustomed to the endless lines, insecurity, persecution and medical crises -- from the Zika virus to drug shortages -- that currently plague Venezuela. On Dec. 6, the people of Venezuela voted for change in legislative elections, and our National Assembly now has a supermajority from the political opposition aligned against Maduro. This starts by ending the need for people like me to visit their loved ones in prison. We must push to free the political prisoners, the persecuted and their families from these terrible situations.

On Feb. 18, my husband Leopoldo will have spent two years unjustly imprisoned by this regime, our young children will have grown two years older without their father and I will have spent two years fighting for my husband's release. But our new National Assembly has the means to choose a different future for my family. On numerous occasions, the U.N. has called for Leopoldo's immediate release -- a call that has been echoed by the Organization of American States along with many other international organizations and world leaders. The National Assembly is set to pass a law providing amnesty for the country's political prisoners, but this will not happen unless there is intense regional and international pressure on Maduro.

The soldiers who conducted those humiliating searches told us they were following orders from the leadership in the regime. If they are to be believed, which I am inclined to do, then we now know that the persecution and harassment will not stop until the leadership is held to account. The people of Venezuela are fighting the impunity of this regime, but it is not a fight we can win on our own.

We ask that all countries that value freedom, democracy and human dignity demonstrate to Maduro's regime that its attempts to silence domestic opposition will be met with unequivocal and relentless action, and that its lack of respect for the physical and psychological integrity of its citizens has been witnessed by the world and will not be tolerated.

Despite the outrage that Venezuelans share over our country's crisis, today we are full of faith and remain committed to fighting injustice. My resolve has only been strengthened as the pressure on everyone who has dared to confront the regime has gotten worse. The people of Venezuela will prevail in restoring our freedoms, including the release of all political prisoners, through peaceful and democratic means.

Lilian Tintori is a Venezuelan human rights activist.



December 3, 2015

# We Must Reclaim Democracy in Venezuela

President Nicolás Maduro has held Venezuelan democracy hostage. On Dec. 6, voters must take it back.

#### By Leopoldo López

RAMO VERDE PRISON, Venezuela – On Dec. 6, my country will hold legislative elections. Unfortunately, Venezuela is the first Latin American country to imprison leaders of the opposition during an election since Uruguay's dictatorship imprisoned Wilson Ferreira Aldunate in 1984. Here in Nicolás Maduro's Venezuela, four of us are in prison, along with 71 other political prisoners.

When I turned myself in to a government that actually accused me of using "subliminal messages" to incite violence, I knew that this would be my fate. Venezuela's current regime has always gone to great lengths to neutralize my engagement in politics, along with the engagement of so many others. Even as I sit in a small jail cell in Ramo Verde Military Prison, I do not regret surrendering to an unjust government in the name of democracy.

Though I have been condemned to serve nearly 14 years in prison, the United Nations recognized that my arrest and detention were arbitrary, and has called for my release. President Barack Obama, Organization of American States' Secretary General Luis Almagro, the European Parliament, and numerous world leaders – including President-elect of Argentina Mauricio Macri – have demanded my release. And Amnesty International has labeled me a prisoner of conscience.

I directly confronted the injustice and turned myself in on these false charges because I wanted to expose the illegitimacy of the Maduro regime. I hoped that my unjust persecution would inspire greater local and international support to advance change peacefully and democratically. As our parliamentary elections approach, our people have realized that the repressive, anti-democratic regime that currently rules Venezuela suffocates us. Recent polls show that, for the first time in 16

years, the opposition coalition, the Democratic Unity Roundtable (MUD), currently leads the ruling party, the United Socialist Party of Venezuela (PSUV), by 30 points. The opposition should win in a landslide.

And it must win, for our current humanitarian crisis will only worsen under PSUV rule. Our economy is expected to contract 10 percent in 2015, and inflation is expected to jump to 204 percent in 2016. Shortages of food and medical supplies have become standard, and our murder rate has more than doubled within a decade. The Venezuelan people have realized that change is the only way forward.

Dec. 6 is our opportunity for a better Venezuela.

But there is a chance that we will lose this opportunity because of the government's systematic actions to undermine the elections.

The recent assassination of Luis Manuel Díaz – a union chief and leader of the Democratic Action party in Guárico state – at a rally where my courageous wife Lilian Tintori had just finished speaking, exemplifies the government's campaign of intimidation directed at human rights defenders and political leaders.

Seven opposition leaders have also been arbitrarily banned from running for office. And four of us are imprisoned. An election cannot be considered free or fair if opposition leaders are imprisoned or banned from seeking office. Additionally, the government has refused to allow qualified electoral observation from the Organization of American States and the European Union. What more are they trying to hide from the international community? The government has already gerrymandered districts so that 52 percent of voters, in pro-opposition urban areas, elect just 39 percent of parliament, and has set up fake parties with names mimicking legitimate opposition parties to confuse voters.

These tactics are hard to confront, as the government has made it nearly impossible for the opposition to campaign. A government-imposed state of emergency in swing states in the west prevents opposition campaigning. Additionally, the government's refusal to renew broadcasting licenses to opposition media effectively ensures that no opposition candidate can utilize the media for his or her efforts. But despite holding all the power to rig the system in his favor, President Maduro is still losing, and now threatens us with further violence. He says his party "will win by doing whatever it takes." We Venezuelans understand exactly what he means.

Despite these obstructions, the Venezuelan people will turn out and vote so that their voices are heard. There can be no democratic change if people do not participate in the democratic process. We must vote, and if this election is stolen from us, then we must protest non-violently in the streets. Enough with our suffering: it is time for the return of a prosperous Venezuela. The United States and Latin American leaders must join Secretary General Almagro in demanding change from the Venezuelan regime. They should not recognize the election results unless certain conditions are met: an end to fraud, gerrymandering, and rigging; the acceptance of independent election monitors; and the release of all political prisoners.

### The New York Times

September 25, 2015

# Even in Jail, I Will Fight for a Free Venezuela

By: Leopoldo López

RAMO VERDE PRISON, Venezuela – ON Feb. 12, 2014, the repressive and inept elite that governs Venezuela ordered my arrest on charges of conspiracy, arson, inciting violence, damage to public property and other crimes. Later that week, after two surprise visits from the president of the National Assembly to my family's home, it was suggested to me that I should seek refuge in a foreign embassy.

I decided instead to turn myself in on Feb. 18, 2014, and confront the trial the regime had planned. I made this decision fully aware of the risks I was facing and the possible consequences of a politically motivated trial with a compromised judiciary. So when, on Sept. 10, 2015, Judge Susana Barreiros – a mere puppet of those rulers intent on defending their wealth and privilege – sentenced me to more than 13 years in prison, I had no regrets about the decision I had made. I was convicted on the absurd basis that I used "subliminal messages" in my speeches about nonviolence to inspire violence during the February 2014 protests.

I am now in solitary confinement in a 7-by-10-foot cell that has nothing more than a single bed, a toilet and a small shelf for my few changes of clothes. I am not allowed writing materials, and the only book permitted is the Bible. I don't even have a light or candle for when it gets dark outside. While this has all been hard for my family, they understand that great causes require great sacrifices.

I am convinced of the justice of our cause: the liberation of a people from the painful consequences of a system of government that has failed economically, socially and politically. Our economy is the worst-performing in the region: Gross domestic product is forecast to fall by 7 percent in 2015, and we suffer from the highest inflation in the world. This inflation has led to a devastating scarcity of basic staples and has destroyed domestic production, the oil industry included. The desperation these conditions have created, paired with widespread failures of law enforcement, has made ours one of the most violent countries in the world, with nearly 25,000 murders in 2014 alone.

What's more, we have lost our democracy. The government targets those who disagree and uses

repression to stay in power. The judgment against me is intended to send a message to all Venezuelans who strive for a better country that, unless they desist and concede to the regime, they will be next. Our government wants to crush our aspirations and make us believe that this fight is hopeless. They want us to surrender. But we cannot afford to surrender, for he who tires, loses.

We are working toward a Venezuela where rights are guaranteed for all, including the right to a life with dignity. We want regular changes in power through free and fair elections, so that all Venezuelans can respectfully coexist, regardless of ideology.

For the economy, we want a model that allows everyone to benefit from growth – especially those who have less. We want to promote local industries and encourage private investment to increase production and provide employment. We want to increase oil production and use the revenue to diversify our economy, rather than buy votes. And we want to provide the high- quality education necessary for all Venezuelans to prosper.

Our plans are ambitious, but they have the support of millions. For Venezuela to move forward, we must first change the system by democratically removing the corrupt ruling party that governs us. The parliamentary elections set for Dec. 6 present this opportunity. But to succeed in the polls, we must unite. An opposition alliance, the Democratic Unity Roundtable, has already formed, but we want to expand this unity to all members of society who desire change.

We cannot do this alone. Those around the world who have spoken out on our behalf I thank sincerely. That support gives us faith, but these efforts must not end today. We need the international community to lobby for our democratic rights by raising abuses directly with the Venezuelan government, condemning repression and promoting solidarity on human rights issues in the region. We need the United Nations to make these matters an agenda item for the Human Rights Council. And we want the Organization of American States to invoke its democratic charter to discuss our dire situation.

For the December elections, pressure must be applied on the government to allow electoral observers from the O.A.S. and the European Union, which has not occurred since 2006. Their independence and impartiality are needed now more than ever to ensure that our opportunity for change is not compromised.

Finally, the government of Venezuela must end its baseless disqualifications of opposition leaders from the coming election. The ten who are banned from running include the former state governors Manuel Rosales and Pablo Pérez, the opposition leaders María Corina Machado and Carlos Vecchio, and myself. The regime should also release all 76 of its political prisoners, including those under house arrest, like the mayor of Caracas, Antonio Ledezma, and the illegally ousted mayor of San Cristóbal, Daniel Ceballos.

An election cannot be free or fair when those who think differently are barred from running or are even behind bars.

Leopoldo López is the former mayor of the Chacao district of Caracas and the leader of the Popular Will opposition party.

### **EL PAÍS**

September 3, 2015

#### Freedom for Leopoldo López

Before the impending sentence, the international community has to redouble efforts to ensure respect for human rights

By: Lilian Tintori

On August 18th, my husband, Leopoldo López, finished a year-and-a-half in prison for having made a non-violent, constitutional, and democratic call to change the corrupt, inefficient, and repressive government that systematically violates the rights of its citizens. The government alleges that Leopoldo made "subliminal calls to violence," yet he has not been allowed to respond to the charges of which they accuse him with either evidence or witnesses. To appreciate the size of the injustice, the reality is that Leopoldo is imprisoned for his words.

The case has reached the final stages and the judge in charge of the case, Susana Barreiros, abruptly suspended the trial period disregarding remaining witnesses and evidence from the public prosecutor and from the defense, including the speeches from 1/23/14, 2/2/14, and 2/12/14, (four speeches in total), that were the basis of the accusation made by the public prosecutor. The judge has announced that she will give her verdict en the coming days.

The trial has been plagued by corruption from beginning to end. President Maduro said at least eleven times before Leopoldo handed himself over that he was "warming up the cell."

The United Nations has said that Leopoldo should be released immediately. Human Rights Watch, Amnesty International, Presidents Mariano Rajoy of Spain, Barack Obama of the United States, Juan Manuel Santos of Colombia, Ollanta Humala of Peru, Dilma Rousseff of Brazil, and various Parliaments, including the European Union, have joined the United Nations in its request for Leopoldo's release.

These have been very difficult times, full of anguish. They harass our family and his party, they threaten us. To be a mother running a household alone is not easy. My children suffer the absence of their father each day. Leosan, my two-year-old son, learned to walk in his father's prison cell. Manuela, my five-year-old daughter, has begun asking me if her father will die in prison.

The situation in Venezuela is worsening dramatically with each passing day. We are at the threshold of a humanitarian crisis. The violence is excessive, the scarcity of basic goods results in

the rationing of food, long lines, and the transformation of supermarkets into military zones. The health services have collapsed and are without supplies to attend to basic illnesses.

This battle is not Leopoldo's battle, it is the battle for Venezuela and for the Venezuelans, until we achieve a profound political change in Venezuela where, as Leopoldo says, "all rights exist for all people."

The UN and Inter-American Commission on Human Rights have demanded the immediate release of Leopoldo López. By refusing to do this, the State violates its constitutional obligations as established in Article 23, that say that treaties, pacts, and conventions related to human rights are completely obligatory.

Before an impending sentence, the international community has the obligation to redouble efforts to respect and demand respect for human rights treaties. As a wife, mother, and Venezuelan citizen, I call you together to do this. To stay silent in the face of abuse and injustice would constitute being accomplices to a situation wherein the rights of all Venezuelans have been systematically violated.

The only decision that we await and that can rightly come out of the trial is complete liberty, for Leopoldo Lopez and for all Venezuelans.

#### THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

July 1, 2015

# No More Hiding From Venezuela's Abuses

By Desmond M. Tutu

Don't be misled by news about elections —the government violates human rights, emboldened by the international community's silence.

The Venezuelan government announced last week that it will hold parliamentary elections on Dec. 6. This news wouldn't have attracted much attention if it weren't the result of a 30-day hunger strike by imprisoned opposition leaders Leopoldo López and Daniel Ceballos. It is encouraging to see the Venezuelan government make motions toward respecting democracy – but a true election cannot be held when more than 75 political prisoners languish in jail.

Venezuela must be held accountable for its human-rights abuses. We can start by speaking out against the imprisonment of the numerous opposition figures.

Unfortunately, important voices – namely, Venezuela's neighbors in Latin America – have remained muted.

This reluctance to take a stand is startling. Venezuela's economic and security situation is dismal, as is the government's response to citizen frustration. Since the 2014 street demonstrations, during which hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans protested against the corruption and repression that plague the country, President Nicolás Maduro's government and state-sponsored thugs have killed at least 43 people and arrested more than 3,000.

Mr. López recently completed his 16th month in jail for his role in helping organize the protests. This is not a criminal offense, and he should be a free man. He has advocated peaceful responses to injustice; he has urged the people of Venezuela to resolve their problems in accordance with the country's constitution. Much as with other practitioners of nonviolence – Mahatma Gandhi and Martin Luther King Jr. – Mr. López is paying a high price for his pursuit of justice. Now that the hunger strike has ended, I urge the Maduro government to allow the Red Cross access to the 100 or so citizens who joined the hunger strike in solidarity.

During all this, Latin American leaders have been hiding behind excuses. When the U.S. in March imposed sanctions on human-rights violators in Venezuela, Latin American countries responded by adopting a resolution in support of the country, citing "the principle of nonintervention."

I understand the trauma of colonialism. Yet without the international community, my home country of South Africa would have suffered a lot more bloodshed. It was the boycott and sanctions regime, coupled with internal resistance, that ended apartheid, the darkest chapter in South Africa's history. The international community did not really mobilize, however, until after the 1960 Sharpeville Massacre, in which 69 people were murdered for protesting peacefully. The world should not wait for a similar massacre to take action in Venezuela.

The way to address the grave human-rights situation in Venezuela is not to protect the leaders who manipulate postcolonial sensitivities and history to maintain an iron grip on power. Latin America and the world must go beyond rhetoric.

Governments must demand the immediate release of all political prisoners as an imperative to global engagement with Venezuela. It is also time for Latin American governments to work with multilateral institutions like the Organization for American States and the United Nations to demand that Venezuela respect the dignity and humanity of all its people. Their inaction gives Mr. Maduro license to act with impunity.

Yet I also believe, like the Catholic Church, in mercy and forgiveness. It is not too late for President Maduro to change course. In 2016, the Catholic Church will celebrate the Holy Year of Mercy, which, according to the Vatican, "serves as an invitation to follow the merciful example of the Father who asks us not to judge or condemn but to forgive and give love and forgiveness without measure." With the support of Pope Francis, I pray that Nicolás Maduro will honor the Holy Year of Mercy early and free Venezuela's political prisoners.

Mr. Tutu is archbishop emeritus of Cape Town and recipient of the 1984 Nobel Peace Prize.



### Venezuela's Last Hope

By Jeffrey Tayler

Leopoldo López embodies the change his country needs. And that's exactly why he's still in jail.

Just over thirteen months have passed since Leopoldo López, the most prominent and charismatic leader of Venezuela's embattled democratic opposition, stood on the pedestal of the statue of José Martí in a commercial district in eastern Caracas. He had just addressed a huge crowd of cheering supporters, calling for a continuation of the nonviolent, nation-wide protests aimed at bringing down the regime of President Nicolás Maduro. He pledged never to leave the country (despite a secret offer from the government that he depart into exile), and to carry on the fight for democracy in Venezuela. He announced that he would, then and there, surrender to the authorities (to face charges relating to the deaths of two protesters a week earlier), and that his "incarceration would be worth it if it woke up the people." He closed with a promise: "Very soon, we will have a free and democratic Venezuela!" Then he waved goodbye and climbed down.

Two officers of Venezuela's Bolivarian National Guard grabbed him by the arms and hustled him through the surging mass of people until they reached an armored vehicle. As López was boarding, he pivoted toward the crowd, raised his arm, and clenched his fist in a valedictory gesture of defiance. The officers then shoved him inside, and the vehicle took him to Ramo Verde military prison in a suburb of Caracas.

He has remained there ever since. Twice Maduro has offered to swap López for Oscar López Rivera, a Puerto Rican nationalist incarcerated in the United States since 1981 for seditious conspiracy, but López has refused.

Since López's incarceration, violence, at times carried out by *colectivos armados* (armed motorcycle gangs of hooded Chavistas), has become a regular feature of the anti-Maduro demonstrations. Students started building *guarimba barricades* to close off streets. Hurling rocks and bottles, they staged pitched battles with the police, who responded with tear gas, water cannons, and, at times, live fire, resulting in forty-three deaths and more than 3,400 arrests. These

days, the protests come and go, but tensions run high. The fronts in Venezuela's political struggle have hardened.

As many as ninety-seven other political prisoners languish in Venezuela's jails, but prison authorities have singled out López for especially harsh treatment, hurling excrement and urine through his cell's bars, disrupting his sleep, confiscating his personal belongings and writings, subjecting him to eight months of solitary confinement (torture, according to the relevant United Nations convention), and denying him legally mandated visits from his wife, Lilian Tintori (who has been leading an international crusade for his release) and his two young children. Yet even after a year of such abuses, in February López gave a lengthy interview to CNN en Español in which he sounded upbeat and defiant. He paid for his temerity with twenty-four days of solitude in a tiny, lightless cell known as "el Tigrito." And last month, he managed to publish an op-ed in the Washington Post, in which he restated his demands for a peaceful transition involving "Maduro's resignation, a recall referendum, a constitutional assembly to review and propose amendments to our constitution or a change through the ballot box."

López was healthy and in good spirits, at least until recently, Tintori told me by email, and "at peace with his conscience and decision [to turn himself in], because even if they've imprisoned his body, his spirit remains free. He's fulfilled his obligation to unmask the regime of Nicolás Maduro and show the world that this is an anti-democratic regime." But on May 24, he, along with another prominent imprisoned opposition figure, announced a hunger strike, demanding the liberation of all of Venezuela's political prisoners, an end to state persecution, repression, and censorship, and the setting of dates for elections to the National Assembly (which by law must take place this year). He also called for peaceful demonstrations the next Saturday; in response, some two hundred thousand people took to the streets across the country. A number of other oppositionists behind bars have joined López in his hunger strike. As of June 2, he had lost more than eleven pounds.

Maduro has called López a "murderer," a "monster," and a "fascist," though he has yet to be convicted of anything. The Venezuelan government originally charged López with incitement to commit public crime, damaging property, arson, premeditated aggravated homicide, and terrorism, but has since dropped the last two charges. His trial is ongoing and, according to his international legal counsel Jared Genser, grossly Kafkaesque: judges have denied López the presumption of innocence and private access to his attorneys. They have refused to allow him to present evidence in his own defense, and have rejected fifty-eight of the sixty witnesses who would testify in his favor, while permitting prosecutors one hundred of their own. The outcome is, thus, preordained. Genser told me he expects his client to be convicted and given a lengthy prison sentence.

During a Skype interview in late March, Tintori told me that "Leopoldo's is a political case because he's a national leader representing hope and change for Venezuela, a future for Venezuela." Few doubt, in fact, that López's real "crime," as leader of the progressive opposition political party *Voluntad Popular* (Popular Will), is posing a credible threat to the ever more disliked Maduro, who eked his way into office in 2014 via contested elections with only 50.6 percent of the vote. By winter 2015, Maduro's popularity had dropped to 20 percent. In contrast, the last poll (conducted in October 2014) measuring López's standing showed that 45.6 percent of Venezuelans supported him. López's bloodline only underscores the threat he poses to Maduro. López is descended from Venezuela's beloved founding father, the revolutionary statesman Simón

Bolívar – the namesake of the "Bolivarian revolution" Maduro is supposedly leading. If anyone is fit to unseat Maduro, it is López.

Though López and Maduro are both politicians, the contrast between the two could not be starker. López is fit, handsome, clean-shaven, relatively young at forty-three years of age, and comes from one of Venezuela's blue-blooded families. Maduro is stout, lumbering, mustachioed, nine years older, and is the son of a Leftist union leader. López attended Kenyon College and Harvard's Kennedy School of Government, where he earned a Master's in Public Policy. Maduro never graduated from high school. Before turning to politics, López worked as a high-level analyst for the country's state-owned oil company, Petróleos de Venezuela, and taught economics at the prestigious Andrés Bello Catholic University in Caracas. Maduro drove a bus. López entered politics as co-founder of the Primero Justicia party in 1992. Maduro, around that same year, joined MBR-200, an organization campaigning for the liberation of Hugo Chávez, who was in prison for orchestrating a failed military coup. López talks of reforming Venezuela and giving it what it deserves (as the country with the world's largest oil reserves) but does not have: first-rate hospitals, trains, and highways, and an honest, effective police force. Maduro's trademark rhetoric is rehashed Chavismo, studded with absurdities (he claimed, for example, that Chávez reappeared to him after death as a "little bird"), insults to his opponents, and warnings of "saboteurs" destroying the economy and conspirators plotting to overthrow him.

One of López's strongest assets consists in Maduro's manifest failure to address the mounting economic crisis affecting all walks of life in Venezuela. Inflation runs at 68.5 percent – the highest in the world – and may hit 175 percent by year's end. The currency, the bolívar fuerte, has plummeted, dropping from 23 (when Maduro was elected) to 416 per dollar on the parallel (real-value) market. (During the latter Chávez years, one dollar bought between six and eight bolívars.) Worsening shortages have hit basic foodstuffs and other necessary products, including diapers and toilet paper. Violent crime has spiraled out of control: the number of homicides in 2014 almost reached twenty-five thousand – the second-highest murder rate on earth. (In fact, the murder of a Venezuelan beauty queen and her husband helped spark the 2014 protests.) The worsening dearth of medications and hospital supplies has led Human Rights Watch to compare the country's healthcare situation with that of "war zones," and epidemics of mosquito-born diseases such and dengue and chikungunya are spreading, affecting tens of thousands of people. Power blackouts and water cutoffs are routine.

Some of these problems afflicted Venezuela during the Chávez years, if to a much lesser degree, but the shortages and collapsing currency are new, stemming from structural flaws in the economy, and, of course, from the dramatic drop in oil prices. Hydrocarbon revenues accounted for 96 percent of Venezuela's exports and half its national income. The country relies heavily on imports, but now has few dollars to buy them with – hence the shortages. The GDP may contract by 7.5 percent this year.

Maduro's response has been to blame everything on scheming "Yanquis," Venezuela's "far-right elite," the "parasitic bourgeois," and, of course, the opposition, even though he has effectively neutralized its leadership. In addition to López, he has arrested longtime Chávez foe and mayor of Caracas Antonio Ledezma (for allegedly plotting to overthrow the government), and indicted another key figure, María Corina Machado (illegally ousted from her seat in the National Assembly last year) on charges of plotting *magnicidio*, or the assassination of the head of state.

Only one key opposition leader – Henrique Capriles – remains at large, but after being defeated by Chávez in 2012 and by Maduro in 2013, he has lost considerable popular support. The one who retains it is López.

If Maduro is garnering infamy as a flailing incompetent, López, as mayor of Caracas' prosperous Chacao municipality from 2000 to 2008, earned praise and recognition for effective, transparent governance, and for programs that reduced poverty and crime. He came to office with 51 percent of the vote, but won reelection in 2004 with 81 percent, and four years later enjoyed a 92 percent approval rating. He would no doubt have eventually prevailed in the race for Caracas' mayoralty had Chávez not had him disqualified from office in 2008 on unsubstantiated charges of corruption. Chávez surely viewed López as a threat: a national public opinion poll conducted that year showed him leading El Comandante by two points.

López earned a reputation as a leader with "enduring popularity, charisma, and talent as an organizer" (according to Robin Meyer, a political officer at the U.S. Embassy in Venezuela, as reported in a cable made public by WikiLeaks). Maduro had no political cred to speak of and was known as an uncontroversial Chávez lackey, and least until the latter anointed him successor a few months before his death.

Maduro, in sum, appears to be a quasi-farcical Chávez wannabe harking back to the past, while López looks like Venezuela's JFK-in-waiting – the man of the future.

With Maduro officially instated until 2018 and the country entering a full-blown social and economic crisis, demonstrations broke out in January 2014 following the murder of Monica Spear, a former Miss Venezuela, and her spouse on a highway near Caracas. The protests intensified after the attempted rape of a student in the country's far west. López's moment seemed to have arrived. Though he consistently preached the need for a peaceful transition to democracy, he called on Venezuelans to occupy the streets and demonstrate until the regime gave way. His sulfurous rhetoric included open and humiliating taunts directed at Maduro, and must have persuaded the government that López posed an unmanageable threat.

When Maduro issued an arrest order for López, he went into hiding. This was not to last long. From hiding, López recorded an eight-minute video message, with Tintori seated on a sofa at his side. Dressed in a white shirt and blue jeans, he declared he would be jailed for "dreaming of a better Venezuela."He expressed pleasure at the hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans taking to the streets to demand change. "The people has awakened … every one of you needs to make a commitment to change things. But this commitment cannot be passive. It must be active." He reminded his viewers that "We're on the right side of history. We're on the right side of justice. We're on the right side of truth. … Our cause must be *la salida*" – the exit – "of this government [from power]." ("*La Salida*" became the name of the entire anti-Maduro protest movement.) He spoke of finding strength in the eyes of his children, for whom he wanted to build a better Venezuela. After he finished speaking, Tintori hugged him, and the screen went dark. He would reemerge only to turn surrender to the authorities in Caracas.

A former Venezuelan kitesurfing champion and media personality, Tintori has been touring the world and meeting with a range of powerful figures, including Vice President Joe Biden, Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy, and Pope Francis, seeking their aid in freeing her husband. For his

defense team, she has enlisted Irwin Cotler (once counsel to Nelson Mandela) and former Spanish prime minister Felipe González, who is a lawyer by profession, and highly respected for having led Spain to democracy following the death of dictator Francisco Franco in 1975. A wide range of organizations and public figures, from Amnesty International to President Obama, have called for López's liberation. Not surprisingly, Maduro has dismissed them all.

Yet Maduro has a stormy year ahead of him. By law, the government has to call parliamentary elections later this year – and if it finds no way to postpone them, the opposition will likely triumph. But losing is a frightening option for Maduro and his entourage. The Obama administration's sanctions against members of his regime, combined with evidence it purports to possess that high-ranking Venezuelan military officers are facilitating the drug trade, leave little doubt that those in power would fear extradition to the United States were they to lose power.

At this writing, the Venezuelan government has announced that hearings for López's trial will be suspended until July. Felipe González traveled to Venezuela this week, but the authorities refused to allow him access to López, and he left, having made no progress.

"They say the worst year of marriage is the seventh," Tintori told me by Skype, with evident anguish in her voice, "and in fact the worst was the seventh, when they imprisoned Leopoldo."

One thing is certain: Until the Maduro government, whether of its own accord or because of pressure from abroad, decides to release López, Tintori will remain very much alone, and Venezuelans will have scant hope for their future.

### The Miami Herald

June 8, 2015

# We will never tire of demanding our rights

By Lilian Tintori and Patricia Ceballos

On May 30, the Venezuelan people came together to pray for a better Venezuela and to demand an end to the authoritarian rule suffocating our nation.

Today in Venezuela we live in fear because of the insecurity that defines our lives under the regime of Nicolás Maduro.

Our desperate economic situation has resulted in shortages of food, medicine and even toilet paper, and Maduro relies increasingly on tools of unprecedented repression.

This year in Venezuela, we are supposed to have parliamentary elections, but while June is upon us, the relevant electoral authorities have yet to set a date. Already we have foregone one election promised to us by law, as the Latin American Parliament elections were hijacked by the National Assembly in April. We cannot afford to lose another.

This is a fight over values. Today, 79 political prisoners are behind bars in my country, and the courts dismiss 97 percent of complaints of state-sponsored human-rights violations put forward by citizens. The government enjoys impunity because there is no separation of powers.

The most recent gathering was originally called for by Lilian's husband, Leopoldo López, in a video released two weeks ago from his jail cell. In the video, Leopoldo lamented the worsening political, economic and social crisis in our country today. He called for the Venezuelan people to protest these conditions in the street and he began a hunger strike as his own personal form of protest.

Through his protest, he is demanding the release of all political prisoners, the end of repression, persecution and censorship; and the setting of a date for this year's parliamentary elections.

Sixteen other political prisoners and students, including Patricia's husband, Daniel Ceballos, the former mayor of San Cristóbal, joined Leopoldo in his hunger strike. Recently, Daniel was forcibly taken from his cell and transferred to another prison far from his family and the court where his trial is taking place. His transfer is the government's way of punishing him for winning his party's primary election for the National Assembly.

Why does the Maduro government continue to punish and repress all those who oppose it? Because they are afraid – afraid of the Venezuelan people, who will no longer tolerate the injustice and who will do everything they can through democratic means to reclaim their rights. Leopoldo, Daniel and their peers have undertaken the extreme act of a hunger strike as a pledge to the Venezuelan people who are suffering. If our husbands are ready to give their lives for Venezuela, then we should support their efforts to demand respect for the universal rights for all people.

The international community can take concrete steps to help Venezuela.

- First, it can pressure the Maduro regime to finally set a date for parliamentary elections. Once an election date is set, it can send qualified electoral observers from the Organization of American States, other regional groups, and the European Union to ensure the legality and impartiality of the elections.
- Second, prominent global leaders can continue to visit Venezuela to experience our crisis firsthand, to share with the world our plight, and to remind Maduro that he is being watched and that there will be no impunity for his actions.

On May 22, Lilian saw Leopoldo for the first time in 35 days, and on May 31, Patricia saw Daniel for the first time since his illegal transfer. Our forced separation is how the government punishes us for our activism and our husbands' courage. These months have been difficult, but also marked by our shared strength and faith in risking our lives for the dream of a better Venezuela.

Through our speaking out, we have become targets of the Maduro regime. Slandered on state-owned media, we have been accused of betraying our country. We speak out because we love our country and because we believe in a free, unified Venezuela that respects the rights of all its people.

Our husbands always say, He who tires, loses. We will not tire because their lives and the lives of all Venezuelans are at stake.

Lilian Tintori is the wife of imprisoned opposition leader Leopoldo López. Patricia Ceballos is Mayor of San Cristóbal, Venezuela. She was elected after her husband, Daniel Ceballos, was imprisoned and stripped of his elected office.

## The Washington Post

May 15, 2015

### Venezuela's people need the world's help

By Leopoldo López

It has been almost a year and a half since the people of Venezuela took to the streets demanding change, and my country's crisis has only gotten worse. Venezuelans of all backgrounds denounced our failed state and marched for a constitutional change of the current regime. It was because we spoke out publicly against the government's corruption and inefficiency that I and so many others are in prison. Last year, the United Nations found that I am being held in violation of international law, and I will not relent until the people's demand is met: Democracy must be restored to Venezuela. To achieve this critical goal, we need an end to state repression, the release of all political prisoners and free and fair elections.

The Venezuela we live in today calls itself a democracy, but this is a facade. Under the heavy hand of President Nicolás Maduro, our country is in a profound economic, social and political crisis. We have a government that fears its people. Rather than listening to what its citizens have to say, it seeks to silence us. Forbidden from speaking out, from exercising our constitutional rights to freedom of expression and freedom of peaceful assembly, we are persecuted; we are imprisoned; we are killed. Our media are silenced. And now the president has even authorized the use of deadly force against protesters. At a time in our country's history when we have the potential to be one of the world's largest oil producers, our children have less food to eat, our sick can't find medicine, our inflation rate is the highest in the world, our murder rate is the second highest and we have one of the most corrupt governments on Earth. All we seek are the rights guaranteed by our constitution.

Change can start with an end to the state-sponsored persecution of those who think differently from the Maduro regime. This repression delegitimizes the ruling government and makes a functioning democracy impossible. We also demand the release of all political prisoners. The more than 70 in Venezuela are merely a symptom of the overall poor state of democracy in the country. Our liberation, however, cannot be an isolated event but must be part of a process in which we restore a functioning democracy to our people. Those committing or complicit in serious human rights abuses must resign. And the judiciary and electoral commission must be free from the influence of the executive branch.

Maduro claims that those of us demanding change want to carry out a coup against the government. That is false. Let me be clear: The only transition of power I would ever accept is that prescribed in our constitution: Maduro's resignation, a recall referendum, a constitutional assembly to review and propose amendments to our constitution or a change through the ballot box.

Venezuela is supposed to have parliamentary elections this year, but already we see worrying signs of electoral corruption. It is almost halfway through the year, and no date has been set for the vote. Should there actually be elections, we must have experienced, independent, international observers in the country, not just on election day, but in the months leading up to it. For us to have full faith in these elections, we need to know that those monitoring it will be impartial – a basic right that has become a luxury in today's Venezuela.

To restore our democracy, we will need the support of the international community. Already, more and more countries are recognizing the human rights violations in Venezuela. Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Peru, Spain, the United States and others have called for the respect of democracy in Venezuela and for the release of its political prisoners. I would like to thank these governments, especially the governments of the region, for their vocal support, but this rhetoric must be followed by action.

This month, Uruguayan diplomat Luis Almagro will become the new secretary general of the Organization of American States, and he has the chance to demonstrate that the OAS still has relevance. Almagro has promised to return the organization to the principles of "democracy, liberty and human rights." The OAS cannot say that it is a community built upon these values and then ignore the suffering of the Venezuelan people. We must bring an end to this double standard for the sake of the millions of citizens dreaming of a peaceful democratic transition in Venezuela. We need change now.

Leopoldo López was mayor of the Chacao district of Caracas from 2000 to 2008 and is the leader of Venezuelan opposition party Popular Will. He has been jailed since February 2014 and is being held in the Ramo Verde military prison outside of Caracas.



April 27, 2015

# The Accidental Face of Venezuela's Opposition

President Nicolas Maduro's strategy of jailing political opponents has empowered a former kitesurfing champion.

By Jeffrey Tayler

In 2003, Lilian Tintori was perhaps best known as the kite-surfing champion of Venezuela. She did a stint on a survival-themed reality show, lent her face to public-service billboards warning against drunk driving, and hosted radio and television shows. She was a celebrity in her home country, famed for her looks and charisma.

But since the arrest of her husband, opposition leader Leopoldo Lopez, during widespread protests against the government of Nicolas Maduro last year, she has become an entirely different kind of celebrity: the accidental face of Venezuela's beleaguered and often divided democracy movement. Lopez remains locked up in Ramo Verde military prison, on the outskirts of Caracas, and the government is seeking to keep him imprisoned for 10 years on charges including arson and conspiracy. In recent months, other leading opposition figures have been arrested and indicted, or lost support.

Enter Tintori. Four months after Lopez's arrest, Tintori wrote an op-ed for *The Washington Post* disavowing any desire to become a politician. With more than a year of campaigning for her husband's release behind her, she told me recently that she still doesn't see herself that way. "*Leopoldo* is the politician," she said via Skype. "I'm a human-rights activist, a Venezuelan, a mother, and a victim myself, and I'm very close to the victims of my country, to those who've had their husbands killed and their brothers imprisoned. I'm just one of the Venezuelans raising my voice and standing up for the rights of Venezuelans."

Those rights have atrophied since Maduro came to power in 2013 via contested elections with only 50.6 percent of the vote compared with his challenger's 49.1 percent. Maduro was the anointed successor of Hugo Chavez, who took office in 1999 and died in 2013, shortly after beginning his fourth term as president. Chávez may have initiated Venezuela's protracted political crisis, but the current situation in the country is unprecedented. With a shockingly high violent-crime rate, an

inflation rate of 68.5 percent (the highest in the world, according to Bloomberg), widespread food and medicine shortages, and ever-more-frequent water cutoffs and power outages, Maduro has seen his approval rating drop from 55 percent to 25 percent, according to a survey conducted in March. (Even eight out of 10 Chavistas – supporters of Chavez's highly personalized socialist, anti-imperialist governance – are sick of him or his policies.) Maduro's term is supposed to last until 2018, but given the country's worsening political and economic crisis, events may change that.

And Maduro has good reason to fear Lopez. Lopez served two terms from 2000 to 2008 as mayor of the business-oriented Chacao municipality, where he earned a reputation among local voters and Venezuelans I've spoken with for transparent, effective governance. His youth, track record, movie-star good looks, and incendiary style of speaking – and even of tweeting, through which he has called Maduro a "coward" who didn't "have the guts" to arrest him – stand in contrast to the plodding, overweight Maduro, who is nine years his senior and who often seems, unsuccessfully, to be aping Chavez's oratory.

Tintori told me that Lopez is "a prisoner because of his ideas, his words, for having denounced Maduro's regime as anti-democratic, corrupt, and inefficient. Leopoldo's is a political case because he's a national leader representing hope and change for Venezuela, a future for Venezuela. Fearing all this, they keep him in prison." As for herself, she maintains, "I'm like a carrier pigeon flying to and from Ramo Verde taking [Lopez's] messages and bringing him messages."

What happens to Lopez matters both to Venezuelans desperate for change and to the United States. In March, the White House issued a statement denouncing "public corruption" in Venezuela and the Maduro government's "efforts to escalate intimidation of its political opponents," calling on Caracas to liberate Lopez and other political prisoners, and imposing sanctions against Venezuelan officials. Separately, President Obama and former President Bill Clinton have called for Lopez's release; and Amnesty International has pronounced his plight "an affront to justice." In total, there are as many as 98 political prisoners in Venezuela (the Maduro government denies that it holds political prisoners).

For the past 14 months, Tintori has devoted her life to winning her husband's release, traveling abroad and meeting with a range of powerful figures, among them Vice President Joe Biden, Spanish Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy, and Pope Francis. She managed to secure a statement from Chile's Senate calling on the Maduro government to release all political prisoners (including Lopez), permit free and fair elections, and respect human rights. It was quite an achievement in Latin America, where countries have often avoided taking open stands on the politics of their neighbors. Yet Maduro shows no signs of yielding. He has little reason to.

"We're living through social, economic, and political crises," Tintori told me, "and we're on the verge of a humanitarian catastrophe. And the crime situation amounts to a violation of our right to life."

She was not exaggerating. Armed robberies occur in broad daylight, kidnappings abound, and the country is second only to Honduras in its annual homicide rate – just shy of 25,000 in 2014. Soon after his election, Maduro announced that he was launching *Plan Patria Segura* ("Plan for a Safe

Homeland"), which foresaw increased cooperation between the military, paramilitary forces, and the police. But nothing has changed.

"In Venezuela, either they kidnap you, kill you, or rob you. ... Every 20 minutes a Venezuelan dies, a shot is fired, a Venezuelan cries out," she said.

Besides crime, the country seems to be careening toward economic and social implosion. The fall in global oil prices has severely reduced hydrocarbon revenues – the source of 96 percent of the state's exports and half its income. And almost all the country's current troubles began with Maduro's presidency. Just why is unclear, though state-imposed currency controls, a shortage of dollars (earned previously through the sale of oil abroad), and a thriving black market have all played a role. For Maduro, though, the problem is "economic warfare" and "sabotage" being waged by the Venezuelan elite.

As we talked, it became clear that Tintori was suppressing anguish over her husband's plight. "We took the decision together that he would turn himself in, to unmask Maduro's anti-democratic regime," she told me. But the costs of that decision are mounting. On February 18, 2015 the anniversary of his detention, Lopez used his one weekly phone call from prison to give a lengthy interview to CNN en Español. As it was ending, the guards realized what was going on and yanked away the receiver. Prison authorities then suspended Tintori's visits (during which she would bring along their two small children, Manuela and Leopoldo Santiago). She said that she has rarely been able to communicate with him in the two months since then, and that he's spent half his term in solitary confinement – including 24 days in a tiny cell with no sunlight as punishment for his television interview. "They sound alarms at three in the morning so he can't sleep," she told me. "Men wearing ski masks and armed with shotguns search his cell, without his permission. They destroy his things and steal his writings. One night, they threw human excrement and urine through his cell's barred window, and then cut off his light so he couldn't bathe or clean his room." Repeated requests for Venezuelan officials to comment on Tintori's allegations of abuse have gone unanswered.

Tintori fears for her husband's life; in March, a jailed opposition member, 63-year-old Rodolfo Gonzalez, hanged himself in his cell upon learning that he was to be transferred from Ramo Verde to a prison considered far more dangerous for detainees owing to the incarceration of hardened criminals there.

Meanwhile, Maduro seems to see Tintori herself as a threat. He has accused her of belonging to a "stateless oligarchy" under the control of the "far right" in the United States. (Maduro, as did Chavez before him, makes a habit of accusing the opposition of plotting coups, almost always under the sinister guidance of the reviled *Yanquis* of the *Imperio* to the north.) Her marriage to Lopez, her international campaign to win his release, her forceful denunciations of Maduro's regime, and her celebrity all combine to imperil her. Maduro has twice called for her campaign to be "neutralized," whether metaphorically or literally he did not say. In any case, Tintori told me that "this doesn't sound good at all," and said that Maduro has attacked her publicly as a traitor. After her visits to Washington to campaign for the release of political prisoners, he claimed that she was plotting "terrorist" actions and had pleaded before Congress for a U.S. military intervention in Venezuela. In view of all this, Tintori's safety worries those on her team. She has a bodyguard while in Caracas, but not when she travels.

Daily life as an unintentional political figure is no easier. "The police follow me, take pictures of me, and persecute me," she said. "They listen to my calls, they're trying to scare us, to paralyze us." (Venezuelan officials have not responded to these allegations either.) "But they aren't going to paralyze us. They will not break Leopoldo, and they will not break our family."

So she's raising her children. "I have to be both mother and father, raising my kids at the same time as I work to get Leopoldo freed. ...Leopoldo Santiago, who is two, learned to walk in Ramo Verde." Her daughter Manuela, who is five years old, "often asks for her father," Tintori said. "She's very proud of him and doesn't understand why he's in prison."

"What do you tell her?" I asked.

"The truth. I explain to her that Maduro is a politician and doesn't want to compete with daddy, because it's easier to put him in jail. ... She asks me if Maduro is bad. I say I'm not the one to judge, but he's doing bad things, and not just to us as a family but to the whole country."



April 11, 2015

### Let Us Free Venezuela

By Lilian Tintori

This weekend, as 35 Latin American heads of state convene in Panama City for the Seventh Summit of the Americas, my husband, Leopoldo López, will be just one week shy of his 15th month in Ramo Verde Military Prison. It is in this moment of regional solidarity, I ask the participants of the Summit to recognize the injustices that are occurring today in my country. We need the leaders of Latin America to do something on behalf of the Venezuelan people.

Today, in Venezuela, we live in hardship. We have no security. Shortages of basic supplies have resulted in the rationing of staples, hours-long lines for groceries, and the transformation of supermarkets into military zones. My husband, a civilian politician in a military prison, is just one of many examples of this government's cruelty.

It was a little over a year ago that my husband issued a non-violent, constitutional, and democratic call for change against a corrupt, inefficient, and repressive government that violates the rights of its people. Leopoldo, along with other members of the opposition organized a massive protest with student leaders to respond to the rapidly deteriorating economic and security situation in our country. Called *La Salida* ("The Exit"), they advocated for nothing more than the options legally prescribed by the Constitution of Venezuela: to hold a referendum, a Constituent Assembly, a constitutional amendment, a constitutional reform, or the resignation of the President. On that day and over the subsequent months, the government responded with an iron fist, killing 44 people, arbitrarily detaining more than 3,716, and keeping more than 70 political prisoners in jail.

To this day, the Venezuelan Government blames its problems on my husband and anyone else whose opinions differ from the ruling regime. Those sympathetic to the tyranny call my husband a criminal and accuse him of trying to orchestrate a coup. The irony is that my husband is not a coup-leader, but rather a devoted father, husband, and proven democratic politician who called on Venezuelans to stand up for democracy. He sits in jail on charges of public incitement, property damage, and criminal conspiracy, which Amnesty International has called a "politically motivated attempt to silence dissent." And despite the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, the Secretary General of the Organization of American States, Human Rights Watch, and countless governments calling for his release, the Venezuelan Government has vowed to keep him in prison for a decade.

In its eagerness to imprison my husband, the government has attempted to provide the appearance of a legitimate judicial process. But make no mistake: the normal rules of evidence and due process have not been applied. My husband was arrested in February 2014 without investigation, on the order of the President and without evidence. Numerous violations of legal and human rights standards have been documented. The government's main argument is that my husband's clear calls for nonviolence actually contained coded, subliminal messages to provoke violence. This argument fell apart 8 months into his trial, when the government's main witness, Dr. Rosa Amelia Asuaje León, affiliated with the ruling party and a PhD in Linguistics, revised her testimony and admitted that López had never called for violence. She stated "López's messages are not subliminal; they are clear, direct, and specific. They call for nonviolence. There was never a call to violence by López." Without this evidence there is nothing linking Leopoldo and the violence the Government claims he caused. But there is no sign as of yet that the Government is prepared to yield. On the contrary, the Government arrested recently the Mayor of Caracas Antonio Ledezma with fabricated arguments just as implausible as those made in the case of Leopoldo.

If my husband weren't facing 12 years imprisonment, I would think this was all a sick joke.

No one should doubt why Leopoldo is in prison: Maduro is afraid of him, and he has great reason to be because Maduro has failed the people of Venezuela. Chávez did not deliver and Maduro has not delivered on their promises, and they have systematically dismantled our fundamental freedoms – freedom of speech, freedom of association, freedom of the press, and freedom of opinion. My husband has never been afraid to call attention to the rampant poverty, widespread violence, and broken political system that plague our country. As the founder and national coordinator of the political party Voluntad Popular, Leopoldo has mobilized millions to advocate nonviolently for the constitutional and democratic exit of Maduro. My husband believes that the Venezuelan people deserve a better future, one of peace, prosperity and well-being. And it is this fear of Leopoldo that causes Maduro to keep Leopoldo in a 2-meter by 2.5-meter jail cell.

We need to send a message to the Venezuelan Government that it cannot trample on the rights of its people with impunity. Accordingly, I call on Maduro to release my husband, the 47 political prisoners of this regime and the more than 30 from the past regime currently being held in Venezuela. But my voice alone is not enough. My husband and the other political prisoners need the support of all countries that unequivocally stand for democracy, freedom and the respect for human rights. We need the support of all acting heads of state to take meaningful action to protect the rights of the Venezuela people and to free the political prisoners in Venezuela.

Leopoldo is strong, and the longer he is in prison, the stronger his resolve becomes. And while the Venezuelan political, social and economic landscape looks dark, the leaders of the region have an opportunity to materialize their aspirations for the inhabitants of Latin America by guaranteeing in the twenty-first century the full exercise of democracy with respect for human rights at its core.

Lilian Tintori is the wife of Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López.

### The Miami Herald

February 16, 2015

## End impunity for Venezuela's human rights violators

By Irwin Cotler and Jared Genser

A little over a year ago, Venezuelans took to the streets when student leaders organized a massive protest with opposition politicians to respond to the rapidly deteriorating economic and security situation. The protestors called for the resignation of President Nicolás Maduro. On that day and over the subsequent months, the government responded with an iron fist, killing 43 people, arresting more than 3,000 and sending more than 70 to jail.

Reports from Venezuela today are sobering: Shortages of basic supplies have resulted in the rationing of staples, hours-long lines for groceries and the transformation of supermarkets into military zones. The economy is set to shrink another 7 percent in 2015, with inflation over 60 percent. A person is murdered approximately every 20 minutes. And with the decline in oil prices, the country is on the verge of default. Yet especially as the domestic situation deteriorates, the international community must demand Maduro respect the human rights of his people, including immediately releasing all political prisoners in the country.

The current situation of Venezuela's most prominent political prisoner, Leopoldo López, leader of the opposition party Voluntad Popular – and our client – exemplifies the injustice. Though López had issued a peaceful call to action, he was quickly branded public enemy No. 1 of Venezuela's authoritarian regime. Just after the major protest on Feb. 12, 2014, the government claimed he was trying to overthrow it through violent means.

Subsequently, López turned himself in to Venezuelan military authorities in front of a crowd of hundreds of thousands saying: "[I]f my imprisonment helps awaken our people, if it is good enough to finally make Venezuela wake up so that the majority of those of us who want change are able to effect that change peacefully and democratically ... then it is worth it."

López knew that his case was headed to a sham court, as an independent judiciary has become a distant memory in Venezuela after 15 years of United Social Party rule. A year into his detention and six months into his trial, perhaps the most surprising aspect of his case is that the government hasn't even tried to hide the fact that López is Maduro's political prisoner.

His trial has been a farce. Not only has the prosecution advanced the bizarre claim that López used "subliminal messages" to incite his followers to violence, but Maduro has relentlessly assailed him publicly, referring to him as a "murderer," even though he hasn't even been charged with this

crime. And the judge in his case admitted more than 100 witnesses for the prosecution and denied all but two witnesses for the defense.

Most recently, in retaliation for his wife, Lilian, meeting Vice President Joe Biden, a dozen men wearing all-black and ski masks and heavily armed destroyed everything in his cell and threw him into a small isolation cell, with no toilet or running water.

Yet despite these ongoing injustices, López has continued his calls for a nonviolent solution to Venezuela's pending implosion. His outspoken advocacy has come at great personal sacrifice: He has been held in solitary confinement in a military prison for a year, without access to his family or counsel for extended periods. And as López's human rights are infringed upon, the government has proceeded with violating the rights of all Venezuelans. Just last month, the Venezuelan Ministry of Defense authorized the use of lethal weapons by the national armed forces against protestors to "prevent disorder." The situation in Venezuela is bleak.

The United States has taken action to advance human rights in Venezuela. In September 2014, President Obama called for Lopez's release, and in December, Obama signed legislation that imposes sanctions on Venezuelan government officials who have been complicit in human-rights violations, though the legislation has yet to be implemented.

These sanctions follow a round of travel-visa bans. But this isn't enough. With the thaw between the United States and Cuba, the United States now has increased political capital in the region. The U.S. government should join with like-minded countries to challenge Venezuela's neighbors in Latin America to press Maduro to end the impunity for human-rights violators. Obama must also push this agenda at the U.N. Human Rights Council in March and the Summit of the Americas in April.

Without the world's further engagement, however, the deteriorating human-rights situation in Venezuela will accelerate. Despite all his bluster, last week a beleaguered Maduro publicly acknowledged his desire to better relations with the United States. But the United States shouldn't be fooled – it must increase the pressure on Maduro to respect the fundamental human rights of all Venezuela's people.

Irwin Cotler is a member of the Canadian Parliament and former Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada. Jared Genser is an international human-rights lawyer. They serve as international counsel to Leopoldo López.

### THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

December 26, 2014

#### Letter From a Venezuelan Jail

I am one of scores of political prisoners locked away because of our words and ideas.

By Leopoldo López

Los Teques, Venezuela – My country, Venezuela, is on the verge of social and economic collapse. This slow-motion disaster, nearly 15 years in the making, was not initiated by falling oil prices or by mounting debts. It was set in motion by the authoritarian government's hostility toward human rights and the rule of law and the institutions that protect them.

I know this on an all-too personal level. I am writing from a military prison, where I have been held since February as a result of speaking out against the government's actions. I am one of scores of political prisoners in my country who are locked away because of their words and ideas.

This unjust incarceration has given me a firsthand view of the pervasive abuses – legal, mental and physical – perpetrated by the ruling elite in my country. It has not been a good experience, but it has been an enlightening one.

My isolation also has given me time to think and reflect on the larger crisis facing my country. It has never been clearer to me that Venezuela's road to ruin was paved years ago by a movement to dismantle basic human rights and freedoms in the name of an illusory vision of achieving greater good for the masses through the centralization of power.

When the current ruling party, the United Socialist Party, first took power in 1999, its supporters viewed human rights as a luxury, not a necessity. Large segments of the population were living in poverty, and in need of food, housing and security. Protecting free speech and the separation of powers seemed frivolous. In the name of expediency, these values were compromised and then dismantled entirely.

The legislature was neutered, allowing the executive to rule by decree without the checks and balances that prevent government from veering off track. The judiciary was made accountable to the ruling party, rendering the constitution and the law meaningless. In an infamous 2009 case, Judge Mary Lourdes Afiuni was imprisoned for ordering the release of a businessman and government critic who had been held for three years in pretrial detention, one year more than allowed under Venezuelan law.

Meanwhile, political leaders – myself included – were persecuted and imprisoned, stifling the competition of ideas that could have led to better decisions and policies. Independent news organizations were dismantled, seized or driven out of business. The "sunshine that disinfects," and the scrutiny that motivates good decision-making, no longer benefit our leadership.

Venezuela's current president, Nicolás Maduro, has taken this to a terrible new low. Rights are rationed as though they were scarce goods to be traded for other means of subsistence: You may have employment if you give away your free speech. You may have some health benefits if you give away your right to protest.

Apologists, many from other countries, including the U.S., say these sacrifices were and are for the collective good of the country. Yet the lives of Venezuelans, especially the poor, are worse by every measure. Inflation, at more than 60%, is rampant. Scarcity of basic goods has led to empty shelves and long lines. Violent crime is skyrocketing and the murder rate is the second highest in the world, behind only Honduras. The health-care system is collapsing. And many financial experts are predicting a default on the country's debts in a matter of months.

The challenges now facing Venezuela are complex and will require years of work on many fronts. That work must begin with restoring the rights, freedoms and checks and balances that are the proper foundation of civil society.

The international community has an important role to play – especially our neighbors in Latin America. To remain silent is to be complicit in a disaster that doesn't just impact Venezuela but could have implications across the hemisphere. Organizations such as the Union of South American Nations (Unasur) and the South American trade bloc Mercosur must come off the sidelines. Countries such as Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Peru and Argentina must get involved.

At home, our constitution provides a way forward if we will heed its words. Our proposal is simple but powerful: All rights for all people. Not some rights for some people. No regime should have the power to decide who gets access to which rights. This idea may be taken for granted in other countries, but in my country, Venezuela, it is a dream worth fighting for.

Mr. López is the former mayor of the Chacao district of Caracas and the leader of the Popular Will opposition party.

## The Washington Post

July 21, 2014

## Leopoldo Lopez's Jailing Is a Symptom of a Sick Venezuela

By Lilian Tintori

This year, my husband, Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López, spent his 43rd birthday behind bars. To mark Leopoldo's birthday, our children, Manuela, 4, and Leopoldo, 1, and I tried to bring him a birthday cake at the Ramo Verde military prison. We were turned away. We were forced to celebrate on the street outside the prison, where our family sang "Happy Birthday" to a life-size picture of him.

For our children, this was a poor substitute for seeing their father. It's been a month since we last visited Leopoldo, and we miss him each day. In his absence, I am a single mother, a circumstance that has taken a toll on our family.

The irony is that my husband is not a criminal but rather a devoted father, husband and politician whose "offense" was urging Venezuelans to peacefully exercise their rights to gather, protest and express their views of our government. He sits in jail on charges of public incitement, property damage and criminal conspiracy, which Amnesty International said "smack of a politically motivated attempt to silence dissent." The government has vowed to keep him in prison for a decade.

In its eagerness to imprison my husband, the government has attempted to provide the appearance of a legitimate judicial process. But make no mistake: The normal rules of evidence and due process have not been applied. My husband was arrested in mid-January without investigation, on the order of the president and without evidence. Numerous violations of legal and human rights standards have been documented. In a recent hearing, the government actually argued that my husband's clear calls for nonviolence actually contained coded, subliminal messages to provoke violence.

If my husband weren't facing 10 years imprisonment, I would think these charges were a sick joke.

Leopoldo is a politician. I am not. Elected mayor of the Chacao municipality of Caracas in 2000, he served until 2008, when a wrongful disqualification from running for office went into effect. If not for the ban, Leopoldo had planned to run for mayor of Caracas in 2008, a race he was predicted to win. Further, a poll at the time suggested that in a hypothetical presidential election, Leopoldo would have received a greater percentage of the vote than Hugo Chávez.

No one should doubt why Leopoldo is in prison: Venezuelan President Nicolás Maduro is afraid of him, and he has great reason to be. Chávez did not deliver and Maduro has not delivered on their

promises, and they have systematically dismantled our fundamental freedoms – free speech, freedom of association, freedom of the press and freedom to vote for candidates of our choosing.

But despite more than a decade of persecution, my husband has not been afraid to call attention to the rampant poverty, widespread violence and broken political system that plague our country. As the founder and national coordinator of the political party Voluntad Popular, Leopoldo has mobilized millions to advocate nonviolently for the constitutional exit of Maduro. It is my husband's success – and the forthcoming expiration of the political ban imposed on him in 2008 by Chávez – that scared Maduro.

Leopoldo's trial is scheduled to resume this week, but let no one be fooled. There is no presumption of innocence or due process of law for a political prisoner in Venezuela, whose judicial system the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights has repeatedly found to lack independence and impartiality. And in so-called talks, which Leopoldo expected to be fruitless, Maduro rejected all compromises and a call to release all political prisoners.

We need to send a message to the government that it cannot trample on the rights of its people with impunity. Accordingly, I call on President Maduro to release my husband and the more than 100 political prisoners being held in Venezuela. But my actions alone are not enough. My husband needs the support of all countries that stand for freedom. I urge governments around the world to take meaningful action to press Maduro to free the political prisoners in Venezuela.

Leopoldo is strong, and the longer he is in prison, the stronger his resolve becomes. Things do look grim, however, for the Venezuela whose political system, economy and society have been pushed into a downward spiral. Unless the international community takes targeted actions against the Maduro regime, the Venezuelan people will face further suffering.

Lilian Tintori, wife of Venezuelan opposition leader Leopoldo López, lives in Caracas.

## The New York Times

March 25, 2014

### Venezuela's Failing State

By Leopoldo López

Los Teques, Venezuela – As I compose these words from the Ramo Verde military prison outside Caracas, I am struck by how much Venezuelans have suffered.

For 15 years, the definition of "intolerable" in this country has declined by degrees until, to our dismay, we found ourselves with one of the highest murder rates in the Western Hemisphere, a 57 percent inflation rate and a scarcity of basic goods unprecedented outside of wartime.

Our crippled economy is matched by an equally oppressive political climate. Since student protests began on Feb. 4, more than 1,500 protesters have been detained and more than 50 have reported that they were tortured while in police custody. Over 30 people, including security forces and civilians, have died in the demonstrations. What started as a peaceful march against crime on a university campus has exposed the depth of this government's criminalization of dissent.

I have been in prison for more than a month. On Feb. 12, I urged Venezuelans to exercise their legal rights to protest and free speech – but to do so peacefully and without violence. Three people were shot and killed that day. An analysis of video by the news organization Últimas Noticias determined that shots were fired from the direction of plainclothes military troops.

In the aftermath of that protest, President Nicolás Maduro personally ordered my arrest on charges of murder, arson and terrorism. Amnesty International said the charges seemed like a "politically motivated attempt to silence dissent." To this day, no evidence of any kind has been presented.

Soon, more opposition mayors, elected by an overwhelming majority in December's elections, will join me behind bars. Last week the government arrested the mayor of San Cristóbal, where the student protests began, as well as the mayor of San Diego, who has been accused of disobeying an order to remove protesters' barricades. But we will not stay silent. Some believe that speaking out only antagonizes the ruling party – inviting Mr. Maduro to move more quickly to strip away rights – and provides a convenient distraction from the economic and social ruin that is taking place. In my view, this path is akin to a victim of abuse remaining silent for fear of inviting more punishment.

More important, millions of Venezuelans do not have the luxury of playing the "long game," of waiting for change that never comes.

We must continue to speak, act and protest. We must never allow our nerves to become deadened to the steady abuse of rights that is taking place. And we must pursue an agenda for change.

The opposition leadership has outlined a series of actions that are necessary in order to move forward.

Victims of repression, abuse and torture, as well as family members of those who have died, deserve justice. Those who are responsible must resign. The pro-government paramilitary groups, or "colectivos," that have tried to silence the protests through violence and intimidation must be disarmed.

All political prisoners and dissenters who were forced into exile by the government, as well as students who were jailed for protesting, must be allowed to return or be released. This should be followed by restoring impartiality to important institutions that form the backbone of civil society, including the electoral commission and the judicial system.

In order to get our economy on the right footing, we need an investigation into fraud committed through our commission for currency exchange – at least \$15 billion was funneled into phantom businesses and kickbacks last year, a move that has directly contributed to the inflationary spiral and severe shortages our country is experiencing.

Finally, we need real engagement from the international community, particularly in Latin America. The outspoken response from human rights organizations is in sharp contrast to the shameful silence from many of Venezuela's neighbors in Latin America. The Organization of American States, which represents nations in the Western Hemisphere, has abstained from any real leadership on the current crisis of human rights and the looming specter of a failed state, even though it was formed precisely to address issues like these.

To be silent is to be complicit in the downward spiral of Venezuela's political system, economy and society, not to mention in the continued misery of millions. Many current leaders in Latin America suffered similar abuses in their time and they should not be silent accomplices to the abuses of today.

For Venezuelans, a change in leadership can be accomplished entirely within a constitutional and legal framework. We must advocate for human rights; freedom of expression; the right to property, housing, health and education; equality within the judicial system, and, of course, the right of protest. These are not radical goals. They are the basic building blocks of society.

Leopoldo López is the former mayor of the Chacao district of Caracas and the leader of the Popular Will opposition party.